ASSESSMENT REPORT

OF THE

MENDHAR TAHSIL

OF THE

POONCH STATE,

BY

LIEUTENANT R. H. CHENEVIX-TRENCH,

Settlement Officer, Poonch.

WITH A NOTE THEREON BY

Mr. E. G. COLVIN, I. C. S.,

Resident in Kashmir,

1904.

Lahore:

THE "CIVIL AND MILITARY GAZETTE" PRESS,

Sole Contractors for Printing to the Punjab Government.

1904.

INDEX

Section.	Contents,	Page.
<u> </u>	Note on the Report by Mr E. G. Colvin, I. C. S., Resident in Kashmir.	15
	CHAPTER I.—DESCRIPTIVE.	
1	Situation and boundaries	
2 3	Roads and communications	1 ,,
4	Markets and trade	
5	Rivers and irrigation	
6	Rainfall and climate	• •
7 8	Classes of land	10
9	Application of revenue rates	1.0
10	Population	ib.
	CHADED II DEVENILE HISTORY	
Į	CHAPTER II.—REVENUE HISTORY.	
11	General survey	. 14
12	Collection and distribution of revenue	
13	Existing inequality of assessment	10
14	Mutarfa	00
15 16	Arrears of revenue	245
17	Method of dealing with arrears	21
18	Jagirs	22
	CITADON III BUBNI	
	CHAPTER III.—TIRNI.	
19	Present system explained	23
20	TT 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	24
21	Future exemptions	26
22	at the time of the	27
23		3.
24		
	CHAPTER IV.—FORESTS.	}
25	Descriptive	30
$\frac{25}{26}$	Demarcation of forests	ib.
27	1 (2) (2) (3) (4) (4)	31
	CHAPTER V.—GENERAL STATISTICS AND LAND TENURE.	
		32
28	Cyboon and Tesares T	34
$\begin{array}{c} 29 \\ 30 \end{array}$	Or 62 17	35
31	lac () i l	36
32	Live stock	ib.
3 3	Miscellaneous sources of income	\ 37
	CHAPTER VI.—CROPS AND CULTIVATION.	
34	Crop statistics	37
35	1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m	ib
36	Unirrigated crops	38
37	Damage by pigs	39
	CHAPTER VII.—PRODUCE ESTIMATE.)
38	Prices	39
39		41
40	landi Tala	42
41	Kind rents	ib
42		ib
43	Produce estimate	

Section.	Contents.	Page,
	CHAPTER VIII.—PROPOSED RATES AND FUTURE REVENUE.	
44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51	Proposed revenue rates	44 45 ib. 46 ib. 47 ib. 48
52 53	General results	<i>ib.</i> 50
	CHAPTER IX,—MISCELLANEOUS.	
54 55 56 57 58	Lambardars	51 ib, 52 ib, ib,
	description of the same	
	APPENDICES.	}
	APPENDIX A.—List of trees found in the Mendhar Tahsil Do. B.—List of villages by assessment circles Do. C.—Contents of Registers A, B, C and D Do. D.—(Statement No. I.) Showing the deduction of the half net assets share for each class of soil	ii iii iv—v vi
	Do. E.—(Do. II) Crop experiments Do. F.—(Do. V). Produce estimate Do. G.—Glossary of local or vernacular terms used in report Do. H.—Map of tabsil showing village boundaries. Do. J.— Ditto the classification of villages.	vii—viii x—xv xvi
	REGISTER A.—Showing the past and present Revenue paid by every village	xviii—xxiii
	in the Mendhar Tahsil by Circles. Do. B.—Showing the Tirni and grazing taxes of the Mendhar Tahsil	xxvi—xxxi
	by Circles. Do. C.—Land classification and the calculation of the Land Revenue at rates for every village of the Mendhar Tahsil, shown by	xxviv—xl•
	Do. D.—General results of the Revenuc Settlement of Sambat 1960-61 for every village in the Mendhar Tahsil, shown by Circles.	xlviii—li

Note by Mr. E. G. Colvin, I. C. S., on the Assessment Report of the Mendhar Tahsil of Poonch.

The present report deals with the second tabsil which has come under assessment out of the four tabsils in Poonch. I may mention that the Assessment Report of the third tabsil, Sadhnuti, should be ready within a few months, while measurement has recently begun in the fourth and last tabsil.

2. The report is fuller than that of the Haveli Tahsil, and it goes much more deeply into the important subject of Tirni. The Settlement Officer has adopted my suggestion of dividing into zones the villages which stretch * Paragraph 9. Application the valley to the tops of the neighbouring hills. * This is very necessary in some cases, for the condition existing in the higher altitudes are so entirely different from those prevailing in the lower lands that no amount of ingenuity in the Bachh distribution can really equalise the incidence of the revenue. The arrangement will no doubt tend to bring into greater prominence the inequalities of the present incidence, and will not be popular with those who hold the best lands, but there can be no doubt of its intrinsic fairness.

The produce estimate is based on prices which are well within the current prices that have prevailed during recent years, and on rates of yield which appear reasonable. It is remarkable how slightly prices in Poonch have been affected by the high rates, which have in the last two or three years been current in Kashmir, but this is due to the land-locked nature of the country. When communications have been opened up to the Punjab by the new road which is projected through Lachman Pattan to Pindi, it is probable that there will be an appreciable rise in prices in Poonch, but the distance and the difficulty of carriage to Punjab markets will always prevent any large increase.

The produce estimate brings out the total value of the produce of the tahsil at Rs. 3,25,664, and the revenue which it is proposed to impose, apart from pachotra and patwari cess, is Rs. 72,080. The proportion is thus almost the same as was proposed for the Haveli Tahsil, viz., two-ninths of the gross produce. So far as the produce estimate can be relied on, the result appears to be fair.

Turning to the proposed rates on the different circles, Chapter VIII, there is no doubt that the Mendhar, Nawal I circle, is the best in the tahsil, and that its former revenue was comparatively light. The Settlement Officer has rightly increased the assessment here, while lowering it in the other circles, especially in Sooran II and III, where the previous assessment was undoubtedly much too high, being 33.6 and 54.9, respectively, above half-net assets. The figures for half-net assets are based, as Mr. Trench points out, on somewhat slender foundations, but if a comparison be made with the value of the total produce for these two circles, the figures for which are probably not much below the actuals, the results show that the present revenue is in one case 32.5 per cent. and in the other 39 per cent. of that value. The statement below shows conveniently the levelling tendency of the new proposed assessment; the percentage in circles varying only from 19.7 to 26.1 instead of from 18.8 to 39 in the former assessment.

1		2	3	4	5	6
Circle.		Total value of gross produce.	Former land revenue.	Proposed land revenue.	Percentage of column 3 on column 2.	Percentage of column 4 on column 2.
Sooran I Do. H H Mendhar Nawal I Do. do. H Do. Pahári I Do. do. H Do. do. H Total	 	39,258 27,098 4,003 1,43,175 14,171 83,618 16,913 3,28,234	10,616 8,795 1,560 26,860 3,591 19,556 3,444 74,422	9,710 6,800 1,040 28,150 3,250 19,680 3,450	27 32·5 39 18·8 25·3 23·4 20·4	24·7 26·1 26 19·7 22·9 23·5 20·4

The total land-revenue proposed by the Settlement Officer is Rs. 72,080. a decrease of Rs. 2,342 or 3.1 per cent. on the former land-revenue. In considering whether the Settlement Officer is justified in proposing a decrease rather than an increase of revenue, it is necessary to review the previous fiscal history of the tahsil and the rates prevailing in adjacent parts of the country. Chapter II of Mr. Trench's report shows that between Sambat 1916 and Sambat 1959, i.e., in a period of forty-one years, the revenue, including cesses, rose from Rs. 29,489 to Rs. 99,855; that is to say, it was more than trebled. There is no doubt that during this period there has been a great extension of cultivation, due probably to the effect of Pax Britannica, but after making every allowance for this, there can be no doubt that the heavy increase is due in great measure to the oppressive Thikadári system which prevailed for many years. The people of this tabsil are not difficult to manage, yet the authorities have not been able to collect the revenue. Large arrears have accrued, the greater part before there was any suggestion of a regular settlement. This certainly points to the conclusion that the former revenue was unduly high,

A comparison of the rates proposed with those prevailing in neighbouring tracts points to the same conclusion. The statement below compares rates which have been adopted in the assessment of the Kotli Tahsil of Jammu and in the Muzaffarabad settlement and in the Haveli Tahsil of Poonch with those now proposed for Mendhar. The letters K., M. and H. stand for Kotli, Muzaffarabad and Haveli-Mendhar, respectively, while the note attached shows, so far as I can ascertain it, the relative characteristics of the soil classification of each tahsil. It will be seen that the rates proposed for the better circles in Mendhar are all-round much higher than those in Kotli or Muzaffarabad. This was to be expected, for it is no doubt the case that the better portions of the Mendhar valley are superior to any lands found generally in Kotli or Muzaffarabad. But this applies rather to Hotar land than to Haili or Miani, and in regard to Hotar land it is evident that the Settlement Officer has adopted rates which, on the average, are greatly in excess of those in the other two tabsils, and only lower than the Haveli rates. This divergence from Haveli rates is only right in view of the greater distance of the Mendhar circles from a large market. On the whole, I consider that the rates adopted by the Settlement Officer for Mendhar are reasonable and quite as high as could safely be taken, especially when it is considered that the theoretical basis of the assessment is half-net assets. I should be most unwilling to agree to any increase in the rates proposed, and I am of opinion that they may be sanctioned en bloc.

Tabsil.	Cirole.	Gora Hail (K). Lapára kh. (M). Hailí (H).	Hail (K).	Chaitarábi (K). Hotar (M). Hotar I and II (H).	Maira I (K). Maira kh. (M). Miáni (H).	Maira II (K). Taki (M). Rakkar (H).	Bakkar (K). Kalsi (H).
Kotli.	Dhára Maidán Gharbi Pahári Maidán Sharki Paráti Tridi Dhár	3 12 0 3 8 0 3 6 0	2 8 0	2 10 0 2 10 0 	1 2 0	0 8 0 0 8 0 0 8 0 0 8 0	0 4 0 0 4 0 0 4 0
MUZATTABABAD.	Nawal Dopatta, Muzaffarabad Sangar do. do. Nawal Lachrat Khawári Sangar do Do. Khawári Hassanábád Gojra Nawal Chakar Sangar do	2 8 (2 8 (2 8 (2 8 (2 8 (2 8 (2 8 (2 8		4 2 0 2 8 0 4 2 0 2 8 0 2 12 0 3 4 0 2 12 0	1 0 0 1 2 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 12 0	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	

Tabail.		Circle.				Lapára kh. (M).	() men	Hail (K)		Chaitar sbi (K).	Hotar I and II (H)			Maira kb. (M). Miáni (H).		Maira II (K).	Taki (M). Rabban (H)	LIGHER (11):	Robbon (K)	Kalsi (H).	
	Shahr E			• • • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4	12	0	400	\	5	12 12 0	0 0 0	2		0	1	4	0	0	8	0
HAVELI.	Outside		 I	•••	4	0	0	***	1	4 5 4	0 0 0	0 0	1	10	0	0	12	0	0	6 8	0
Ηγ	D		п		3	6	0	•••	{	4 3	8 6 0	0	1	2	0	0	12	0	0	6	0
	D	ю]	ш	•••	3	0	0	•••	{	4 3	0	0	0	12	0	0	8	0	0	4	0
		•	. ———	··								-	PRIME			_	. 	-			_
	Sooran	1	•••	•••	3	12	0		{	4 3 3 2	8	0 0	1	4	0	0	10	0			
	Do.	II	•••	***	3	6	0	***	1	2	4 4	0	1	0	0	0	8	0		•••	
	Do.	III	•••	•••	2	12	0	***		٠.			0	12	0	0	5	0		•••	
Mendhar.	Mendha	r Nawal	Ι	•••	4	8	0		1	5 4	4. 0	0	2	2	0	1	0	0		•••	
Men	Do.	do.	II	***	3	10	0		1	3	0	0	1	. 8	0	0	10	0		***	
	Do.	Pahári	I		3	12.	0		{	5 4 4 3 3 2 2 2 2	0 8 8 12 0	0	1	4	0	0	10	0		•••	
	Do.	do.	II	•••	3	0	0		{	2 2	12 0	0	1	0	0	0	8	0		***	:

K .- Kotli,

M.-Muzaffarabad.

H.-Haveli and Mendhar.

I will now deal with the points in the report which appear to call for orders,

Paragraph 14 Mutarfa.—The procedure followed in Haveli should be adhered to. But in cases like that of the non-workers, when an industry has died out, the tax should be remitted.

Paragraph 15.—All other cesses, except Tirni and Patwar, will in future be discontinued, but Malba and Chaukidari-tax may be realised and dealt with by lambardars under the supervision of the Tahsildar.

Paragraph 16.—The question of arrears of revenue requires some comment, though it acquired a more acute form in the Sadhnuti Tahsil. The old Thikadári system had led to the accumulation of heavy arrears, which the State tried hard to realise before the settlement. Both the State and the villagers anticipated that the settlement would probably wipe out the mass of these arrears, so it was the interest of the former to take as much, and of the latter to give as little, as they could. In some cases the zamíndárs were for adding to their arrears by adopting an obstructive attitude in regard even to the payment of their current revenue. In this condition of affairs, it was necessary to take measures which would terminate the duel, and secure to the villagers

^{1.} Gora hail—Is probably a little better than Haili, as it appears to be the few Marlas close to the house on which vegetables, &c., are grown.

^{2.} Hail—Is the same as Haili and Lapára khuski. Although different rates are given in the Kotli Report to both Gora hail and Hail, they have only one common difinition (see p. 10, Nowshera Report).

^{3.} Cheter abi—(called Pail abi in Nowshera)—Is irrigated rice land. In Mendhar, where all irrigated land is reserved for rice, there are two classes of Hotar, viz., Hotar I and Hotar II.

^{4.} Maira I-(called Warhal I in Nowshera)-Is the same as the Mairakhuski of Muzaffarabad or the Miani of Mendhar.

^{5.} Maira II—(called Warhal II in Nowshera)—Is the same as the Taki of Muzasarabad and the Rakkar of Mendbar.

^{6.} Rakkar—There is no land in Mendhar and appears to be none in Muzaffarabad so bad as the Rakkar of Kotli (called Thangar in Nowshera, see p. 12).

immunity from claims of ancient date and to the State a full share of the revenue due in recent years. I accordingly selected the year Sambat 1955 as a suitable year, prior to which arrears might be disregarded, there having been no serious talk about a settlement before that year, and I gave the Settlement Department certain broad principles on which to calculate the arrears accruing after that year, which might reasonably be regarded as due to the State. At the same time, the revenue being in many cases excessive, I gave directions for the calculation until the new assessment of a summary revenue which the State should collect. The result of these measures is shown in paragraph 17 of the report, and in the Mendhar Tahsil shows an outstanding balance of Rs. 3,679, which is entirely composed of arrears of recent date, which should now certainly be collected. In the Sadhnuti Tahsil, where the people have been more obstinate about paying their revenue in recent years, the amount is likely to be considerably larger.

Paragraph 18.—I am moving the Rája to issue instructions to his jágírdárs in the seuse of this paragraph.

CHAPTER III.

The importance of the subject of "Tirni" in Poonch is sufficiently seen, both from the eagerness with which lambardárs appointments and shares therein are struggled for and also from the following figures:—

Total Tirni proposed in the Mendhar Tahsil 20,470
Value of exemptions from Tirni in the Mendhar Tahsil ... 12,777

As regards exemptions in future, lambardárs, jágírdárs, Hindús, Syads, Pírs and Koreshís will continue, with the consent of the Rája, to enjoy the exemptions.

As regards the other tribal exemptions mentioned, I see no reason why these should be continued to the sons or successors of the present muantains, nor do I see any objection to a policy of gradually reducing the tribal exemptions to the classes first mentioned above.

Miscellaneous exemptions may be treated as in Haveli, and Ikrari exemptions may be discontinued, unless covered by an Irshad. The Panj Serai villages may be exempted from Tirni as before.

The proposal to fix a lump sum for Tirni on each village is my suggestion, and I believe it will be advantageous and save labour. It should be distributed on the permanent residents every fourth year, when the quadrennial Jamabandis are prepared. No person should under any circumstances pay more than Rs. 3-8 for a buffalo or Re, 1-12 for a cow.

Paragraph 23.—Dhokiána as a State tax will, with the consent of the Rája, be abolished as in the Haveli Tabsil.

Paragraph 24.—The Tirni proposed by the Settlement Officer is approved. The incidence is higher than that sanctioned for every buffalo and milch-cow in Haveli, but when exemptions have been examined it will probably approximate to the Haveli rate. The principles which the Settlement Officer has followed in determining the Tirni to be assessed on a village are in my opinion quite sound.

CHAPTER IV.

Mr. Radcliffe was recently deputed from Kashmír to consider forest questions in Poonch, and his report and proposals will be separately dealt with. The demarcation of forest areas does not present such difficult problems in the Mendhar Tahsil as in the Sadhnuti Tahsil, where during the last ten years cultivation has been extended into extensive areas under forest trees. In the Mendhar Tahsil, the only question of importance is the treatment of "Kap" lands. It has been decided to exclude these in demarcation, and this can be done without difficulty. Cultivation of Kap lands, free of assessment until next settlement, should be permitted with the previous sanction of the Revenue authorities, who would consult the Forest Department and only decline permission if it appears that objectionable deforestation will result.

Paragraph 29.—The remarks of the Settlement Officer in regard to the absence of any claim to the Milkiyat right in Mendhar are interesting. I am aware of no difference in the revenue history of the two tahsils of Mendhar and Sadhnuti which makes this claim more sustainable in one tahsil than in the other.

CHAPTER IX.

The number of lambardárs should be gradually reduced, in villages where there are too many, on the principles which have been already approved. The objectionable system of taking Nazrána from lambardárs, which has led to so much difficulty, should be discontinued for appointments in the future. The arrangements in regard to Panjotra and the Patwár cess should be the same as have been already approved for the Haveli Tahsil, though there is no objection to the latter being taken at half-an-anna in the rupee instead of at 3 per cent.

Paragraph 56.—I am moving the Rája of Poonch to revise the pay of the Tahsil establishment.

Paragraphs 57 and 58.—The instalments of revenue proposed by the Settlement Officer are agreed to by the Rája and are hereby approved. The term of the settlement and the apportionment of cost will be governed by the orders already passed in the case of Haveli Tahsil. Mr. Chenevix-Trench has worked indefatigably and has dealt with the assessment statistics very well; and he has been ably supported as before by the Assistant Settlement Officer, Pandit Sarup Narain.

2nd May 1904.

E. G. COLVIN, Resident in Kashmir.



ASSESSMENT REPORT

OF THE

MENDHAR TAHSIL OF POONCH.

CHAPTER I.—DESCRIPTIVE.

1. Mendhar is the smallest of the four tabsils of Poonch. The main situation and bounda. Pir Panjal range from Tatakuti, its highest peak, to the Darhal pass, separates it on the east from Kashmir. A long irregular spur of the same range running west forms its southern boundary, on the further side of which lie Thakiala Parao and the Rajaori and Kotli Tahsils of Bhimber. The Sadhnuti Tahsil closes it on the west and the Haveli Tahsil on the north. Were it not for the two villages, Tai and Mendhol, which lie on its right bank, the Poonch river would constitute the western boundary.

From the Pir Panjal pass in the east to Mendhol in the west the length of the tahsil is 35 miles; its breadth varies form 8 to 15. The tahsil is divided by nature into two divisions, the Sooran valley in the east and the Mendhar valley in the west. Regarded from a revenue standpoint the latter is the more important of the two, though the catch-water area of the Sooran valley is considerably the larger.

- 2. The total area of the tahsil is 372 square miles. The wholo of the Mendhar valley has been measured, but in Sooran a large block of high mountains situated at the head of the valley has not been touched. It contains no villages and, except during the summer months when the people drive their flocks and herds there for grazing, is quite uninhabited. The area of this unmeasured portion is 112 square miles. It has been obtained by enlarging the four miles to an inch survey map and can only be said to be approximately correct.
- 3. The tahsil, like the rest of Poonch, is singularly badly off in Roads and communications. There are no metalled or cart-roads whatever. What roads exist are mere country tracks and mountain paths fit for pack transport only. In former days the most important of these was that from Poonch over the Pír Panjal into Kashmír; this follows the Sooran valley, a branch track from Rajaori over the Rattan Pír, which joins the above between Bafliaz and Bahramgala, is still a good deal used by travellers and traffic from Rajaori to Kashmír. Other by-roads through the Bhimber and Niladheri Gallis connect the Mendhar valley with Rajaori and Thakiala Parao, but are not much used.

The crying need of the tahsil is an improvement in its communications with the Punjab. This will be remedied to a great extent by the construction of the road from Poonch to Ráwalpindi vià Lachman Pattan and Kahuta, which will skirt the tahsil and will shortly be commenced. It is doubtful whether it will benefit Mendhar so much as a road to Jhelum through Kotli, Chowmook, and Mírpur would. Though slightly longer this route does not present the same difficulties as the alternative one by Lachman Pattan and would have the further advantage of tapping the above mentioned towns on the way. The present road is execrable; it is washed away after neavy rain, and is at any time difficult for horses and ponies. If a road through Kotli to the Punjab is made, a branch one can easily be constructed up the Mendhar valley, bifurcating at its head in one direction through the Bhimber Galli into Rajaori and in the other direction into Sooran.

4. The two principal markets of the tahsil are at Sehra at its western end and at Bafliaz in the Sooran valley. A good deal of traffic in grain centres round Dharmsál, a village in the Mendhar valley, but nowhere save in the two former villages is anything

approaching a bázaar to be found. I have been furnished by Khoja Abdullaja, the Contractor of the State Customs, with the following import and export statistics. They show the amount of trade entering or leaving the tahsil by the main routes of Bahramgala, Sehra, and over the Rattan Pir to or from Rajaori and beyond. They take no account of the export of Ghi and other commodities from Sooran viá Poonch town and Lachman Pathan to Ráwalpindi, nor do they show what percentage of the imports merely passed through the tahsil on their way to Haveli and elsewhere.

IMPORTS FOR 1903.

		1				2	3	4	5
Ŋ	ature (of artic	les or g	goods.		Through Sehra and Chajla.	Through Herni and Bafliaz.	Through Bahramgala.	Total imports in maunds.
Salt	•••	•••	***	•••	,,,	25	103	3,602	3,730
Gur		•••	***	***	•••	243	890	4	1,137
Tobacco	•••	•••	***	•••	•••	1,821	64	23	1,908
Soap	•••	•••	•••	•••		16	58	. 24	98
Drapery	and h	aberda	shery	***		1,377	16	45	1,438
Iron	***	•••	***	***	401	132	6	6	144
Gram	•••	•••	•••	***	•••	150	26	***	176
Másh (pu	ılse)	•••	• • •	***	•••	सन्त्रम्य तप्र 436	6	•••	442
Wheat	•••	•••	•••	***	•••	730	34	•••	76 4
Maize	•••	•••	•••	•••		38	•	5,398	5,436
Turmeric	•••	***	***	***	•••	•••	32	111	32
Gunpowd	ler	***	•••	•••		13	414	***	13
Cotton	•••	***	***	***	•,	56	411	***	56
Poppy-he	ads	***	•••	***	***	50	***	p11	50
Miscellan	eous	•	***	***		249	5	***	254

The large amount of maize mostly came from Rajaori and went straight through into Kashmir. Only a little of it was destined for the Panj Serai and other Sooran villages.

I do not consider that the area occupied by Shikargahs and Rakhs is by any means excessive. With the demarcation of forests and the village record there will be no room for extension.

The imports in column 2 came through Kotli from Jammu territory and the Punjab; those in column 3 through Rajaori from Jammu, and those in column 4 from Rajaori and Kashmír. Exports are shown below.

EXPORTS FOR 1905.

	1			2	3	4	5	6	7	. 8	9	
Nature of g	goods	or arti	cles.	Through and Ch		Through and Bal		Throu Bahram		Total Exports.		
				Maunds.	No.	Maunds.	No.	Maunds.	No.	Maunds.	No.	
Ghi		•••	•••	338		66		108		572	•••	
Violets	•••	4	•••	35	;;,	17	100			52		
Buffaloes Bull buffaloe		***	• • •		131		$\frac{162}{29}$	•	23 6		316	
Bullocks		•••	•••	•••	38		123		8		54	
Sheep and go	nate	•••	•••	•••	12		5		390		169 407	
Raw hides		•••	•••		1,696		90	•••	75	***	1,861	
Sernais					4,194		171				4,365	
Sarshaf		•••		130	-,	5				135	1,000	
Rice		•••	•••	141		4	•••			145		
Anardána	•••			27						27		
Walnuts	•••	•••		1,150		4	•••	12		1,166		
Oil				,,.	•••	29	•••	•••		29		
₩ool	• • •			72				·		72		

The two great obstacles to trade and the development of the State are the want of good roads and the export tax levied on all goods leaving the country. The removal of the latter cannot fail to stimulate trade and benefit the people. At present the Lachman Pattan route is more favoured than that of Kotli owing to the double duty which all goods coming from the Punjab to Poonch viá Kotli and Sehra have to pay. They are taxed by the Jammu and Kashmír State on crossing the Jhelum and again on entering Poonch territory at Sehra. These disabilities might possibly be removed by the mutual agreement of the Kashmír Darbár and Poonch if it is ever decided to improve this road and develop the trade along it. Except in salt there is but little traffic now-a-days over the Pír Panjal. Nearly all the cattle which leave the tahsil go by Lachman Pattan. There is no object in driving them viá Jhelum, for their market is in Ráwalpindi and Pesháwar. The Ghi also is mostly sent to the same places, owing, it is said, to the fact that the Poonch Ghi does not keep well. For this reason it commands a readier market there than at Jhelum or Gujrát where the people object to it if at all turned, and where the people produce excellent Ghi themselves.

The two rivers of the tahsil are known after the districts they pass through, Sooran and Mendhar. Like all those of moun-Rivers and irrigation. tainous countries they are very liable to sudden floods. Two or three days of rain changes them from sluggish streams, fordable everywhere, into impassable torrents sweeping down rocks and boulders and washing away the fields which fringe their banks. Every year several lives are lost, in particular near Poonch town, through men attempting to cross the river at such times. The Sooran river has the greater volume of the two for numerous side streams contribute to its waters, and it is also swollen in summer by the melted snow off the high mountains enclosing the valley. latter is so narrow that the river cannot be much used for irrigation purposes until it enters the Haveli Tahsil where it is called the Poorch river. A good deal of timber is floated down it from Baffiaz and round Bahramgala. The Mendhar valley, especially at its head, is much more open, and consequently a comparatively large proportion of the cultivation can be irrigated. Here but little snow falls on the surrounding hills and the water-supply is in many villages insufficient for the irrigated area.

The people go to great pains in levelling and terracing their fields wherever it is possible to bring water on to them from hillside springs or

streams The larger water-courses are maintained by the joint labour of the whole village, and the smaller ones by the individuals whose land they irrigate There are several villages where large areas might be brought under irrigation by the construction of short canals; these are beyond the power of the villagers themselves since they require a certain amount of skilled labour and supervision. Now that a trained Engineer has been entertained by the State his services might with advantage be utilized in that direction.

6. Except in the villages situated towards its lower end the elevation of the Sooran valley secures it a climate which is temperate in summer and very cold in winter. Mendhar lies lower and heat in some of the villages round Sehra during the hot weather rivals that of the Punjab. In winter, however, it is delightful. There are no means of ascertaining the rainfall of the tahsil, for the only rain-gauge in the State is at the hospital in Poonch town. I reproduce its inclusive records from the Haveli Tahsil Assessment Report for 1896—1903.

	Month			1896.	1897.	1898.	1899.	1900.	1901.	1902.	1903.	Average.
		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •										
January	•••	•••	•••	5.86	9.07	2.28	-36	6.10	7.63		6.71	4.75
February	•••	•••	•••	5.04	1.53	6.2	7.05	4.93	4.14		1.35	3.78
March	•••	•••	• • •	2.89	6.32	1.88	2.53	4.16	2.77	5.27	8.61	4.30
A pril	•••	•••	•••	1.43	3:73	.44	2:39	6.0	3.09	6.36	1.35	3.1
May	•••	•••	•••	1.46	3.57	2.76	1.3	4.28	7.94	2.41	4.77	3.56
June	•••	•••	• • • •	5.25	2.88	1.34	4.4	2:38	1.94	6.81	2.43	3.43
July	***			10.24	8.73	10.23	8.89	12:77	5.95	9.9	9.07	9.47
August		• • •	•••	9.41	20.29	13.54	8.91	17:07	19.02	9.29	8.64	13.27
September	•••	•••	•••	6.22	2.29	4.51	2 ·32	8.01	4.83	5.08	8.77	5.25
October	•••	***	•••	2.41	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	न्त्रयम्	1.01	1.21	.94	2.11	1.43	1.18
$\mathbf{November}$	•••	•••	***	2.05	•••		•53		•…	1.43	0.39	•55
December		•••	•••	1.55	1.18	2.19	6 3	5.88		•25	1.60	1.66
Total ann	ual rai	nfall	•••	53.81	59.59	45.37	40.32	73.09	58.25	48.91	55.12	54:30

More rain falls in Sooran than in Mendhar, but in neither valley is a season of drought much to be feared; as a rule the rainfall is both regular and opportune. There is probably little difference between the rainfall of the Shahr Khas Iláka of Haveli and that of Mendhar.

Classes of land.

7. The cultivated area has been classified in the measurement papers as follows:—

- Abi I or Hotar I.—Irrigated land with a permanent water-supply producing good rice crops. It is sometimes Defasli but not necessarily so, for the zamindárs frequently prefer to take only a kharif crop off their best rice fields.
- Abi II or Hotar II.—Land similar to Hotar I, but with an uncertain or insufficient water-supply, producing in consequence poorer crops than Hotar I. It is sometimes sown for wheat in Rabi. Rice lands of either class are rarely manured.
- Haili.—Unirrigated land regularly manured; fields immediately adjacent to the homestead usually fall into this class. It is Dofasli in all but the coldest villages, producing a maize crop in kharif and wheat or Sarshaf in most cases in rabi.

Miáni,—Unirrigated and unmanured land. A Rabi crop can only be taken off it in the best villages. In Sooran it produces maize and in Mendhar maize or cotton.

Rakhar.—Land similar but inferior to Miani, stony and sloping and generally situated on the hillside at some distance from the cultivator's house.

In Hotar I have also been included the few acres of irrigated garden land, whether fruit or vegetable, which the tabsil contains. It is easy to go wrong over the classification of the irrigated area. It depends on the water-supply and not on the variety of rice produced, whether it is recorded as Hotar I or Hotar II. In many cases a glance at the ground will show at once if sufficient water is available, but in others the classification cannot be correctly made without a personal knowledge of the fields in question: this is only acquired by repeated visits to the village during the rice season. The quality of the rice is taken into account when determining the assessment of each village, and can be further allowed for in Bachh if necessary.

The only guides in estimating the Haili area of a zamindár's holding are the crops the land produces, the general appearance of the soil, the statement of the cultivator, and the number of cattle owned by him. Of these guides the first three are indefinite or untrustworthy and of little assistance. They leave too much to the judgment of men on small salaries, as Shajrakashes and Munserims. It has been found necessary, in order to secure some uniformity in the classification of Haili, to fix a standard based on the amount of land which can be manured by one animal. It has been assumed that a buffalo can manure three, and a bullock or cow two, Kanals in a year. I do not think this is too much to allow. To be on the safe side no account has been taken of sheep or goats or of young stock of any kind. It does not follow that the manured portion of a man's holding has been computed by rigidly applying the above standard to the number of his animals; it simply marks the maximum area which may be recorded in every case as Haili, and guards against any great over-estimation There are many occasions for deviating below this; a man may cultivate fifty Kanals and own enough cattle to manure as much or even more, but half his land may be situated a mile away from his house and never get, a basketful of mauure throughout the year. Again his fields may be at so steep a slope that the surface soil is washed down after any heavy rain. Possibly for five months in the year his cattle are all away at the Dhoks. For these and other reasons it will generally be found that the Haili area entered in a zamindár's name is considerably below the maximum brought out by a calculation on his cattle.

The uncultivated land has been classified as follows:—

Kap Mumkin.—Culturable but uncultivated land from which grass is cut.

Kap Gair Mumkin.—Land similar to the above but unculturable.

Chiran Mumkin.-Culturable grazing land.

Chiran Ghair Mumkin.—Unculturable grazing land.

Ghair Mumkin.—Unculturable land other than Káp Ghairmumkin, Chiran Ghairmumkin, or Bannar Kap; it being always shown whether it is road, river bed, jungle, burial grounds, buildings,

Bannar Kap.—Field boundaries and the retaining walls and slopes of terraced or hillside cultivation. This is also Ghairmumkin, but has been kept as a separate class. Grass is cut off it.

Rakhs and Shikargáhs.—Strictly preserved game forests and State grass lands.

Kap of both kinds generally comprises the private hay-fields of the Assamis. On the other hand, the greater portion of the Chiran area is Khalsa and forms the common grazing land. A man is not barred from proving his exclusive rights over Chiran, and thus getting it recorded in his name, for the reason that it is grazing land and not Kap. It requires a Shajrakash

of some experience to work out correctly what allowance should be made for the Bannar Kap in a holding. There may be four or five Bannars in one field, each of varying height and degree of slope. In the hilly villages as much as one-third of the area of a field is often Bannar Kap.

8. For purposes of assessment seven circles have been formed. The formation of assessment seven circles have been formed. The natural characteristics of the two valleys, Mendhar and Sooran, indicate these at once as the primary divisions. The population of the latter valley is essentially a pastoral one.

In the majority of the villages the produce of the soil barely suffices for the food of the inhabitants who depend entirely on the profits derived from their flocks and herds to meet the revenue. Nearly all the cultivation is hilly, and except in the lowlying villages produces no Rabi crop. Snow falls all over the valley in winter and in some villages remains on the ground for months. The rice grown on the irrigated lands is of coarse quality, the commonest kinds being Bansal and Gharra, which sell at little more than maize.

In Mendhar the conditions are very different. Here, too, their cattle form a most important asset of the wealth of the people, but they do not constitute their all in all as is the case in Sooran. Except in the hilly villages the people are as much agricultural as pastoral. The valley is warmer than Sooran, a considerable amount of the cultivation is level, and the proportion of Dofasli land is large. Snow falls only in the highest villages and disappears in these after a few days. The better varieties of rice, such as Basmati and Shahbegum, are grown in nearly all the Nawal villages. The people have a ready market for their surplus grain in Poonch city, Sehra, Rajaori, and Kotli.

Sooran contains thirty-one villages. These vary in productiveness to a great extent according to their elevation. They have therefore been divided into three circles, called Sooran I, Sooran II, Sooran III. As the villages are all hilly there is no object in adding the word Pahari to each circle. In Sooran I, which contains thirteen villages, I have placed the most fertile ones of the valley; they are situated at an elevation of 4,000-5,000 feet. Their Haili area produces a rabi crop of Sarshaf and wheat sometimes follows rice on their irrigated lands. Sooran II contains twelve villages. This circle is higher and colder than Sooran I, most of its villages lying up the side valleys. The irrigated area. which is small, produces a very inferior class of rice, while on the unmanured Khushki lands no crop except maize can be grown. The Haili cultivation alone is Dofasli and even on this a rabi crop may be said to be the exception not the rule. The Panj Serai villages and Sailan, six in all, comprise Sooran III. These are situated at an elevation of 6,000-7,500 feet and are for months on end Their soil produces little else but maize and turnips. under snow.

The forty-four villages of the Mendhar valley have been divided into four circles, namely Mendhar-Nawal I, Mendhar-Nawal II, Mendhar-Pahári I, and Mendhar-Pahari II. The Nawal circles contain the warm open villages, lying in the bed of the valley, between 2,500 and 3,500 feet above sea-level. The distinguishing points of Mendhar-Nawal I are the superior classes of rice produced, the level nature of its cultivated area, the abundance of water available, the general excellence of the crops, and the amount of Dofasli cropping on the unirrigated lands. Mendhar-Nawal II is a very small circle; it contains only three villages, which are at the lower end of the valley. They are the hottest in the tahsil; their soil is stony and arid and they suffer badly if their rainfall is at all below the average. In other respects they do not differ from the better Nawal villages.

Mendhar-Pahari I and Mendhar Pahári II are hilly circles. The fifteen villages of the former circle have an elevation of approximately 3,500—4,500 feet. Their irrigated area is poor compared to that of the Nawal classes and their Miani lands are generally Ekfasli. The remaining eight villages form the Mendhar-Pahari II circle. Their elevation varies from 4,500 to 6,200 feet. Most of them are subject to snow, but it does not lie more than a few days. A Rabi crop of Sarshaf is sometimes produced on the Haili area but otherwise

their cultivation is Ekfasli. The Kharif crop consists of maize on the unirrigated, and a coarse stump of rice on the irrigated lands. The Pahari villages are more fortunate than those of the Nawal circles in the extent and quality of their grazing grounds; this, however, does not affect their revenue rates since it has been taken into consideration in determining the Tirni assessment of each.

- A Statement (B) has been attached to this report showing the Application of revenue rates. villages of each circle. Not every village is of course homogeneous. In one, half the cultivation may be of Nawal I Circle, and the remainder of Mendhar-Pahari I. In another, threequarters may be of Sooran I and the rest of Sooran II. All such villages have been divided into zones; the area of each zone has been separately calculated and the rates of their respective circles applied in working out the revenue of the whole village at rates. This helps to minimize the chance of an error in assessment. For statistical and other purposes, such as the comparison of the proposed with the present revenue, a village cannot be sub-divided but has to be treated in entirety. Each has therefore been placed in that circle to which it as a whole most approximates. It is possible that in Báchh the villagers may wish to make more minute distinctions; where their proposals are not unreasonable they will be allowed to do so. Although they do not affect its class, the proximity of a village to a Parao station, or the number of Shikargarhs it encloses, are considerations which it is impossible to overlook when fixing its future assessment.
- 10. The population of Sooran is formed almost entirely of Gujars. There are a few families of Khojahs and Brahmins in the lower villages and some Lohars live in those localities where iron mines were at one time worked. Kashmiri Markobáns, who ply their trade on the Pir Panjal road, are fairly common in the Panj Serai villages. In the Mendhar Valley a great variety of tribes are found everywhere. Dullis and Gujars form a strong minority, but in addition to these there are Maliks, Thakials, Brahmins, Minhas, Mangrals, Pathans, Sayads, Janjuas, Awáns, Moghuls, and other tribes living together in nearly every village.

The population of the tabsil in 1901, when the last Census was made, numbered—

Males	•••	•••	 •••	•••	•••	•••	27,793
Females			 	•-•			24,392

or a total of 52,185 souls. The Census of 1891 brought out a total of 65,023, but in this the population of Thakiala Parao is included and cannot be separated. The population of both tracts combined, namely Mendhar and Thakiala Parao, rose from 65,023 in 1891 to 71,241 in 1901; an increase of 9.6 per cent. in ten years.

	Des	criptio	n.				Mahome- dans.	Hindus.	Total.
Agriculturists			\ ···			•••	43,705	1,197	44,902
Non-agriculturists	•••	•••	-••	•••	•••		1,538	118	1,65 6
		7	Total T	ahsil			45,243	1,315	46,558

The above shows the population of the Tahsil at the time of measurement as recorded in the village papers. I do not think the people have really decreased since the last Census, but attribute the apparent fall in numbers to the carelessness of the village measurers. The incidence of population to the aquare mile of cultivation is 1,035, or, taking the figures of the last Census, 1,134. In Haveli the incidence per square mile was at settlement 1,364.

CHAPTER II.—REVENUE HISTORY.

The year Sambat 1916 is a convenient one at which to commence a short account of the revenue history of the Tahsil. General survey. At that date the country had been for about twenty years under the rule of the present Dogra dynasty and had thoroughly settled down. Up to Sambat 1916 a few villages only had been farmed to contractors; in the very large majority the revenue was collected by Lambardars much in the same way as it is at present, with this difference that part of it was paid in cash and part in kind. The earliest revenue record I have seen is a Tahsil register of Sambat 1916, in which the total revenue due for that year from each village is shown; the bulk of it was paid in cash but a considerable proportion was paid in the shape of grain, shoes, Ghi, goats, and other curious items. During the ensuing ten years, however, the practice of selling the revenue contract of the villages became so general that in Sambat 1949 only nineteen remained which had not fallen into the hands of Thekadars. The ones which escaped their clutches are known as Lambardari villages as distinguished from the others, called Theka villages. Once a village became Theka it continued so until the abolition of the contract system in Sambat 1949.

In Theka villages the State did not interfere in the amount of revenue a contractor screwed out of the cultivators; as long as he paid the sum stipulated in his contract into the Treasury he was allowed to do practically what he liked with his village. On the lapse of his contract it was either renewed in his name or handed over to another of his kind, who left his mark on it by a fresh increase of revenue. The only limit to the exactions of a Thekadar was that dictated by his own interests; if he pressed the cultivators too hardly they would abscond, but experience taught him how far he might safely go and his demands rarely fell short of it. The only good result which the Thekadars left behind them was the great increase in the cultivation of the tabsil which took place under their rule; they brought settlers from the Punjab and elsewhere at a time when the population was thin and the land required labour, and did much to replace the nomadic and pastoral tribes of the country by others of a more agricultural character.

In Lambardari villages the custom has been for the State to settle them periodically for a fixed term of years. On such occasions a Patta was granted to every village nominating its Lambardars, detailing the revenue they would have to collect, and stating the period it was to remain in force. A general renewal of Pattas appears to have been made in Sambat 1916; these lasted in the few villages which were not farmed in the interim to contractors until Sambat 1927, when fresh ones were granted settling them up to Sambat 1938. The Pattas of the latter year were for twenty years, that is, up to the end of Sambat 1957, but as the present regular settlement had commenced before they lapsed they have not been replaced by others.

In Sambat 1949 the contracts of all the Theka villages, except of a few where they lingered on for three or four years, were abolished. Beyond being released from the numerous irregular exactions and tyrannies of their former masters the people benefitted little by the change, since the State proceeded to collect the same revenue from them as the Thekadars had been taking. They did not at once appoint Lambardars for this purpose but realized it direct through Tahsil Chaprasis, Patwaris, and other officials. This is known as the Amáni period. It did not last for long, for between Sambat 1950 and Sambat 1953 all Theka villages were brought into line with those of the Lambardari class, by the grant of Pattas settling them up to the end of Sambat 1957, and by the appointment of Lambardars to collect the revenue. These Pattas, like those given in Sambat 1938 to the Lambardari villages, have not been renewed.

The grant or renewal of a Patta to a villge has always been accompanied by an increase in its revenue. In some cases the conditions of a Patta have been broken by an increase being imposed before the period for which it was granted expired. Such questionable action on the part of the State has been rare; it is always excused on the plea that the amount of new cultivation taken up by the villagers justified the stop. Where this has not been done

the revenue of any land broken up during the term of a Patta appears to have been one of the numerous perquisites of the Lambardars. It certainly never reached the State. The amount of the increase placed on a village on the lapse of its Patta has usually been a matter of agreement between the Lambardars and the State; if the new revenue they engaged to collect was considered satisfactory they were re-nominated Lambardars; if not, other men were found to succeed them. But whether appointed for the first time to, or confirmed in, the post, it has been the custom for a Lambardar to pay a substantial sum as Nazrana for the position. As much as a thousand rupees has frequently been paid for the appointment. This was not given for the comparatively insignificant sum represented by the Panjotra but for the exemption from Tirni which every Lambardar enjoys, and for the many irregular sources of profit which have up to date been open to them. The custom of taking Nazrana has nothing to recommend it; it has been decided that it will not in future attach to the appointment of Lambardars.

12. On the occasion of an increase in the revenue of a Lambardari collection and distribution of revenue. Village, which as has been shown generally coincided with the grant of a new Patta, the Tahsildar supplied the Lambardars with a demand statement, known as an Assamiwara, on which to collect the revised revenue. The Assamiwaras at each settlement were transcribed into a large register, one copy of which was kept in the Tahsil and the original sent to the record room of the Diwani Daftar in Poonch. The method followed in the past as regards the distribution of the revenue is best explained by showing what details these Tahsil registers contained. No register of Sambat 1927 is forthcoming; if it was ever prepared it has since been lost. That of Sambat 1938 gives the following particulars of every holding:—

```
Column 1.—Serial number.
        2.—Village number.
3.—Name and parentage of the Assami.
   ,,
        4.—Total revenue of Sambat 1938.
   ,,
                           of Sambat 1937.
        6.—Khushki revenue.
   ,,
        7.—Abi revenue.
       8.—Shakshumari.
9.—Mutarfa.
                                 Detail of Sambat 1937 revenue.
  ,,
  "
       10.—Abwáb.
  ,,
       11.-Increase of Sambat 1938.
```

The total of columns 5 and 11 equalled column 4. In the above it is not shown how the increase of Sambat 1938 was spread, that is whether on the land revenue, Shakshumari, or Mutarfa, but it is clear from a comparison of this register with the Assamiwaras issued in Sambat 1958 that the Shakshumari of Sambat 1937, shown in column 8, continued unchanged, and that only the actual land revenue bore the increase. Though the total Shakshumari of a village thus remained the same the amount paid by each Assami fluctuated in a rough and ready way, as is explained in the chapter on Tirni, with the number of his cattle.

The register of Sambat 1950, which contains the Assamiwaras in use by the contractors up to Sambat 1949, is as follows:—

```
Column 1.—Serial number.
         2.—Name and parentage of Assami, 3.—Total revenue of Sambat 1949.
    ,,
         4.—Arrears of Sambat 1949.
    ,,
         5.—Total Khewat of Sambat 1950.
                             of Sambat 1949.
         7.-Increase of Sarbat 1950.
         8.—Abi revenue.
9.—Khushki revenue.
        10.—Shakshumari.
                                     Detail of Sambat 1949 revenue.
        11.-Mutarfa.
        12.-Khane Shumari.
        13.-Total revenue of Sambat 1949.
    "
        14.—Rabi instalment.
15.—Kharif instalment.
```

The increase shown in column 7 was never really imposed, for throughout the Amani period during which this register remained in force the State

collected the same revenue as the ousted contractors had been doing. Where any increase has been shown against a holding a corresponding deduction is always found in column 10 on the ground that some of his cattle had died. When the Theka villages received their Pattas some time between Sambat 1950 and Sambat 1953 and their Jamas came under rivision, it was necessary to draw up a fresh Assamiwara for each. The register of Sambat 1954 which is in the form below shows how these were prepared—

```
Column 1.—Serial number.
         2.-Name of village, Vand, and Lambardar.
         3.- Name of Assami.
         4.—Khudkasht or name of Kashkar.
   ,,
         5.—Source of irrigation.
   "
             -Name of abi lands.
         7.-Name of khushki lands.
         8.—Revenue ou abi.
   "
             -Increase.
        10.—Total.
   19
        11.—Revenue ou Khushki.
   17
        12.—Increase.
13.—Total.
                                      Khushki.
   ,,
        14.—Shakshumari.
   11
                              Shakshumari.
        15.—Increase.
        16. - Total.
   ,,
        17.—Miscellaneous Cesses.
18.—Increaso.
                                      Cesses.
        19.—Total.
   17
        20.—Total revenue of Sambat 1950-53.
21.—Total increase.
   "
   29
        Total revenue of Sambat 1954.
   ,,
        23.—Rabi instalment.
24.—Kharif instalment.
   "
```

Columns 15 and 18 were left blank as the increase was only placed, as in Sambat 1938, on the land revenue. In some villages the number of cattle on which the Shakshumari was calculated was shown, but it has not been done everywhere.

The Assamiwaras which replaced those of Sambat 1938 and Sambat 1954 contain the following details. They were prepared in Sambat 1958.

```
Column 1.—Serial number.
          2.—Name and parentage of Assami.
   "
          3.—Khushki revenue.
   "
          4.—Abi revenue.
   "
                                     Detail of Sambat 1957 revenue.
         5.—Shakshumari.
6.—Mutarfa.
          7.-Total revenue of Sambat 1957.
          8.—Increase in land revenue.
             -Increase in Tirni.
                                             Detail of Sambat 1958 increase.
        10.—Increase in Mutarfa.
   39
        11.—Total increase of Sambat 1958.12.—Total revenue of Sambat 1958.
```

From this it is seen that the item Abwáb, or miscellaneous Cesses, had dropped out. Where Khane Shumari was taken in any village an additional column was made to include it. The register of Sambat 1958 is not so full as the Assamiwaras are, for it only gives the resultant new revenue under the heads of Khushki, Abi, Shakshumari, Mutarfa, and Khane Shumari without showing how it was obtained. In the above year not only the land revenue but the Tirni and Mutarfa were also increased; the addition imposed was nowhere heavy and, as has already been mentioned, was not made the occasion of a renewal of the existing Pattas.

The Mendhar Tahsil, unlike Sadhnuti, has never been measured. Khewats or Khataunis have, however, twice been distributed to the Assamis; once in Sambat 1938 to those villages which were settled in that year, and on the second occasion to a few of the villages which were settled in Sambat 1954. The Khewats of Sambat 1938 are very meagre. They only show the name of the Assami, the total revenue due from him, and the instalments in which it was to be paid. Those of Sambat 1954 give more detail; there are printed slips,

signed by the Tahsildar or Naib-Tahsildar, and bear the Tahsil seal. They are in the following form:—

1	2	8	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Serial vumber.	Village, Vand and Lambardar.	Name and parentage of Assami.	Name of Kashkar or Khudkaslıt.	Source of irrigation.	Names of fields.	Land revenue.	Shakshumari.	Khane Shumari.	Matarfa.	Total revenue.	Rabi and Kharif instalments.

Date, signature of Tahsildar, and Tahsil seal.

These registers, Assamiwaras and Khatannis, constitute the Tabsil revenue records. There are no arrangements for recording new cultivation, alluvion and diluvion, changes in occupancy rights, or for the simplest duties of a regular revenue establishment.

13. The actual preparation of Assamiwaras in Lambardari villages has Existing inequality of been done by the Tahsildar in conjunction with the Lambardars. There is a very notic able difference in the manner in which increases of revenue have been bachhed in the Sadhnuti and Mendhar Tabsils. In most villages of the former Tabsil it has been the custom whenever the Jama of a village came under revision to raise the revenue on each holding in the same proportion as the total Jama was increased. Thus in the event of the revenue of a village rising from Rs. 1,000 to Rs. 1,500, each Assami would pay 50 per cent. more on his former revenue. The democratic nature and independent character of the Soodans probably forced such a course on their Lambardars. In Mendhar, on the other hand, the Lambardars have been permitted to distribute the revenue at every Settlement very much as they liked, with the natural result that they generally contrived to assess their own holdings and those of their relations extremely lightly, and to throw the increase on the The revenue authorities knew little and cared less about the other villagers. internal distribution of the village Jamas; it paid them to draw up Assamiwaras to suit the Lambardars and other influential men and to abstain from interference. In Theka villages the unfairness of the Bachh is equally conspicuous; in these it is especially common to find Purdesis, that is, cultivators whom the contractors settled down on the land, treated more favourably than other Assamis whose families have lived in the village for generations. There is no reason to make any distinction between them in future though it may have been necessary twenty or thirty years ago.

The absence of any proper revenue system has thus led to an incredible inequality of assessment in every village. If the future Báchh is to be an impartial one, as indeed it must be, it is inevitable that the revenue paid by many individuals will be trebled and even quadrupled at settlement, even though no increase may be made in the Jama of their respective villages. For this reason the richer and more powerful classes look forward to the announcement of the new revenue with dismay, and could hardly be expected to do otherwise. In Gohlad, a village which is renowned for the superior class of rice produced there, the Lambardars hold the best of the Abi lands and pay about Re. 1 per acre on them; the settlement rates will be between Rs. 4 and Rs. 5. The two Lambardars of Jagál, a lightly assessed village of which the revenue will be increased, pay Rs. 14 each on their holdings; if the existing Jama was fairly báchhed each would be paying over Rs. 60. Such examples might be multiplied indefinitely, but these will suffice to show why the settlement is not welcomed by many classes.

There is also a great disparity in the relative assessment of the villages. The most lightly assessed are generally those which have never been farmed to a contractor. It is not uncommon to find a village paying an all-round rate of Rs. 5 per acre while an adjoining one, similar in the quality of its lands and in other respects, pays only Re. 1-8 or Rs. 2. In Mankot, which has the reputation of being the best village of the Mendhar Valley, the all-round incidence is about Re. 1-12 although it was for many years in the hands of a contractor. In Poshiana, the most distant village of the Tahsil, lying at an elevation of about 8,000 feet close under the Pir Panjal pass, the incidence per acre is Rs. 11-11; its lands only produce maize and turnips. The villagers' explanation of the crushing revenue they pay is a curious one. In the reign of Maharaja Gulab Singh the inhabitants of the five villages known as the Panj Serai, of which Poshiana is one, used to receive annually 500 Suplies of rice, half from Poonch and half from Kashmir, in return for keeping the bridges and roads in order between Bahramgala and the Pir Panjal; they were also allowed two annas in the rupee of all tolls paid by merchants using the In an evil moment two of the villages, Poshiana and Dogri, represented to the Maharaja that they should be allowed half the rice and half the profits derived from the tolls instead of their proper share, namely, two-fifths. pleaded the poverty of their lands and the small extent of their cultivation in support of their claim. Their petition was acceded to, but very shortly after wards they were made to pay half the total revenue of the five villages on the ground that they were enjoying half the concessions granted to them. These concessions have long since vanished; the prosperity of the people, due to their position on the main route of former days into Kashmir, has also passed away; the only things left to them is their revenue, augmented periodically as time went on, which they have continued to pay.

The following statement shows the total revenue of the Tahsil by circles as it stood in Sambat 1959. The details have been taken from the village Assamiwaras and have been checked by figures supplied by the Diwani Daftar:—

	1					2 1	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
					April 1		DET	ALL OF	Sambat	r 1959	REVEN	UB.		Sambat
	_				1	Land re	svenue.	Graz	ing taw	es.	Muta	rfa.	neons	for Si
	C11	CODE.				Abi.	Khushki,	Shakshumari	Zer.i.Chopan.	Dhokiana.	Mill revenue.	Mutarfa on artizans, &c.	Other miscellaneous cesses.	Total revenue 1959.
						Rs.	Rs,	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs,	Rs.
Sooran I		•••				4,299	6,317	2,046	129	230	67	123		13,211
" II	,	***		•••		1,540	7,255	4,084	148	2,871	47	104	65	16,114
" III		•••	***		•••		1,560	104		463	2			2,129
Mendhar Nawal I	•••	•••		•••		6,584	20,276	5,528	188	¦	138	496	107	33,817
" " II			•••	•••	•••	225	3,366	542	17	.,.	35	68		4,253
Mendhar Pahari I	•••	•••		•••	•••	3,444	16,112	5,428	147		102	252	50	25,535
" " II	•••	•••		•••	•••	403	3,041	1,633	45	34	1	77	42	5,276
		Total T	ahsil	•••	•••	16,495	57,927	19,365	674	3,598	383	1,120	264	99,836

The growth of the Tahsil revenue is shown by the following statement. From it it appears that between Sambat 1905 and Sambat 1959 the cash revenue was quadrupled, and since Sambat 1938 has been increased by about 60 per cent. The details of revenue for the years Sambat 1906, 1916, 1938 and 1954 are not forthcoming; for Sambat 1957 a distinction has been made between

land revenue and the other taxes, while the various items of the revenue for Sambat 1959 have already been given:—

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Tansil.	Sambat 1906.	Sambat 1916,	mbat 1938.	Sambat 1954.	Land revenue	Other taxes, 1526.	Total of Sambat 1957.	Sambat 1959.	Revenue demand sanctioned for Sambat 1960, See 'Arrears,"
Mendhar	 Ra.	Rs.	Rs. 60,261	Rs.	Rs. 72,170	Rs.	Rs. 94,265	Rs.	Rs.

It has not been possible to show the above by circles as in several cases villages which were inconveniently large have been sub-divided at settlement, and in others two or more have been amalgamated to form one. The revenue of Sambat 1906 has been given in Khám rupees, and for its equivalent in the present currency must be halved, a Khám rupee being about 8 anaas. The revenue paid in grain for Sambat 1916 amounted to 1,872 maunds.

The term Mutarfa has always been applied to two cultivate taxes, namely, mill revenue and a shop-tax on remained and Mutarfa. craftsmen. It is scarcely correct to call is in its latter form a tax on non agriculturists, since with very few descriptionall those who contribute towards it cultivate land and pay land revenue like ordinary villagers. It amounts for the whole tahsil to Rs. 1,120. It is cases by Lohars, Tarkhans, Kasbis, Mochis, Kumhars, Nadáfs, Shálbáfs, Kumhars and by others who practise a trade of any description. The rates is to been at vary in every village; as a rule they have not been affected by any increase in the village Jamas but have remained the same during the hist thirty years or more. In some cases it is as high as Rs. 7 and in others only 8 No rule or method has been followed in its collection; it is simply what each man or his predecessors have always paid. Thus in the same village one weaver may be paying Re. 1-8 and another Rs. 2-12. The average rate throughout the Tahsilis probably Rs. 2. In the present day a tax like Mutarfa is somewhat of an anachronism; it is especially undesirable in a backward country such as Poonch where handicraft of any description should be encouraged. Its collection involves a considerable amount of trouble, for men frequently petition that, as they no longer work at their profession they should not be taxed for Mutarfa; although in the past these representations have been ignored it is only reasonable that the tax in such cases be remitted in future. For these reasons I advocate the abolition of this form of Mutarfa; the loss to the State, Rs. 1,120, will not be serious. If this is not thought advisable the procedure adopted in Haveli might be followed in Mendhar. In the former Tahsil it has been ruled that the Mutarfa existing at settlement should be continued subject to a limit of Rs, 2 per house. If it is decided to drop it altogether in Mendhar a similar measure may be necessary in Haveli where the Mutarfa collected in Sambat 1960 amounted to Rs. 1,301.

At present Rs. 392 is taken in the Mendhar Tahsil as mill revenue. This is paid by both kinds of mills whether for crushing rice or for grinding flour. It is perhaps superfluous to say that no method has been followed in their assessment up to date; some pay a revenue out of all proportion to their earnings; others pay only a nominal sum; not a few pay nothing at all. A register of all mills is under preparation giving the necessary particulars from which the income of each can be approximately calculated; such as the size of the mill stones, the water power, the percentage of grain taken by the miller, the number of months the water is available, the liablity to floods, the supply and outturn of grain a day, the cost of its upkeep, and its distance for the village. After determining the income of a mill the revenue the owner will pay in future will be fixed, due regard being had to its existing assessment. In every case it will be subject to a limit of one-seventh of the net profit. Although it is impossible to say what the future mill revenue will be for the 'Tahsil I anticipate a small increase on the amount paid at present. I have thought it best not to delay this report until the mill register is complete as that will take some weeks. A rule has been introduced that no mill may be built without the permission of the revenue authorities; this will only be withheld where its construction is likely to interfere with irrigation or injure another mill. The rule safeguards to a certain extent the income of the existing ones.

15. An examination of old Assamiwaras and Tahsil registers reveals a number of curious cesses and Rakumát. In a few Miscellaneons Cesses. villages the Thekadars used to collect a tax called Philákra at the rate of three pies per Ghumao on all Abi lands. With the proceeds they purchased a gost which was given away to a neighbouring shrine; a somewhat inexpensive form of charity. In Sambat 1940, on the occasion of a marriage in the ruling family, a tax was levied known as Tambol in every village at one anna in the rupee of revenue. No pretext by which money could be raised appears to have been neglected. In Sambat 1947 a tax called Junglat was taken at two annas in the rupee of land revenue in return for which the Zemindars were allowed to present petitions on all forest matters, such as for obtaining wood for ploughs or building purposes, on unstamped paper instead of baving to do so on an eight-anna stamp. This tax was shortly afterwards discontinued. Nothing is gained, however, by quoting further taxes of this description with the exception of Rasad-i-Sepahian and Khane Shumari they have all either been dropped or merged into the revenue. The total of the above two cesses in Sambat 1959 amounted to Rs. 264. Rasad-i-Sepahian is only found in Jagir villages where it is levied at the rate of four annas on every holding; it is collected to meet the expenses of entertaining Tahsil Chaprasis and similar visitors. Khane Shumari is paid in a few villages by persons who wish to escape The usual rate is Rs. 3 per house. from Begar.

In the Haveli Tahsil all cesses with the exception of Mutarfa, Tirni, and Patwar, levied over and above the revenue, have been abolished and the same course may well be followed in Mendhar. I would allow the collection of Chowkidari to remain a matter of purely internal arrangement for each village to settle, At present it is a village cess paid by every Assami to the village Chowkidar in kind at three to six seers of grain each harvest. In the same way the abolition of all miscellaneous Cesses hitherto paid to the State or to Jagirdars need not debar the creation within a village of a common fund, like the Malba of the Punjab, should such be found necessary in order to meet the common village expenses. It will be the duty of the Lambardars to account for the expenditure of the fund to the Assamis, and of the Tahsildar to exercise a check over the same.

- Arrears of revenue. Revenue authorities commenced to show great concern at the large amount of arrears outstanding in the majority of villages. Matters had previously been allowed to drift with the result that at the end of Sambat 1959 the total amount due from the whole Tahsil was Rs. 52,557. A statement supplied by the Daftar Diwani showed that of this sum Rs, 33,727 had accrued prior to Sambat 1955 and the balance Rs. 18,630 since that date. Arrears of Nazrana, Tirni, Land Revenue, Khane Shumari, Talabana, Panjotra, retained by Lambardars when their right to it was disputed, and in short all debts due to the State by Lambardars or Assamis are included in the Rs. 52,557, and not only arrears on the actual village Jamas, though these constitute a very large proportion of this sum. They may be said to be due to the following causes:—
 - (a) The heavy over-assessment of many villages,
 - (b) The absence of any proper revenue system and the inefficiency and slackness of the revenue staff, who have permitted Lambardars to misappropriate revenue with impunity in the most open manner.
 - (c) The idea entertained by the people that all arrears would be struck off at settlement, and so if they only contrived to postpone payment long enough they would escape altogether.
 - (d) The method, or want of method, in the way in which the Tahsil and Daftar Diwani accounts are kept. For example, the double collection of Dhokiana, mentioned in Section 28, which the State attempted to realize from all Dhok-going villages and showed as arrears where it was not paid in full.

The fact that 65 per cent. of the arrears outstanding at the end of Sambat 1959 dated from over five years back shows to what extent the increases of revenue imposed in Sambat 1953 and Sambat 1958 are responsible for the whole amount due. It is noticeable that Lambardari villages are mostly clear of debt; this is not surprising since, except in cases where their Pattas were broken, their revenue remained unchanged from Sambat 1938 to Sambat 1957.

Great efforts were made during the early part of Sambat 1960 to realize as much of the existing arrears as was possible. It was known that arrears of this magnitude could not be allowed to stand after the announcement of the settlement revenue and therefore the time available in which to collect them was short. It was too late, however, to hope to recover by desperate activity in a few months debts which had been allowed to accumulate for years. The attempt to do so was not fair on the people. It led to considerable hardship and caused much dissatisfaction everywhere.

Matters reached such a pitch that the collection of all arrears and of the revenue for Sambat 1960 was suspended by the order of the Resident in Kashmir on the 15th Katak Sambat 1960, until it had been determined by the Settlement Department how much of the arrears might stand and what revenue might be taken for the unexpired portion of the year. While dealing with the question of arrears it was thought advisable to fix the revenue up to the announcement of the new settlement, for many villages were so over-assessed that to leave their Jamas unchanged, if but for a year, was only to add to the existing arrears. Statements were at once called for from the Daftar Diwani giving the revenue history of every village, and from these the Settlement Department decided what revenue might be taken for Sambat 1960 and what proportion of the arrears might be considered realizable.

Method of dealing with 17. The result of this enquiry is summarized in the following statement:

Column.	Detail.	Rupees.
1	Revenue demand of Sambat 1957	93,741
.2	Revenue demand of Sambat 1959	97,504
.3	Revenue demand sanctioned for Sambat 1960 and up to the announce- ment of the Settlement revenue.	91,214
4	Revenue collected during Sambat 1960 up to 15th Katak	32,387
5	Balance due on 16th Katak for Sambat 1960	58,827
,6	Arrears which accrued prior to Sambat 1955; these have now been struck off.	33,727
7	Arresrs of Sambat 1955 to Sambat 1959 inclusive	18,830
8	Total arrears outstanding at the end of Sambat 1959	52 ,55 7
9	Total arrears assumed, after investigation by the Settlement Department, to be outstanding at the end of Sambat 1959.	11,273
10	Arrears collected up to the 15th Katak Sambat 1960	10,592
11	Balance left outstanding on the 16th Katak Sambat 1960	3,679

The difference between the figures given above for the revenue demand of Sambat 1957 and Sambat 1959 and those shown for the corresponding years in Section 13 is owing to the inclusion of Talabana in the former, and also to various mistakes which have since been discovered in the statements originally supplied. It will be seen that the total of columns 10 and 11, that is, of the arrears collected prior to the 15th Katak and the balance left outstanding on that date, does not agree with the amount in column 9. The reason is that in several villages arrears were realized before the 15th Katak which would not have been considered due under the arrangements subsequently made. In such cases no credit has been given to the villagers for any surplus they may have paid,

Owing to the state of the revenue accounts and the short time available, for it was very undesirable to suspend the collection of the Kharif revenue longer than necessary, the question had to be treated on broad general lines. The principle followed in 65 villages was to strike off all arrears which dated from before Sambat 1955; arrears of Sambat 1955 and Sambat 1956 were allowed to stand, and also those which had accrued during Sambat 1957-58-59 calculated on the revenue demand of Sambat 1957. The revenue sanctioned for Sambat 1960 and until the settlement revenue should be announced was that of Sambat 1957, any increase imposed after that date being dropped. By the remission of all arrears of an earlier date than Sambat 1955 the State is not debarred from proceeding against Lambardars who have collected but retained the revenue, and from recovering it from them where possible. Nor of course are former revenue contractors thereby absolved from any debts due from them for Sambat 1949 or other years.

In the remaining ten villages where the revenue was excessive and the arrears, even after the above modifications, so heavy that there was no prospect of the people ever being able to pay them off, it was necessary to grant further concessions. At the time the question was taken up the measurement papers and statistics of most villages were incomplete, and consequently there was but little data at hand by which the revenue paying capacities of each village could be gauged. The opinion of the Governor and of the Tahsil officials was taken in every case and due weight given to their proposals. The Rs. 3,679 left outstanding on the 16th Katak is too small a sum to affect the future revenue or to handicap the new settlement in any way.

18. In the past Jagirdars, like Thekadars, have been allowed to do very much as they pleased within their Jagirs and will undoubtedly try to behave in the same way in future. They will require very close watching, and should be given to clearly understand that any attempt to extort more than the actual land revenue sanctioned at settlement will be punishable by the confiscation of their Jagirs. The Jagirdars of the Tahsil are not numerous; a list is given below of the principal ones with the revenue at present assigned to them in each case—

	1		(2 हिंग से मही)	3	4.	
Village Jagir i			Assignees, 11 111	Amount assigned in supees.	Remarks.	
Sehra	•••		Sirdar Shabadat Khan		100	
Dara	•••	•••	Sher Khan	•••	25	!
Sehra	***	•••	Sirdar Shahadat Khan	•••	121	
Balnoi	***	***	Deodhi Rani Sahiba Dhami Kalan	•••	2,001	Whole village.
∆ri	•••	•••	Mian Nain Singh	•••	3,877	Ditto.
Gohlad	•••	•••	Diwan Lachman Das (deceased)	•••	2,921	Ditto.
Sanhot	•••	•••	Sirdar Atal Singh	•••	90	
Hari	•••	•••	Mir Chowdhri Buland Khan, &c	•••	50	

Of the above the village of Gohlad, assigned to the late Diwan Lachman Das, is held in mortgage by the State. There are also numerous petty Mafis and Inams, but by far the greater proportion of the Tahsil revenue is Khalsa. Every Jagir, Mafi, or Inam is investigated by the Settlement Department and then forwarded to the Raja for suitable orders.

Jagirdars should not be permitted in future to take free labour from the inhabitants of the villages assigned to them. The villagers would not object to giving one day's labour at each harvest for reaping their Jagirdars' crops, but very naturally complain bitterly when they are dragged from their homes two marches away into Poonch where they are kept for perhaps a fortnight cutting his grass, storing his fuel, and doing other menial work.

CHAPTER III-TIRNI.

19. It is not known exactly in what year Tirni was first taken in the Present system explained. Tahsil, but it appears to have been originally imposed between Sambat 1895 and Sambat 1900. The tax is called Shakshumari when levied on cows and buffaloes, and Zer-i-Chopan when taken on sheep and gosts; both are included in the general term Tirni. It represents the State share of the profits derived by the people from the sale of Ghi, butter, milk, Lois and wool. Shakshumari is taken on milch animals only and Zer-i-Chopan on males, females and young stock alike. The Haili rate may be said to be an indirect tax on all animals for their manure and cannot I think be raised so as to include Tirni; it would only be possible on the assumption that the proportion of milch animals of every villager to the area of his holding was everywhere the same. This is far from being the case. A man may own 18 or 20 cows and buffalges and only hold 2 or 3 acres, while another may cultivate three times that area and own no cattle except his plough bullocks. There are similar objections to dropping Haili as a class of land separate to Miani and raising the future Tirni accordingly. An animal, even when dead, does not cease to be a source of wealth to the State, for the monopoly, within certain restrictions, of buying hides in Poonch has been sold for a term of years to a contractor. It is understood that this contract will not be renewed on its lapse next year but that the trade in hides will be unfettered.

Although no distinction has been made in the Pattas of most villages between the various heads of revenue, the amount due as Tirni has always been kept separate from the laud revenue and has not been merged into it as in Sadhnuti. With the exception of small increases made in some villages in Sambat 1958 the Tirni of the Lambardari villages has remained unchanged for thirty or forty years. It is shown in Section 12 how this is proved. Similarly in Theka villages the Tirri collected by the contractors remained unchanged during the Amáni period and was unaffected by the general increase of revenue which marked the grant of the Pattas of Sambat 1951-53. But not only has the Tirni assessment of most villages continued unaltered, but the village Tirni rates have also remained constant. Under any other system than the prevailing one this would be impossible, since the cattle vary in number every year. It is explained by the fact that in nearly every village a large margin of cattle exists which are not taxed. These do not include those animals belonging to individuals and classes who are exempt from Tirni, but are animals which escape assessment because the village Tirni can be made up without taxing This difference between the number of animals assessable to Tirni and the number on which it is actually paid is always referred to by the villagers as their Gunjaish, and varies as the cattle of a village increase or decrease.

It will be understood what opportunities of profit are open to Lambardars by the Gunjaish in their village Tirni. The internal adjustment and distribution of the tax is left entirely in their hands; no regular Báchh of it is ever made and a man generally continues paying on an animal after it has been dead for two years before his Lambardar remits the amount and places it on some other Assami. A villager who does not stand on good terms or who has no influence with his Lambardar will probably pay on the full number of his animals, while another will perhaps own a dozen but only pay Tirni on three or four of them. The first people who are given advantage of any Gunjaish are naturally the friends and relation of Lambardars. The Chowkidar and his relations usually have the next claim on it, and any which remains is spread over the remainder of the villagers. In a few villages there is no Gunjaish at all. On the contrary, the assessable animals at the village rates do not produce the amount due as Tirni. The explanation of it is that the number of cattle instead of increasing has decreased since the Tirni assessment was settled. In such cases practically every one is made to contribute towards it, including the Lambardars' brothers, the Chowkidar, the Mullah, Pathans, Gakhars and other classes or tribes which are elsewhere generally exempt,

Thus in Mendhar, although no regular enumeration is made, Tirni is always paid on the actual number of animals a man owns. The Tirni paid by the whole village does not change, but as far as individuals are concerned

the tax is a fluctuating one, being distributed by the Lambardars in a rough and ready way over the cattle of all Assamis and Kashkars who for any reason are not exempted. The adjustment of the Tirni Báchh does not entail the preparation of new Assamiwaras, for it is not done at the same season every year but is always going on. A few Lambardars take great pains to secure a just distribution of the tax but such are rare. In cases where men are grossly over-assessed for Tirni by their Lambardars they occasionally obtain redress by complaining to the Tahsildar; the fear of the former however usually deters them from doing so.

The rate fixed on a buffalo is everywere double that on a cow. They vary considerably throughout the Tahsil. In eight villages they are Rs. 3-6 and Re. 1-11 or more; in eighteen villages they are Rs. 3 and Re. 1-8 or over but under Rs. 3-6 and Re. 1-11; in twenty-five villages they are Rs. 2-8 and Re. 1-4 or over but under Rs. 3 and Re. 1-8; and in the remaining nineteen villages they are under Rs. 2-8 and Re. 1-4. The total Tirni of the Tahsil, if distributed over the assessable animals of all those who pay the tax at present, produces rates of Rs. 2-0-10 per buffalo, Re. 1-0-5 per cow, and one anna per head of sheep and goats. The same number of animals, if all were assessed at the rates of their respective villages, would yield a Tirni of Rs. 25,723 instead of Rs. 20,039, which is realized now as such. In Sambat 1958 the State became aware of the large Gunjaish which existed in many villages and raised the Tirni of a few, but it was not done to any great extent, The rate on sheep and goats is nearly everywhere one anna per head; in a few of the Sooran villages it is nine pies only. In the Mendhar Valley the Zer-i-Ohopan is not included in the village Jamas but is collected over and above it by officers especially deputed for that purpose. The total amount paid as Zeri-Chopan is insignificant compared to the Shakshumari.

In addition to the above rates, known as Chelat rates, there are also Ikrari rates. These are special rates on which people from outside districts have been encouraged to come and settle in the Tahsil, or which have been granted to Assamis who have abscended as an inducement for them to return to their lands. They vary greatly in every village but are generally about half the Chelat or regular rates. In Theka villages, where it was to the interest of the contractors to persuade outsiders and others to take up land, Assamis on Ikrari rates are fairly numerous, but in the Lambardari villages they are not so common.

In spite of the grazing area of Sooran being superior to and more extensive than that of Mendhar, it is seen that the Shakshumari rates of the latter valley are slightly higher in general than in Sooran. An explanation which has been given me of this is that the Mendhar cattle are sold in large numbers and fetch high prices in Ráwalpindi and Peshawar, but that the animals of Sooran, being accustomed to a colder climate, will not live or thrive in the plains. This may possibly be the reason.

- 20. In every village there are a number of persons who are exempt in Existing exemptions from the payment of Tirni. These Tirni. Mafidars may be classified as under—
 - (a). Lambardars and Jagirdars.
 - (b). Tribal Mafidars.
 - (c). Miscellaneous Mafidars,
 - (d). Ikrari Mafidars.

The following statement shows the amount of Tirni at present remitted to each of the above classes. It is calculated at the Chelat rates of their respective villages on the number of assessable animals enumerated at settlement. The Tirni, shown as remitted in column 5, is the difference between the full Chelat rates and the Ikrari rates:

1		2	6					
Circle.		Class (a).	Class (b).	Class (c).	Class (d).	Total Tirni remitted.		
Soeran I		Rs. 629	Rs. 534	Rs. 519	Rs. 315	Rs. 1,997		
" n		1,120	497	746	364	2,727		
" III		24	•••	127	•••	151		
Mendhar-Nawal I		764	925	555	840	3,084		
" " II "		83	200	92	30	405		
Mendhar-Pahari I		884	782	902	626	3,194		
,, ,, II		408	425	153	233	1,219		
Total Tahsil		3,912	3,363	3,094	2,408	12,777		

Of the privileges enjoyed by Lambardars the most dearly prized is the exemption from Tirni they are allowed. It was mainly to obtain this that large sums have been paid by them as Nazrana for their Pattas. The position is so coveted that all appointments are eagerly contested, and are invested with a much greater local importance than they would be if Tirni did not exist. The few Jagirdars of the Tahsil are also all exempt from Tirni.

Turning to the Tribal Massdars it is found that there are certain tribes and classes which have never paid Tirni, and have thereby acquired what may be called a prescriptive right of exemption. The origin of the exemption is not always clear and can only be surmised at. All Hindus, and nearly all Sayads, Pirs, and Koreshis are excused the payment of Tirni. It is so rare to find a Sayad, Pir, or Koreshi who pays that the exemption of these three classes is practically universal in the Tahsil. In addition to the above, in many villages Pathans, Gaknars, Khokhars, Khojahs, Minhás, Mangráls, and Chowdris are also exempt, but such is by no means the case everywhere. The character of the Pathan most likely secured him the concession originally; Minhás point to their connection with Rájpúts as sufficient reason for their past exemption, and similarly Gakhars and Khokhars consider that they have a right to be especially favoured as belonging to tribes which were in former days all-powerful in this country. Khojahs are the traders of the district whose non-payment is probably due to the power of their purse.

The miscellaneous Mafidars form a very numerous class. percentage consists of individuals who hold Irshads from the State exempting them from Tirni on various grounds. Some have been granted them for past service as Sepoys or Chaprasis; others as a personal favour or because they have for some reason never paid Tirni; a few have been given to miners in return for which they were expected to supply one maund of iron annually to the State, and a considerable number to persuade Assamis to return to their lands after they had thrown these up and left the State owing to the excessive revenue demanded from them. By far the greater majority, however, of these miscellaneous Mafidars have never been recognized by the State by the grant of Irshads or otherwise. It has already been shown how in most villages it has been in the power of a Lambardar to exempt whom he would, since the revenue authorities of the Tahsil rarely interfered in the internal distribution of the Tirni as long as the total sum assessed on a village was forthcoming. The consequence is that a large number of people, such as the relations of past or present Lambardars, Mullahs, village Chowkidars and menials, Mirásis, Merkobáns, Fakirs, tenants of influential men, and others, escape for no valid reason from paying Tirni.

The origin of the remaining class of Mafidars, namely those on Ikrari rates, has been explained. A few only hold Irshads from the State permitting them to pay at lower rates than the Chelat ones of their village. But whether

the concession emanated originally from the State, the contractor, or the Lambardar, it does not appear to have occurred to the donor to limit the period for which it was to be enjoyed though the object of the grant, in nearly every case, was only to let off new settlers lightly. Many of these Mafidars still pay Tirni at the Ikrari rates they themselves or their fathers were allowed to come in on thirty years ago.

As regards exemptions in future I think that all Lambardas, Jagirdars, Hindus, Sayads, Pirs, and Koreshis should be excused Future exemptions. Tirni. But to exempt all members of those tribes who pay in many villages but not in others will be to forego a considerable amount of revenue, for quite as many Pathans, Gakbars, Khojahs, Khokhars, Minhás, Mangráls, and Chowdhris pay the tax as are exempt from it. The less Mangráls, and Chowdhris pay the tax as are exempt from it. fortunate members of these tribes say that Tirni was never realized from them or from their forefathers before their villages were farmed to contractors, but that the latter collected it for the first time when they obtained the Theka, and that the State on the abolition of all contracts in Sambat 1949 continued to do so. This contention is very probably true though there are no records by which to support or disprove it, but as Tirni was unknown much before Sambat 1900 (and the majority of contracts commenced about Sambat 1920), there is no reason to exempt them in future as they have now been paying for thirty or forty years. I propose, therefore, that of the above tribes only those individuals should be exempt in future who have paid no Tirni up to date.

It is certain that this course will cause much jealousy and ill-feeling but to exempt none, though the simplest solution of the question and one which would save much trouble, would perhaps be too drastic a measure to introduce at a first settlement, while to exempt all would be unnecessarily generous. The advisability of continuing these exemptions can be reconsidered at the next settlement. In the Havoli Tahsil all Gakhars have been exempted from Tirni, but there they are few in number and it was rare to find one who was not previously exempt.

The method followed in dealing with the miscellaneous exemptions of Haveli has been for the Settlement Department to investigate each case and then to forward it to the State for whatever orders may be thought suitable with a brief report on its merits. Except in cases where there are special reasons for not doing so, all exemptions based on Irshads have been renewed for the lifetime of the present holders; individuals who possess no Irshads, but who can show some good reason for their past exemption, have been given some small concession, such as exemption on a certain number of animals. Unauthorized and unrecognized exemptions granted by Lambardars or Thekadars have for the most part been resumed. The same plan might be adopted in the Mendhar Tabsil. There are frequently attendant circumstances under which the State may wish to coufer some favour on a man though he may have no Irshad to support his claim. It is unfortunate that most of the old Irshads have been very loosely worded; for example, I have seen one exempting a Mullah "and all his pupils." As he has probably taught at various times half his village, the question of deciding who is to get advantage of the Irshad and who is not becomes a difficult one.

There is no object in continuing Ikrari rates; they served the purpose of populating the country for which they were given, and no reason exists why men who settled down and broke up land many years ago should not in future pay Tirni at the same rates as their fellow villagers will. The few persons who hold Ikrari Irshads from the State might be exceptionally treated.

While dealing with the question of Tirni exemptions I should mention the peculiar case of the five villages Chandimar, Dogri, Poshiana, Mahra, and Bahramgala, known collectively as the Panj Serai, which have never paid Tirni. They are all small villages situated on the Pir Panjal road at the head of the Sooran Valley. In return for this concession the inhabitants are responsible for the upkeep and repair of some forty small bridges between Baffiaz and the pass. The labour this involves is not so great as must have been the case when the pir Panjal was the main route into Kashmir, but a good deal of traffic still passes

that way from Poonch and Rajaori. I think the present arrangement should be continued. The villages are the worst in the Tahsil and produce wretched crops. Many of the inhabitants own land in Rajaori and the imposition of Tirni would probably drive them there permanently.

- 22. Instead of fixing rates on buffaloes, cows, sheep and goats, and Proposed system of Tirni collecting Tirni at these rates on the above animals at an annual enumeration as has been done in the Haveli Tahsil, it is proposed to assess every village to a certain fixed sum as Tirni which will remain unchanged during the term of settlement. This amount will be backhed periodically by the revenue authorities over the assessable animals of the village, those only being excepted which are the property of individuals or classes who are exempt from Tirni. The advantages of this system will be:—
 - (a) That a lump sum calculated on the quality and extent of the grazing area, the number of assessable cattle, the existing 'Tirni, and the Chelat rates of every village will be fairer than uniform rates for the whole Tahsil would be.
 - (b) That the people will be encouraged to increase the number of their cattle, since any addition to the latter instead of entailing extra taxation will reduce the rates.
 - (e) That the cattle enumeration will be very much simplified. Where the rates are constant all the villagers combine to defeat the enumerators and conceal the real number of their animals. If the Tirni is fixed for the term of settlement there will be no object in doing so; in fact it will be to every man's interest to see that his neighbours pay their fair share.

The objections to the system are-

- (a) That if from disease or any other cause there should be a large decrease in the number of animals of a village the rates may rise to a dangerous figure. This is to be feared more in small villages, where the Assamis who pay Tirni are few, than in the larger ones.
- (b) That the rates prevailing in adjoining villages will differ and a certain amount of jealousy and discontent will result.

Neither of the above objections are very serious; the first can be provided for by laying it down that if the rates of any village rise above a certain height, say Rs. 3-8 and Re. 1-12 its Tirni assessment will be revised. The desertion of a number of the Assamis might easily bring this about. It is a matter which will have to be carefully watched by the revenue authorities. The other objection will not really be a legitimate one, for it is improbable that the rates will ever vary to the extent they do at present. The existing rate vary from Rs. 4 and Rs. 2 in Chitral village to Re. 1-8 and 12 annas in Gohlad.

The proposed system is only that which has always been in vogue in the Tahsil but on amended and more regular lines. It will be unpopular among Lambardars and others who pay no Tirni, as the practice of extending their exemption at enumeration over animals which do not really belong to them will not be possible. It should be ruled that the Tirni assessed at settlement is on the permanent residents, whether future settlers or present in the village at settlement, and that the Tirni paid by outsiders will be additional to that amount. Similarly the flocks and herds of nomadic graziers can be taxed separately as is done in Haveli.

The Tirni of a village will be paid in instalments at the same time as the land revenue. The question arises whether a fresh Báchh on a new enumeration of cattle will be necessary every year, or if a Báchh every second, or even every fourth year, when the quadrennial Jamabandis are prepared, will be sufficient. Whichever is decided on no change of course will be possible within that period in the Tirni paid by each man; whether his cattle increase or whether they all die he will pay the same Tirni until the next Báchh. The objection to an annual Báchh is the work it gives the Patwaris whose time

will be well filled up by their other duties. This, however, can be arranged by making the Patwari circles smaller than they would otherwise be.

23. Dhokiana is a grazing tax paid by nineteen Sooran villages where it is the custom for the inhabitants to take their flocks and herds during the summer months to the high grazing grounds on the Pir Panjal range. Up to Sambat 1958 the tax was assessed in a lump sum on each village, very much as Tirni is, and its collection and distribution left to the Lambardars. It totalled for the Tahsil Rs. 1,603. Since Sambat 1958 it has been levied on the actual number of animals enumerated on the Dhoks at the following rates:—

		2	3								
		Rates of Sambat 1959,	Rates of Sambat 1960.								
										Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Buffaloes	•••	•••	***	•••	•••	•••	***	***	•••	0 10 0	0 14 0
Bullocks and Cows	,	•••	***	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	0 5 0	080
Buffalo Calves	***	***	***	•••	•••	• •••	•••	***		0 6 0	0 10 0
Other Calves		•,•	***	•••	444	***	•••	•••	**,	0 2 0	0 4 0
Horses	•••	•••	***		•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	0 12 0	1 0 0
Sheep and Goats	•••	•••	***		•••	***	***	***	•••	0 1 0	0 1 0
				Total	Dhokia	na Coll		•••	•••	Rs. 3,635	Rs. 4,689

During the above two years Dhokiana has been levied on all animals, whether females, males, young stock, or horses. Even Hindus have not been exempt from payment, though very few go to the Dhoks. The people have some excuse for their numerous complaints against this tax, for their Dhokiana has been nearly trebled within the last three years. It is characteristic of the present revenue administration that the State continued to collect the original amount of Dhokiana assessed on the villages in addition to that produced by the above rates until Katak Sambat 1960. This double collection came to light during the recent investigation into the arrears of revenue and has since been adjusted.

Dhokiana has been abolished at settlement in the Haveli Tahsil except on a few Dhoks in the Sadhum Jagir and in the case of nomad Bahrawals and others who are not inhabitants of the State. I have assessed the Tirni on the Dhok going villages on the assumption that the same will be the case in this Tahsil, and that villages which have for years past frequented certain Dhoks will be allowed to do so in future without being taxed for Dhokiana in any form. In the few cases where one village pays rent to another for the use of a Dhok within its boundaries, the practice should hold good.

24. In nearly all the Sooran villages and in some of those in the Proposed Tirni A-sess. Mendhar Valley the people are absolutely dependent on their cattle for their livelihood. I calculate that a buffalo is worth annually about Rs. 12 to her owner, and arrive at this amount by assuming that she gives a calf every second year and remains in milk eight months at each occasion. If she yields three seers daily for that period her monthly total is ninety seers, from which about six seers of Ghi can be produced. The usual price that Zamindars sell their Ghi at is two seers a rupee, so the value of eight months' milk is Rs. 24, representing an annual profit of Rs. 12. The above estimate, especially as regards the daily yield and the amount of milk necessary to produce a seer of Ghi, is moderate, but on the other hand no allowance has been made for milk drunk at home or for cattle diseases and accidents. As animal is fed only on grass, her food may be said to cost her owner nothing.

Ghi is a most profitable article of export. If bought in Poonch at Rs. 20 per Poonch maund (100 seers), it will probably sell in Rawalpindi at Rs. 26 per maund of 80 seers. For two maunds the pony hire will be Rs. 4 and the export

tax about Rs. 5-8; the skin the Ghi is packed in is sold at a loss of a few annas. This gives a profit of Rs. 10 per pony load, though the Khatris as a rule say they cannot count on making over Rs. 7. If the export tax were removed the profits would be considerably higher.

An animal is not considered assessable until it has given a calf. The Tirni I propose and the Tirni at present paid is shown in the following statement. In columns 8 and 9 the animals belonging to those classes or individuals who do not at present pay Tirni have been excluded, but those on which Ikrari rates are paid have been included. If, as is anticipated, a great number of the miscellaneous Mafidars pay Tirni in future, the incidence of the proposed Tirni as shown in columns 12 and 13 will be appreciably affected, since many persons who were formerly exempt will now have to pay. In assessing each village consideration has been given to the number of exemptions which are likely to fall in:—

1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		9	10		10 11			12			13							
Circle.	Exist GRAZ TAX	ING	existing grazing taxes.	ni.	or decrease of the pro- Tirni on the existing gtares.	of increase or de-	NUMB ABSEES BUFF, AND BELONG PEOPLE ARE NO EMPT TIR:	ALOES COWS ING TO WHO OT EX- PROM	AN BHI T BRO	NA I EEP HE I DUGI	ANI NC	HE DG DE UUI GUI	ONI BAD C OATS ENCE F BY	, Si	IREP THE ROU THE	PE A! IN GH	R H ND CID C OI COPC	EAD GOA ENC UT OSEI	OF TS, E
	Tirni.	Dhokiana.	Total of exist	Proposed Tirni,	Increase or decr posed Tirni grazing taxes.	Percentage c	Buffaloes,	Сожв.		On buffaloes.			Оп сожв.		On buffaloes.			On cowa.	
Sooran I	Rs. 2,175	Re. 230	Rs. 2,405	Rs. 2,410	Rs. + 5	+ 2	812	618	Rs 1	11	p.	Rs.	. a. 1 13	9 I	s. a 14	. р. 10	Re O	s. a. 15	р. 5
, II .	4,232	2,871	7,103	4,660	- 2,443	- 34.4	1,475	758	2	2	10	1	1	5 2	6	6	1	3	3
" III	104	463	567	100	- 467	82'4	93	28	0	15	2	0	7	7 1	3	4	0	9	8
Mendhar Nawal I	5,716		5,716	5,605	- 111	_ 19	1,700	1,534	2	3	8	1	1 1	0 2	2	0	1	1	0
" 11	559	,,,	559	540	- 19	— 3·5	128	236	2	3	2	1	3	7 1	15	6	0	15	9
" Pahari I	5,575		5,575	5,550	_ 25	- 4	2,049	1,173	2	0	6	1	0	3 2	0	0	1	0	0
" Do. II	1,678	34	1,712	1,605	107	62	670	382	1	14	0	0 1	15	1	12	0	0	14	0
Total Tahsil	20,039	3,598	23,637	20,470	- 3,167	- 13 4	6,933	4,729	2	0 1	0	1	0	2	1	2	1	0	7

The resultant rates for the whole Tahsil shown in columns 12 and 13 are higher than those sanctioned for Haveli There the rates are Re. 1-8 a buffalo and 12 annas a cow. When the time comes to Báchh the proposed Tirni in every village and the rates for the current year are determined, the people are certain to clamour for a Tirni assessment similar to that of Haveli. But the inhabitants of Mendhar, as is seen from columns 10 and 11, have always been accustomed to paying high rates. The introduction of an absolute uniform Tirni throughout the State is not, I understand, the duty of the Settlement. Were this so, great difficulty will be experienced when the occasion comes to assess the Tirni of the Sadhnuti Tahsil, for there the Haveli rates will be found too high for general application. The Tirni which the villagers are in the habit of paying is a most important factor in assessing the tax for the future. It is not impossible, indeed it is not too much to expect, that before the Settlement has run its course the cattle of the Tahsil will have increased to a number which will have reduced their rates below those of Haveli.

The average Chelat rates for the whole Tahsil work out to Rs. 2-10-2 and Re. 1-5-1. The actual rates, allowing always one anna per head of sheep and goats, brought out by an application of the existing amount of Tirni to the number of assessable animals, are Rs. 20-10 and Re. 1-0-5 as is shown in columns 10 and 11. The difference between the Chelat and the actual rates has already been explained; it is accounted for by the Gunjaish in most villages, Columns 12 and 13 show the average resultant rates of the proposed Tirni for the whole Tahsil to be Rs 2-1-2 and Re. 1-0-7; these vary from Rs. 3-0-2 and Re. 1-8-1 in Mahrot village to Re. 1 and 8 annas in Nar Khas. The rates

for the former village at first sight seem excessive, but in reality the Tirni proposed in this particular case is considerably less than the total grazing taxes at present collected from it. Its actual rates on a Tirni of Rs. 606 are Rs. 2-12 and Rs. 1-6, but in addition to this, no less than Rs. 864 was collected from the village as Dhokiana in Sambat 1959. The Dhokiana paid up to Sambat 1957, that is the Patta Dhokiana of the village Patta, was Rs. 458. Even if Dhokiana is to be dropped in future a certain allowance must be made for it in those villages which have always paid that tax when determining their future Tirni. This accounts for the apparently high rates proposed for Sooran II.

The Tirni proposed in column 5 includes Shakshumari, Zer-i-Chopan, Dhokiana, and every description of grazing tax. In the event of an exemption being granted to a villager subsequent to settlement, the cattle of the recipient will be reckoned as assessable in the village Báchh and the Tirni on them remitted to him every year.

In column 3 of the above statement the actual Dhokiana collected in Sambat 1959 has been shown. The tax in its present form is of such recent imposition that it would be unfair to say that the people have always been accustomed to pay it. If the Dhokiana of Sambat 1957, that is the Dhokiana of the Pattas, were shown in its place, there would be, instead of a decrease of Rs. 3,167 in column 6, a decrease of Rs. 1,172. I think the new system of Dhokiana would very soon have had the effect of driving people off the Dhoks. As a grazing tax paid in addition to Tirni it hits the Sooran villages hard. They have paid it up to date but look forward to its abolition as a separate tax at Settlement.

A comparison of the combined land revenue and Tirni proposed in this report with the land revenue and grazing taxes paid at present is made in Section 52. The effect of the Settlement on the land revenue of every village has to be borne in mind when considering the future Tirni. In some cases the increase proposed in the land revenue precludes what would otherwise be a fair Tirni being taken.

CHAPTER IV.-FORESTS.

- 25. The administration of the Poonch forests has recently come under revision, and laws are being framed to secure the interests Description, of the Forest Department and at the same time to safegnard the grazing and other rights which the villagers have always enjoyed. Such action has been taken none too soon, for the spread of cultivation, more especially under the regime of the Thekadars, coupled with an absence of effective control over the inhabitants and wood contractors, was rapidly deforesting both the Mendhar and Sooran Valleys. A list (Appendix A) has been attached giving the principal trees to be found in the Tahsil. The forests of Mendhar Valley, with the exception of a large block of broad leaved species, chiefly Rin, situated on the high slopes at its eastern extremity, are wholly composed of Chir. Those on its left bank, facing north, are denser, larger, and more compact than those on the right as is also the case in Sooran. The latter valley is bounded by higher hills and contains forests of a more varied character. Chir at the lower end is succeeded by Rin and other broad leaved varieties round Baffiaz and Drabe in the centre of the valley, while towards Bahramgah Silver fir and Blue pine cover large areas. The forests of Sooran are denser than those of Mendhar, one reason doubtless being that the former valley is more sparsely populated than The Rin forests, however, have been practically ruined by the unrestricted loppings of the villagers for cattle fodder; the majority of the people are Gujars who own large flocks and herds and are terrible offenders in this respect. With this exception the inhabitants of the Tahsil are fairly amenable to the forest regulations, and afford a striking contrast to the Soodans of Sadhnuti who by their wanton fellings and loppings set all laws at defiance,
- 26. The demarcation of forests has been taken in hand and is to be pushed on as rapidly as possible. This measure is looked on with the greatest apprehension by the people who fear that the right of grazing, hitherto enjoyed by them everywhere except over Shikargarhs and Rakhs, will be restricted in future. The dependance

of many of the villagers on their cattle for their very existence renders it of the utmost importance that this right should be interfered with as little as possible. It has, therefore, been provided that the demarcation of any area as forest will not close it to grazing except where it may be absolutely necessary for the protection of young trees to do so. In such cases not more than one-third of the total area demarcated within a village will be closed at a time.

To facilitate demarcation, which it was foreseen would shortly commence, an order was issued about a year ago when the Tahsil was under measurement, that all uncultivated land which was not entered in any individual's Khatauni or measured as Shikargarh or Rakh should,

- (a) if forest trees were standing on it, be shown as Khalsa-Jungle;
- (b) in other cases, as Khalsa in the column of Assami and Makbuza Assamian in the column of Kashkar.

This was done to protect the rights of the villagers, since it was thought that the demarcation of any area would necessitate its closure to grazing. As this will not be, so it would have been better not to have made the above distinction, especially as the Settlement officials, in their ignorance of what the Forest Department would wish to take up, have, in some cases, entered land as Makbuza Assamian which will be demarcated, and in others have shown areas as Jungle which will never be treated as such. As matters turn out no harm has been done, for all uncultivated land, always excepting Shikargarhs and Rukhs, whether entered as Makbuza Assamian or Jungle, will be open to grazing, cutting grass, and the collection of firewood, except at such times when any portion of it has to be temporarily closed.

The work of demarcation and the framing of forest rules is much complicated in Poonch by the exclusive rights nearly every villager enjoys over a certain area of uncultivated land. This generally adjoins his cultivation and is referred to by him as his Kap. It is his private hayfield and its possession is guarded as jealously as if it was cultivated. It is measured in his name and recorded in his Khatauni as his other fields are, the same rights being given over both Such entries have to be very closely watched as men frequently claim the Assami rights over large areas of uncultivated land which it is out of the question to allow. There are no revenue records by which to prove or disprove such claims. In a case where it appears excessive, it is cut down so as to be more in accordance with the number of cattle the claimant owns and the cultivated land on which he pays revenue. As a rule the other villagers take good care that a man is not given too much Kap, but occasionally they grasp the situation and are astute enough to combine in an attempt to partition the whole of the uncultivated area of their village among themselves.

It is not uncommon to find the private Kap of a Zamindar thickly grown with forest trees, but in order to avoid the question of compensation for taking up such areas as forest, it is proposed to exclude them for the present from demarcation. The question of taxing directly the Kap lands was discussed in paragraph 25 of the Haveli Tahsil Assessment Report; such lands have not been assessed to revenue in that Tahsil, but in those villages where the people so desired a light Báchh rate has been placed on them. Following the same principles I have not proposed revenue rates for the uncultivated land in Mendhar: I propose, as has been ruled for Haveli, that the people be allowed to cultivate their Kap lands free of assessment until the next settlement, should they wish to do so. As it is essential that land under forest trees should not be cultivated. I would add the proviso that before a man breaks up his Kap he should obtain the sanction of the revenue authorities. This will only be withheld where the forest interests demand it. If this is done, the demarcation as forest of a man's Kap at any future date will not seriously affect his interests thereon, since the exclusive rights of cutting grass and grazing cattle over it can still be continued to him.

27. There are certain areas of forest and scrub jungle scattered throughout the Tahsil which have been measured and recorded as Shikargarhs and Rakhs. Except in rare cases where it has been expressly provided for, the villagers have no rights whatever

over these. They are strictly preserved by the Raja for shooting purposes and for the supply of grass to the State stables. The exclusion of the people from them constitutes a very popular grievance. Any village which happens to enclose a Rakh or Shikargarh within its boundaries is continually petitioning to have it thrown open, on the plea that it contains their only fuel supply and that they have no other grazing ground for their cattle. These complaints as a rule do not bear close investigation. They generally emanate from the Assamis of those holdings which directly border on the preserved areas. These men would naturally like to see all restrictions removed and so have their grazing ground and wood supply at their doors, instead of having to send their cattle half a mile or so further off to graze. Besides they are constantly getting into trouble with the forest guards for allowing their animals to stray into the forbidden areas. Their fields also are the first to suffer from the depredations of the wild pigs which harbour in them. But although full of complaints the people do very little to help themselves by fencing their fields or erecting stone walls; the requisite material to do so is nearly always at hand.

A great deal of the trouble is owing to the ignorance of the people, which is taken advantage of by the forest guards, as to the extent of the preserved areas. To meet this the Settlement Department is demarcating the latter according to the measurements on the village maps, so that in future neither forest guards nor villagers will be able to plead ignorance of the actual boundaries.

There are in all eighty Shikargarhs and Rukhs distributed among forty-two villages of the Tahsil. They cover 6,766 acres. I do not think their retention as such is, except in certain villages, a real grievance, as long as no new ones are taken up or the existing areas extended. In the few cases where a Shikargarh or Rakh practically encloses the whole available grazing area of a village, or so much of it as to leave insufficient grazing for the village cattle outside it, the State has been asked to throw a certain portion of it open. Such requests have in most cases received every consideration.

CHAPTER V.—GENERAL STATISTICS AND LAND TENURE.

28. Measurements have been made by triangulation on the scale of System and results of 60 Kadams or 330 feet to an inch. The work was commeasurement.

Measurement ago and the final attestation of all villages completed last month. The whole of the area falling within the boundary of any village, whether cultivation, Rakh, Shikargarh, Forest, or other uncultivated land of any description, has been measured. A glance at the maps attached to this report will show what portion of the Tahsil has been left unmeasured. A rough sketch map has been prepared of Dhok Ghirjan situated in the south-eastern corner, as many villages frequent that locality in summer and the grazing rights over it are jealously guarded. The result of the measurement is given in tabulated form below—

19	еկյ ս	i bert	Total area measu. LisdaT.	22,040	29, 291	10,466	41,133	9,098	39,284	15,313	166,622
18		.g91g	Total cultivated	3,584	2,596	759	10,289	1,504	8,782	2,060	29,574
11	AREA.		Rakbar.	101	200	803	621	222	328	130	1,865
16			Miani.	1,539	1,048	403	5,378	854	4,507	952	14,680
15	CULTIVATED		Haili,	1,012	947	154	2,703	373	2,310	689	8,138
14.	Ã0		Hotar II.	416	221	:	591	27	763	253	2,271
13	DETAIL		Hotar I,	516	180	:	566	88	874	56	2,620
12	.6	91 <i>8</i> þ9	Total ancultivat	18,456	26,695	9,702	30,841	7,594	30,502	13,253	137,048
11			Total Chiran.	12,146	20,503	8,520	18,718	5,481	17,721	9,543	92,632
10		an.	Unculturable.	1,112 11,034 12,146	19,810	8,509	670, 18,048 18,718	3,745	724 16,997	9,248	87,391
6		Chiran.	Onlturable.	1,112	693	7	049	1,7 36	724	295	5,241
8	D AREA	gera pas q	Uncalturable other than Ka Chiran,	1,063	77.8	720	2,697	568	2,086	645	8,557
2	CTIVATE		Total Kap.	3,386	4,599	467	7,146	1,377	9,570	2,548	9,646 29,093
9	DETAIL OF UNCULIVATED		Bannar Kap.	764	283	1 27	2,640	335	4,352	714	9,646
20	ETAIL O	<i>p.</i>	Unculturable.	1,406	2,859	112	3,503	983	2,905	1,054	6,580 12,867
4	А	Kap.	Oulturable.	1,216	1,058	4 1 5 2	1,003	59	2,313	780	6,580
8		bas s	Shikargarh Rushs,	1,861	100	विश्वास	2,280	168	1,125	517	6,766
63		Ros.	Billiv to redmni	13	12	9	18		15	3 6	75
				:	:	:	:	;	:	:	:
				:	i	i	:	i	÷	1	Total Tahsil
				:	:	:	i	;	:	:	Total
				•	•	:	:	*	:	:	
			ıi	:	:	:	i	:	:	:	
-			CIRCLE	i	:	i	:	i	•	:	į
				:	:	:	:	:	:	:	
				:	:	:	:	:	:	ĭ	
				:	i	:	wal I	wal 11	hari 1	hari II	
				Sooran I	Soeran II	Seoran III	Mendhar Nawal	Mendhar Nawal	Mendhar Pahari	Mendhar Pahari II	

The following statement gives in the form of percentages on the total area the extent of the cultivation and of the various classes of the uncultivated area. It also gives the proportion borne by the different classes of land to the whole cultivated area.

1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
		ed.		ND OF	THE VA	E CULTI RIOUS (TED AR AUSIL	CLASSES			CENTAG BES OF I		THET	
Circip.		Total area measured.	Shikargarbe and Rakhs.	Kap.	Ohiran.	Other uncultiva.	Cultivation.	Total cultivation.	Hotar I.	Hotar II.	Haili,	Miani.	Bakkar,
Seoran I		10 0	8-4	15 [.] 4	55·1	4·8	16 [.] 3	100	14'4	11.6	28'3	42.9	2.8
Sooran IL	٠.,	100	2.8	15.7	70	2.7	8:8	100	6.8	8.5	36 5	40.4	7.7
Sooran III	,	100		4.6	81.4	6.9	7.2	100			20.3	53	26.7
Mendhar Nawal I		100	5.5	17 4	45.5	6.6	25	100	9.7	5.7	26.3	52'3	6
Mendhar Nawal II	,,,,	10 0	1.8	15.1	60.3	6.5	16.6	100	1.9	1.8	24.8	56 8	14.7
Mendhar Pahari I		100	2.9	24.4	45.1	5.8	22.3	100	10	8.7	26.3	51.3	8.7
Mendhar Pahari II	,	100	3.4	16.6	62 3	4.2	13.5	100	1.3	12.2	31	46-2	9.8
Total Tahsil		100	4.1	17.5	55.6	. 6.I	17.7	100	8.9	7.7	27.5	49.6	6'3

The area reserved as Shikargarhs and Rakhs will be seen to be not really excessive when compared to the extent of Kap and Chiran land available for grazing and cutting grass. The irrigated area of the Tahsil is 16.6 per cent of the total cultivation, being the same proportion as in Haveli.

The remaining 83:4 per cent, of the cultivation is dependent on rainfall.

of ancestral shares within any village in the Tahsil. The land tenure may be said to be Bhaiachara. The proprietary right in the soil is vested in the Raja, and the people have, if able to prove their right to such status, been recorded at Settlement as Assamis. The Settlement rules, a copy of which was attached to the Hayeli Tahsil Assessment Report, show on what principles and how liberally Assami rights have been granted. All other cultivators are either the sub-tenants of Assamis, Jagir Kashkars, or Khalsa Kashkars. The last two classes consist of those men who have not fulfilled the conditions entitling them to Assami rights. Except in those cases where a Kashkar has been given "protection" under Settlement Rule 21 A, he is simply the tenant-at-will of the State or of the Assami he holds land under.

It is not out of place to mention here the attitude of the people of Mendhar towards the agitation raised in the Sadhnuti and Bagh Tahsils for the purpose of obtaining proprietary rights. Although the status of the various tribes in Mendhar has always, or at least from as far back as their revenue history can be traced, been identical with that of the Soodans who are agitating so strongly at present, they do not take the slightest interest in the movement. I have never, although I have visited practically every village of the Tahsil and know it well, even heard the subject raised.

The following statement shows the cultivating occupancy of the Tahsil. The small area held by Khalsa Kashkars, that is, land on which the Assami

rights have not been given to the occupiers, shows how little new cultivation has been broken up within the last few years.

1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
			ATED AREA		held by	area.	Perci	ENTAGE O	OF CULTIV	ATRO
		ough			- 7	as pa	Hold Ass	d by	Khalsa s.	
CIRCLE.		Cultivated through sub-tenants.	Khudkasht.	Total.	Cultivated area he Khalsa Kashkars.	Total cultivated	Through sub-	Khadkssht.	Held by Khi Kashkars.	Total.
Sooran I		293	3,193	3,486	98	3,584	8.1	89.1	2.8	100
Sooran II	•••	280	2,248	2,528	68	2,596	10.8	86.6	2.6	100
Sooraa III		86	652	738	21	759	11.3	8 5 ·9	2 ⋅8	100
Mendhar Nawal I		980	9,083	10,063	226	10,289	9.5	88.3	2.2	100
Mendhar Nawal II		145	1,328	1,473	31	1,504	9 ·6	88.3	2·1	100
Mendhar Pahari I	•••	512	8,082	8,594	188	8,782	5∙8	92	2 ·2	100
Mendhar Pahari II		189	1,830	2,019	41	2,060	9.2	88.8	2	100
Total Tahsil		2,485	26,416	28,901	673	29,574	8.4	89:3	2.3	100

It is seen from the above that 97.7 per cent. of the cultivated area is held by Assamis. Of this 91.4 per cent. is Khudkasht and 8.6 per cent. held by their sub-tenants. Of the area shown as Khudkasht 306 acres are cultivated through Halis; these men have not been classed as sub-tenants as they are merely the servants of the Assamis they cultivate for. They do not supply their own plough animals and only take for themselves one quarter of the produce.

Size of holdings, the holdings in the Tahsil:—

	1			2	3	4	5	6
Ci	irclə.			Average cultivated area per Assami holding.	Average cultivated area per Assami.	Average cultivated area per plough.	Average cultivated area per Kashkar holding.	Average cultivated area per Kashkar.
Sooran I	404 998	•••		4.2	2.8	5·6	1.3	.9
Sooran II	.,	.,.	,	2.7	1.6	5	1.1	•5
Sooran III	,,,,	•••	•••	2.5	1:8	7-1	.9	.7
Mendhar Nawal I		***	•**	4⋅8	3.1	5.1	1.8	1.3
Mendhar Nawal II	•••	•••	•••	4.4	2.5	5.1	1.3	1.1
Mendhar Pahari I	*** **;	•••	•••	4.6	3	5.6	1.9	1.3
Mendhar Pahari II		***		4∙8	3	5.2	1.9	1.2
	Total Tahs	մ l,	•••	4.3	2.7	5.4	1.6	1

In the Haveli Tahsil the average cultivated area per Assami holding and per Assami was 2.9 and 1.9, respectively. It is considerably higher in Mendhar. The average area per plough for Sooran III appears large. The reason for it is that the people of the Panj Serai villages own land in Rajaori also; they cannot keep their animals and ploughs in both places and so borrow from each other freely.

31. Prior to Sambat 1953 no restriction was placed on the alienation of land. In that year an order was passed prohibiting all transfers without the permission of the State and also making their registration compulsory. The object of the rule was evaded as most transfers made subsequent to its issue were verbal only. Consequently on 29th Phagan Sambat 1959 a further order was passed that no transfer made after 1st Chet Sambat 1960 which was not on stamped paper and duly registered would be recognized or accepted as valid. The method of dealing with mortgages and sales is explained in paragraph 18 of the Haveli Assessment Report.

In the following abstract all mortgages outstanding in the Tahsil have been shown, and also those sales which have come to light at Settlement. No record has been kept by the State of the latter transactions:—

1	`2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Description of alienation,	Total area in acres.	Cultivated area in acres.	Consideration money in	Average consideration money per cultivated acre.	Average consideration money per acre of total area.	Percentage of area alienated to total area.	Percentage of area alienated to cultivated area of Tahsil.
Mortgaged	376	231	4,601	20	12	•22	∙78
Sold	399	224	5,022	22	13	.24	•76

The number of mortgages and sales from which the above has been compiled are 97 and 75, respectively. A large number of these alienations were made about Sambat 1950. The scarcity which followed the flood of that year probably accounts for these. The earliest mortgage recorded dates from Sambat 1934.

The area which has changed hands is very small; 31 per cent. of the cultivated area mortgaged and 54 per cent. of the cultivated area sold has gone to Hindus, and the remainder to other tribes. Most of the alienations have taken place in the villages of Sehra, Balnoi, Gundi, Saghra, Batol, Satwah, and Ari, where the number of resident Sahukars has probably rendered it only too easy for the people to run into debt. In Haveli the mortgage and sale prices were slightly lower than here; paragraph 18 of the Assessment Report of that Tabsil shows them to be Rs. 18 and Rs. 21, respectively.

32. The result of an enumeration of the live-stock in the Tahsil is given below. It calls for no remark.

В	UFFALOES	s.	Co	ws,	Ргодся	Oxen.	.88				
Bull kuffaloes.	Above two years.	Young stock.	Ароуе (wo years.	Young stock.	Abovetwo years.	Young stock.	Horses and mares	Donkeys.	Males.	Sheep.	Gosts.
296	14,796	4,659	8,885	3,696	10,754	3,679	1,071	14	186	17,426	10,593

Miscellaneous sources of people have no important sources of revenue. It is perhaps a good sign rather than otherwise of their general prosperity that very few persons from the Tahsil go abroad for labour, though a fair number are in the service of the State as Sepoys and Chaprasis. In Sadhnuti, where the soil is inferior and the crops comparatively poor, it is significant that large numbers of the inhabitants go every year for permanent or temporary employment to the Punjab. At one time iron mines were worked in Sooran but they have not been touched for fifteen or twenty years. The only trace left of them is the tax still paid in the form of Mutarfa by individual miners in some villages. A little money is made in Sooran by the sale of honey, walnuts, and wood.

CHAPTER VI.—CROPS AND CULTIVATION.

34. The cropped area of the Tahsil is shown from the following Crop statistics.

			Name	of Cro	ър .				Area cropped in Kharif.	Area crop- ped in Rabi.	Per cent. on total cropped area.
Rice			•••	•••	•••		•••	•••	4,774	•••	14
Maize	•••	•••			•••	•••	***	•••	20,298		59.5
	•••	•••	***	•••					623		1.8
Mash	•••	•••	•••	•••	***	•••	•••	•••	23	ار	-
Mung		1	- •••	•••	•••	4	•••	•••	43		.3
Mothi and	i other	r pause	s	•••	•••	- 15	33.1		46	ا ··· ا	U
Taramba,	Kang	nı, Gha	ınar	•••	*** /		1111	***			.0
Til		• • •	***	•••	111		2 44	1.3	110	•••	.3
Cotton	•••	***	•••	•••	1			3	1,178		3.5
Hemp	•••	***	•••	•••			3		27	1)	_
Chillies		•••	• • •	***					24	}	•2
Vegetable	98			•••	***	100	37.7		24)	
Wheat	•••	***						***		3,989	11.7
Barley	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	1.0	1.7	***	! .	572	1.7
Sarsbaf	•••	•••	•••	•••	411	45.2	10.46	A		2,361	6.9
Masur an					d	Secondary.				14	1
	u mar		•••	•••	***	1, 20	S -1111			22	1 .
Tobacco		•••	444	•••	•••	E 1.00				3	} ·1
Poppies		•••	•••	• • •	•••	100 225	•••	544	•••	4	1
Vegetable	03	•••	•••	-16	•••	4:30	P 47-	***			
					7	Cotal	•••	•••	27,17,1	6,965	100

The Kharif crop constitutes over 79 per cent. of the total area harvested. A Kharif crop is sown over practically the whole cultivated area, and the Rabi cropping may be said to represent roughly the extent of the Dofasli cultivation. The total area cropped is 34,136 acres as against a cultivated area of 29,574 acres. The above figures are the results of the Girdawaris made in Kharif Sambat 1959, and for both harvests of Sambat 1960. The average of the two Kharif Girdawaris has been taken. It will be seen that 95'6 per cent. of the total area harvested was under rice, maize, cotton, wheat, and Sarshaf.

35. The cultivation of rice monopolises practically the whole of the irrigated area of the Tahsil. Except on the lands of the well-to-do classes it is grown for sale and not for home consumption. In most villages it is found that every Assami holds some portion of the Hotar area though it may be at a considerable distance from his home and Khushki land. The principal varieties of rice grown are Basmati, both red and white, Shahbegum in small quantities, Gharra, Bansal, Chanjan, Ranjha, and Galori. The best of these are Basmati and Shahbegum which are produced on the fertile soil of the Nawal villages fringing the Mendhar and Poonch rivers. In Sooran and up the side valleys it is the exception to find any but Bansal, Gharra, and other coarse varieties grown.

In some villages at the head of the Mendhar valley there is a certain amount of Bhatru or broadcast sowing on lands where the water supply is very scanty or which depend entirely on rain. The Panniri or Nursery method is more generally adopted. For this the preparation of the nursery plot

commences in Chet; it is ploughed three times and then flooded, being left so during Baisakh. In Jeth it is ploughed once more, raked, and then carefully covered with a layer of Drek or Bheka leaves; these serve as a kind of manure and their application is thought very essential if good results are to be obtained. The seed is sown about the end of Jeth when the leaves have well rotted, and the nursery is left to itself for twenty-eight days. Meanwhile the rice fields are ploughed twice, flooded, and their clods crushed by a log known as a Maj or Chaj, being dragged over their surface. After being ploughed and raked once more the land is ready to receive the rice plants. Their transplantation begins in Har and is usually finished by the end of the month or by the 10th Sawan at the latest. The plants which are now about a hand high are taken out of the nursery, bound in small bundles, and carried off to the rice fields in baskets; there they are dibbled in one by one by all the men of the village who can be collected. fields are weeded once in Bhadon and are kept flooded as much as possible until the grain is ripe when the water is turned off. A good crop depends a great deal on a sufficiency of water during Sawan, Bhadon, and the first half of Assuj. In seasons of drought or great heat the rice often suffers from a disease known as Loha; a windy season too is always bad, but the Zemindar's nightmare is heavy hail for that spells ruin to his crop. The rice is cut between the beginning of Assuj and the 10th Katak. After being cut it is left lying on the ground for five or six days to dry, and is then carried to the threshing floor and stacked. After three weeks or so, when the cultivator has leisure, for in the interval he is generally busy cutting his grass and ploughing for Kharif, the rice is threshed. The straw is burnt, being considered injurious as fodder. In warm villages a Rabi crop of wheat is often grown after rice, but as a rule the people do not care to tax their Abi lands by taking two crops off them.

Compared to rice the other irrigated crops are unimportant; tobacco, Piaz, Jowain, Muli, and Shalgum are all grown but on insignificant areas. The tobacco is produced for home consumption but the others are sold; the onions go to Poonch town and Sadhnuti where they command a ready market.

Excellent sugarcane, both Ponda and Katha, can be produced in many of the Mendhar villages. The people are, however, afraid to grow it as it is generally forcibly acquired, at a very inadequate price, as fodder for the Palace elephants. Arrangements are being made to grow all the cane required for the latter purpose on Khalsa lands, and the villagers will be told at the announcement of the settlement revenue that in future no man will be made to sell his crop against his will. This rule has been passed by the Raja. If it is not infringed I think there is every probability of a large increase in this valuable crop. It will especially benefit many of the Nawal villages in which it is proposed to raise the revenue. If, as I believe to be the case, no sugarcane can be grown in Koti or in the Kashmir Valley, there is no reason why Poonch Gaur should not compete favourably in future with that exported from the Punjab.

Maize is the staple food of the people and is produced all over the There are three main varieties; the best of these Unirrigated crops. is the Safed or white maize which is generally reserved for the manured lands. The Zard, or yellow, and the Sithu varieties are grown on Miani and Rakkar. Ploughing begins early in Phagon and sowing takes place from the middle of Jeth to the end of Har; after the latter date it is too late to hope to raise a crop. When the maize is about 3 inches high the soil round the roots of every stalk is loosened and broken up, and later when it has grown to $1\frac{1}{2}$ to 2 feet high the field is ploughed at fairly wide intervals. This process is called Sil. If rain falls soon afterwards it is most opportune. The crop is weeded during Sawan and ripens towards the end of Bhadon and the beginning of Assuj. It is then cut and left stacked for about six weeks. It is generally threshed in Katak. The disease most to be feared is Rai. It appears after excessive rain and ruins the crop both as grain and fodder. Another danger when the plant is young is from a worm known as Taradde which sometimes attacks the roots in Sawan. This also only appears in rainy seasons. Mothi and Rawan are sometimes sown along with maize on Haili land, but the practice is not common.

Wheat is the most important Rabi crop; it is mostly grown for sale. It is sown between 1st Assuj and the 15th Katak on both Abi and Khushki lands. It is cut about the beginning of Har. It is only in the best villages that it can be grown on the Miani area. Next to wheat of the Rabi crops comes Sershaf. This oilseed is rarely grown outside the manured area. It is sown in Assuj and cut in Baisakh. The oil extracted from it is used a good deal by Kashmiris and Hindus in making sweetmeats.

The remaining crops, namely, barley, cotton, Mash, Til, Sun, Kangni, Taramira, Mothi, and a few others call for no special mention. They are raised on Miani and Rakkar. Cotton is common in the warmer villages and Mash is generally found on land which is known as Sib, that is moist swampy land which will produce little else. The grain is much liked by Hindus and its leaves and stalks make first rate fodder.

The great damage caused by pigs to the standing crops was mentioned in paragraph 37 of the Haveli Tahsil Assessment Report. Since that was written the Raja has given permission to the villagers to shoot these pests, within certain restrictions, between the 15th Chet and the 30th Baisakh in Rabi and the 15th Sawan and the 30th Bhadon in Kharif. This concession is greatly appreciated though of course it cannot be said to meet the question entirely. As long as the animals are preserved in the Rakhs and Shikargarhs, the damage will go on, though to a less degree than was formerly the case.

CHAPTER VII.—PRODUCE ESTIMATE.

Prices.

38. The main sources from which prices for the Tahsil have been assumed are:—

- (a) The Sarkari Nerik prices supplied by the Daftar Diwani.
- (b) The information gained by independent local enquiries from Zemindars.
- (r) The grain transactions recorded in the Bahis of village Sahukars.

It has been the custom for the State to announce at every harvest the prices at which Zemindars should sell their grain to Banias and others. These prices are known as the Sirkari Nerik and are fixed by the Governor in conjunction with the local revenue authorities. Their object appears to be to protect the villagers by giving them an indication of the prices which will probably obtain throughout the year. They are useful in that they, so to speak, start the market, but the market is never ruled by them and they are not considered binding either by Zemindars or Banias. They are of small value as a guide for assuming prices for the future. Similarly there is little to be learned from the villagers themselves except as regards the prices actually current. In most of their dealings with the local Banias no money changes hands; the Bania advances grain for food and seed as may be required and is repaid at the next harvest plus half as much again as interest.

We have thus been compelled to rely almost entirely on the transactions recorded in the traders' books. The three principal markets of the Tahsil are at Sehra and Dharmsal in Mendhar and at Bafliaz in Sooran. In order to ascertain the rates at which Zemindars sell their grain the books of the Banias at each of the above places have been examined with the result shown in column 2 below. In all 879 transactions, varying in importance from 20 seers to 150 maunds, have been excerpted and utilized for the purpose. For those grains which are only sown on insignificant areas, or of which the purchases recorded by Banias are so few and small as to be of no assistance, prices based on local enquiries have been assumed. They only affect the produce estimate to a very slight extent.

The following statement shows the average prices obtaining in the Tahsil from Sambat 1949 to Sambat 1959, as deduced from the Sahukars' Bahis, the

Sirkari Nerik prices for the same period, and the prices which have been sanctioned for the purpose of the produce estimate. The prices assumed in the neighbouring Tahsils of Uri, Mozaffarabad, Haveli and Nowshera have also been given. They are in seers per rupee, a seer being equivalent to 80 tolas. The Poonch seer is 100 tolas, but to secure uniformity they have been reduced to ordinary seers. The prices in columns 6 and 7 were assumed five years ago, and probably do not apply nowadays, but I have quoted them, because the conditions of Uri and Mozaffarabad are very similar to those of Poonch:—

		1				2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Descr	iption	of Gra	in or C	rop.		Average prices for Sambat 1949—1959 as ascertained from Sahukars' Bahis.	Average of Sirkari Nerik prices for Sambat 1949 to Sambat 1959.	Prices assumed.	Prices assumed in the Haveli Tabsil.	Prices assumed in Sambat 1955 in the Mozaffarabad Tahsil.	Prices assumed in Sambat 1954 in the Uri Tabsil.	Priced assumed in the Now.
Rice (unhuske	od)		***	•••	•••	35	31	36	30	35	45	35
Maize	•••	•••	•••	•••		37	-36	40	38	45	55	48
Mash	***	•••	•••	444	4	20	17	20	17	22	18	30
Mung	• • • •	•••	•••	,	fe)	15	15	16	16	22	20	30
Mothi	•••	•••	•••	•••			32	32	28	45	45	30
Kangni	•••	•••	•••	•••	•		37	36	33	45	42	•••
Taramba	•••	***	•••	•••			31	32	28	40	50	***
Cotton	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	711 11 711 11	10	12	10	13	11	
Hemp	•••	•••	•••	•••	***		10	12	10		•••	
Chillies	•••	•••	•••		•••		9	10	9		•••	•••
Turmeric	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••			5	4		***	
Poppies	•••	>**	•••	•••	•••		10	10	Rs. 25			
Til	***	•••	•••	•••	•••	13	14 Ra.	13	per acre			20
Sugarcane (pe	r kan	al)	•••	•••	444	 i	4-3-0	•••	Rs. 5 to 12½		•••	
Potatoes	•••	•••	•••	•••	4		32	3 0	28	20	20	
Wheat	***	•••	•••	•••	•••	23	22	27	20	30	25	33
Barley	•••	•••	•••		•••	44	39	50	40	45	42	60
Mussur		•••	•••	•••	•••	21	17	24	17	25	20	35
Peas			•••	•••	***		21	20	20	30	3 0	
Sershaf	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	23	21	24	20	22	22	20
Karah	•••	•••	***	•••	•••			2 0	•••			•••
Tobacco	•••	•••	•••		•••	12	14	13				

The question of prices was discussed in letter No. 581 of January 8th, 1904, to the Resident in Kashmir; those shown in column 4 were sanctioned by him in his letter No. 108 C. A. of the 15th January to the Settlement Officer.

To illustrate the general stability of the prices of the Tahsil, I give below those of the more important grains which have obtained during the last eleven years.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
GRAIN.	Description of price quoted.	S.1949	S.1950	S,1951	S.1952	S.1953	S.1954	S.1955	S.1956	8.1957	S.1958	8,1959
(Basmati	{	27	30	27	27	34	33	31	31	31	31	31
lice Gharra	From Baniss'	27					34	36	38	40		30
Bansal	books.				30	43	43	43	43	39		40
Rice in general	Sirkari Nerik	31	27	25	29	32	34	35	36	31	35	
	From Bani as' b ooks	35	30	27	28	44	43	46	49	49	37	40
faize }	Sirkari Nerik	30	31	27	31	40	.12	42	45	40	37	
\ .	From Banias' bocks		15	23	23	20	21	20	21	18	28	19
dash }	Sirkari Nerik	15	15	17	20	20	20	15	17	19	20	
	From Banias' books	20	21	21	19	30	27	24	28	25	24	16
Wheat {	Sirkari Nerik	16	20	22	17	22	25	22	25	25	22	
	From Banias' books		41		27	51	53	60	67		40	
Barley {	Sirkari Nerik	25	39	35	25	42	44	44	50	47	37	
	From Banias' books	24	21	21	21	22	24	24	28	23	22	21
ershaf	Sirkari Nerik	22	21	20	20	20	22	22	22	22	22	

One price has been assumed for all classes of rice as in the measurement papers: the variety produced on a field is not the criterion of the class of land the latter is recorded as falling into. The quality of rice produced on its Abi lands has been considered when assessing every village. The figures in the above statement show that the tendency of maize, rice, wheat, and Sershaf has lately been to fall. The recent rise in prices in Kashmir has scarcely been felt in Poonch. This is partly due to the restrictions placed on the export of grain, and partly to the fact that there is very little surplus produce to be sent out of the country; it is all consumed in Poonch itself. Until communications are improved it may safely be assumed that prices will remain, as they have always been, singularly unaffected by those current in the surrounding districts. The construction of the Rawalpindi road, the present Settlement, and to a small degree the advent of the Kashmir railway, though it will not touch Poonch, are all matters which may in fluence prices here. It is difficult to speculate on the market for the next twenty years, as the country may be expected to develop considerably during that period.

It should be added that the very heavy rains, culminating in Bhadon in the great flood of Sambat 1950, and the drought which followed in Sambat 1951, ruined the crops in both years and explain the high prices which prevailed during that period. The country did not recover until the Kharif harvest of Sambat 1952.

39. In all 218 experiments extending over 174 acres, exclusive of those which have been rejected, have been made. The area experiments were made, a rate of yield has been assumed based on that taken in similar tracts. As the experiments only extend over two Kharif and one Rabi harvests, and had necessarily to be left to low paid subordinates, I do not place much reliance on them. The rate of yield of maize assumed for the Haili of Sooran II is higher than that of Sooran I, as the land of the former circle is mostly Ekfasli, while in Sooran I a Rabi crop of Sershaf is often taken off the Haili land, and consequently the Kharif crops suffers.

- 40. Of the 2,485 acres cultivated by sub-tenants under Assamis, 1,049 are held on a cash rent, on 1,279 a Batai rent is paid, and 157 are free of rent. I do not think anything is to be learned from the cash rents paid. As a rule the lands so held are of poor quality; for the most part the Assamis are Hindu Shaukars, Sayads, State officials, or men who hold more land than they can cultivate themselves and who are glad to let it on a rent equivalent to the revenue assessed on it. There is no soil rent in the Tahsil.
- 41. Of the 1,279 acres held on a kind rent, 12 pay two-thirds Batai, 1,141

 pay half Batai, and 138 pay one-third or one-fourth Batai.

 When half Batai is paid as rent by the sub-tenant or

 Kashkar to his Assamis the latter supplies him with seed, but the tenant provides his own plough oxen.
- The only village menials whom it is necessary to take into account 42. in estimating the State share of the gross produce are the Lohar and Tarkhan. The Mochi, Nai, Ghumar, Mirasi, and Chuhra are also paid in kind for their services, but as they are not directly concerned with agriculture no allowance need be made for the payment they receive The same applies to the Mullah and the Chowkidar and to other more irregular demands made on Zemindars by Fakirs and beggars. The dues taken by the Lohar and Tarkhan vary throughout the Tahsil. They are generally paid per plough, the two most prevalent rates being 24 or 32 seers of grain and one Gadda annually. In Nawal villages this is equally divided between the two harvests, but elsewhere two-thirds is taken in Kharif. In order to ascertain what deduction should be made from the total produce for the shares of these two Kamins, the plan was adopted in the Haveli Tahsil of making miniature Produce Estimates for several villages and calculating on the number of ploughs the amount paid away as their remuneration. It was found to be in most cases a little under 5 per cent. of the produce. As the rates of payment in both Tabsils are much the same, it is safe to make a like deduction in the Produce Estimate of Mendhar.
- manner. The half net assets are primarily based on the rates of Batai paid to Assamis by their sub-tenants. As 91.4 per cent. of the cultivated area held by Assamis is Khudkasht and only 4.4 per cent. cultivated by sub-tenants on a Batai rent the foundations of the whole calculation are slight. When in addition to this it is remembered that the amount of grain sold in the Tahsil or at least in the majority of the villages is small it will be seen how exceptionally unreliable, even for a Produce Estimate, the results deduced from it are. The two main factors governing it, namely the prices and the rates of yield assumed, are, I think, well on the safe side. The method by which the percentage borne by the half net assets to the total produce of each class of land in each circle has been obtained is shown in Appendix D. The following table gives the cultivated area of each circle as compared to the cropped area and the half net assets rates on both. It shows in a convenient form the extent of double cropping on each class of land:—

Circle.		Ct	ass of I	AND.			Cultivated area.	Cropped area.	Rate per acre cultivated.	Rate per acre cropped.
							Acres.	Acres.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
	Hotar I		•••			٠.,	516	546	3 9 7	3 6 5
<u>-</u> :	Hotar II	***	•••	•••	***	•••	416	372	1 14 2	2 1 9
У (н ф. А.	Huili						1,012	1,252	4 5 8	3 8 4
Ā	Miani	•••		•••	•••	•••	1.529	1,442	1 12 4	1 14 3
	Rakkar			•••	•••		101	69	0 15 6	1 6 9
parling and							· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1	43.54

Circle.		C	LASS OF	Land.			Cultivated area.	Cropped area.	1	ac	per re vated.		acı	per ro ped.
************	Hotar I	•••		•••		•••	Acres.	Acres. 178	R	s. s	a. p. 2 1	R	s. a	p. p.
	Hotar II		•••	•••			221	218	1	. 13	3 9	1	. 14	. 0
SOORAN II.	Haili	•••	***		•••	•••	947	966	4	. (7	3	15	
Soon	Miani	•••	•••	•••			1,048	1,000	1	. (3)	10	5
	Rakkar	•••		•••	, •••	•••	200	135	U	10	10	1	. 0	0
	Hotar I		•••					***					•••	•
÷	Hotar II	•••	•••		•••	•••				•••	•		•	
SOORAN III.	Haili		•••	•••	•••	•••	154	149	3	7	7 2	3	9	0
Scor	Miani	•••		•••		•••	402	315	1	() 5	1	4	. 11
	Rakkar	•••	•••	•••	***	. 	203	78	0	Ę	5 1	0	13	2
	Hotar I				:43		996	1,307	4	2	0	3	 2	4
WAL.	Hotar II	•••		***		7	591	646	2	3	7	2	Q	7
R NA	Haili	•••	•••	***			2,703	4,882	6	ì	9	3	6	1
MENDHAR NAWAL I.	Miani	•••	4.05	•••	•••	1/15	5,378	6,361	2	3	11	1	14	4
ME	Rakkar	•••	400	•••			621	153	0	13	7	1	2	8
	Hotar I		•••		111	म्हात सन्दर्श	नाने 28	39	4	0	0	2	13	 11
VAL J	Hotar II	•••		***	•••		27	28	2	1	2	2	0	0
Mendhar Nawal II.	Haili	•••	•••	•••	•••		373	666	5	3	7	2	14	9
NDHAI	Miani	•••	•••	•••	•••		854	843	1	5	1	1	5	4
ME	Rukkar	•••	•••	***	***	•••	222	92	0	6	1	0	14	9
	Hotar I	111					874	865	2	8	7	2	9	1
Мехриав Ранави I.	Hotar II		•••		•••	•••	763	738	J	10	6	1	11	5
R PA	Haili	•••		•••			2,310	3,522	4	l	1	2	10	8
NDHA:	Miani	•••	•••	•••	•••		4,507	4,557	ı	8	8	1	8	5
M	Rakkar		•••	•••	***	•••	328	206	0	10	10	1	1	3
	Hotar I			.,.			26	26	2	1	10	2	1	10
1111	Hotar II			•••			253	249	1	4	10	1	5	ä
Mendiar Pahari II.	Haili		•••				639	978	3	15	4	2	9	4
HEAR	Mani		•••	••,			952	873	ı	1	4	1	2	11
ENB	Rakkar						190	85	0	5	7	٥	12	

The amount of the State share brought out by the half net assets is seen below—

a					Amount of	HALF NET	ASSETS IN	Rupees on	
Ci	rcle.			Hotar I.	Hotar II.	Haili.	Miani.	Rabkar.	Total.
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Sooran I	•••	•••		1,858	785	4,406	2,728	98	9,875
Sooran II		•••	***	563	409	3,822	1,652	135	6,581
Sooran III		•••	•••	i	l [531	412	64	1,007
Mendhar Nawal I	***	•••		4,110	1,316	16,514	12,062	528	34,530
Mendhar Nawal II	141			112	56	1,949	1,123	85	3,325
Mendhar Pahari I				2,219	1,265	9,390	6,947	222	20,043
Mendhar Pahari II	•••	•••	•••	55	330	2,529	1,030	66	4,010
Total	Tahsil	•••		8,917	4,161	39,141	25,954	1,198	79,371

For the whole Tahsil the half net assets are 24.2 per cent. of the total produce divisible.

In the following chapter in speaking of the present land revenue I refer to the land revenue of Sambat 1959, and not to the revenue sanctioned for Sambat 1960.

CHAPTER VIII.-PROPOSED RATES AND FUTURE REVENUE.

The revenue proposed for many villages shows a considerable devia-Proposed revenue rates. tion from their revenue at rates. It would I think be a mistake to follow the latter too closely. Indeed the revenue at present paid by some village forbids such a course. For example, the village Kotam, which is a typical one of its circle, pays a land revenue of Rs. 545. Its Tirni is low. The land revenue at rates is Rs. 1,128, but to impose such a rise is impossible. Rs. 800 is probably as much as the people can be fairly asked to pay. To take a converse example. In Marhot the land revenue paid is Rs. 1,597; the revenue at rates is Rs. 713; but to assess the village at the latter figure when it has always paid double, in addition to a Tirni producing actual rates of Rs. 2-12 and Re. 1-6 and a Dhokiana of Rs. 864 on top of it all. without falling into serious arrears, would be to forego revenue unnecessarily. I have assessed its land revenue at Rs. 1,120. Its Bachh rates will of course be a good deal higher than in other similar villages of its circle, but a reduction of five annas in the rupee is sufficiently liberal. The deviation of the revenue from rates for each circle and for the whole Tahsil is small. I propose the following rates. In the same table I show the rates brought out by the present revenue on the two broad classes of Abi and Khushki. It is difficult to account for the high revenue paid by Sooran I and especially Sooran II. I have heard it attributed to the situation of their villages, which, lying as they do at some distance from Poonch, are seldom visited by the higher officials. This, combined with the character of the Gujars who inhabit them, may account for it.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
· · -							OF THE	PRESENT DE.
Circle.	Hotar 1.	Hotar II.	Haili,	Miani.	Rakkar.	Abi.	Khushki.	Per acre of cultivation.
Sooran I Sooran II Sooran III Mendhar Nawal I Mendhar Nawal II Mendhar Pahari I Mendhar Pahari II Total Tahsil	Rs, a. p. 4 8 0 3 4 0 5 4 0 4 0 0 3 8 0 2 12 0	$egin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 \\ & \cdots \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 8 & 0 \\ \end{bmatrix}$	3 6 0 2 12 0 4 8 0 3 10 0 3 12 0	$egin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 8 0 0 5 0 1 0 0 0 10 0 0 10 0	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 6 1 3 4 11 2 0 11 2 5 3 2 5 2 2 4 1 1 11 4	2 15 5 3 6 2 2 0 11 2 9 9 2 6 2 2 3 8 1 10 9

The rates proposed for Haili, compared to those of the other Khushky classes and of the Abi lands, do not vary very much; if land is regularly manured, its fertility is not affected so much by the elevation it is situated at as are Miani and Rakkar. In Sooran I the water supply is abundant but the class of rice produced is poor. In most Nawal villages the irrigation is insufficient, but on the other hand a superior quality of rice is grown. The Miani of Mendhar Nawal I is frequently Dofasli as is seen from Section 43; this is rarely the case elsewhere in the Tahsil.

The effect of my proposals on the land revenue of each circle will now be discussed. The characteristics which distinguish them have already been explained in Section 8.

To render a comparison with neighbouring and similar tracts of value a knowledge of both is essential; it is difficult to form an idea of the revenue paying capacities of another Tahsil by merely reading the Assessment Report written on it. In Uri and Mozuffarabad the assessment circles are geographical, whereas in grouping the villages of Mendhar more consideration has been given to their elevation and to the individual characteristics of each. Thus two villages of the same circle may be at opposite ends of the Tahsil. A comparison is, however, made under several circles.

45. The cultivated area of Sooran I and the classes it falls into for the purpose of revenue rates are shown below. The present land revenue is Rs. 10,616, or 7.5 per cent. above the half net assets.

CLASS OF SOIL.	Hotar I.	Hotar II. Haili.	Miani.	Rakkar.	at o	osed nue.
Revenue rates	Rs. a. p. 4 8 0	Rs. a. p. Rs. a. p. 3 0 0 3 12 0			Revenue rates.	Propo revenu
The area placed for Sooran I the purpose of rates in the fol-	Acres. 516	Acres. Acres. 962	Acres. 1,456	Acres. 97	Rs. 8,992	Rs.
lowing classes. ,, II		22 50	83		303	9,710
Total	516	416 1,012	1,539	101	9,295	j

The proposed land revenue is 1.7 per cent. below the half net assets with an all-round rate per cultivated acre of Rs. 2-11-4. It is 4.5 per cent. above rates. The decrease on the present land revenue is Rs. 906, or 8.5 per cent. The Tirni remains practically the same. The circle is at present rather over assessed.

The general conditions of the circle are not unlike those of Outside Ilakas I in Haveli. There the rates sanctioned were Hotar I Rs. 5 Hotar II and Haili Rs. 4 Miani Rs. 8 and Rakkar Rs. 1. The climate of Sooran I is, however, colder, the rice is inferior, and the villagers are for the most part in poorer circumstances.

46. It has not been found necessary to subdivide any of the villages in this circle into areas or zones. Consequently the whole of the cultivated area has been rated as Sooran II. The present land revenue is Rs. 8,795, or 33.6 per cent. above the half net assets.

Class of Soil.		Hotar I.	Hotar II.	Haili.	Miani.	Rakkar.	a t	o # ed
Revenue rates			Rs. a. p. 2 4 0	-	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p. 0 8 0	Revenue rates.	Proporevenue.
Total area		Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Acres. 1,048	Acres.	Rs. 5,426	Rs. 6,800

The proposed land revenue is 3.3 per cent. above the half net assets with an all-round rate per acre of cultivation of Rs. 2-9-11. This is undoubtedly high, but the decrease on the present land revenue is Rs. 1,995, or 22.7 per cent. There is also a decrease of Rs. 2,443, or 34.4 per cent. on the grazing taxes of this circle. These villages are at present assessed extremely highly. In this their lot is similar to that of all Gujar villages in Poonch. In addition to a Tirni producing for the whole circle actual rates of Rs. 2-2-10 and Re. 1-1-5 they also paid in Sambat 1959 a Dhokiana of Rs. 2,871, the latter tax being equivalent to two-thirds of their Tirni. The proposed Tirni shows a small increase on the existing Tirni, but it is impossible to make up in that way for the Dhokiana which will be dropped even if it were thought desirable to do so.

47. The whole of the cultivated area of this small circle has been rated as Sooran III. The present land revenue is Rs. 1,560, or 54.9 per cent. above the half net assets.

Class of	f Soil.	 Hotar I.	Hotar II.	Haili.	Miani.	Rakkar.	nue at es.	posed evenue.
Revenue rates	•••	 		Rs. a. p. 2 12 0	Rs. a. p. 0 12 0	Rs. a. p. 0 5 0	Reve	Prop
Total area	•••	 		Acres. 154	Acres. 402	Acres. 203	Rs. 789	Rs. 1,040

The proposed land revenue works out to 3.3 per cent. above the half net assets with an all-round rate per acre of cultivation of Re. 1-5-11. I' is 31.8 per cent. above rates. The decrease on the present land revenue is Rs 520, or 33.3 per cent. I have ventured to go above the half net assets as the circumstances of these villages are peculiar. Five out of the six composing the circle pay no Tirni. They are the Panj Serai group and the reason of their exemption has already been explained. I think this concession must partly account for their present high revenue. They are inhabited by Merkobáns who are all well-to-do. They own between them nearly 400 ponies. In Sambat 1959 the Dhokiana paid by the circle was Rs. 488, which will be dropped in future. Altogether the decrease on the total revenue of this circle works out to 44.9 per cent.

48. The cultivated area of this circle and the classes it falls into for the purpose of revenue rates are shown below. The present land revenue is Rs. 26,860, or 22:2 per cent. below the half net assets

Class of Laud. Hotar II. Hotar III. Haili. Miani. Rakkar. Rakk		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
The area placed for M. N. I 898 423 1,768 3,846 354 22,889 the purpose of rates in the follow- M. N. II 71 106 187 369 22 1,848	Class of 1	Land.	Hotar I.	Hotar II.	Haili.	Miani.	Rakkar.	ſ	
The area placed for M. N. I 898 423 1,768 3,846 354 22,889 the purpose of rates in the follow-ing glasson all seconds are supported by the purpose of rates in the follow-ing glasson all seconds are supported by the purpose of rates in the follow-ing glasson are supported by the purpose of rates in the follow-ing glasson are supported by the purpose of rates in the follow-ing glasson are supported by the purpose of rates in the follow-ing glasson are supported by the purpose of rates in the follow-ing glasson are supported by the purpose of rates in the follow-ing glasson are supported by the purpose of rates in the follow-ing glasson are supported by the purpose of rates in the follow-ing glasson are supported by the purpose of rates in the follow-ing glasson are supported by the purpose of rates in the follow-ing glasson are supported by the purpose of rates in the follow-ing glasson are supported by the purpose of rates in the follow-ing glasson are supported by the purpose of rates in the follow-ing glasson are supported by the purpose of rates in the follow-ing glasson are supported by the purpose of the purpo	Revenue rates	***					Rs. a. p.	Revenu rates.	r o
the purpose of rates in the follow- M. N. II 71 106 187 369 22 1,848	the purpose	for (M. N. 1							Ra.
ing glasses		of NE NETT	71	106	187	369	22	1,848	-1
M. P. I 27 62 748 1,163 245 4,662	ing classes.	(M, P. I	27	62	748	1,163	245	4,662	$ \geq 28,156$
Total 996 591 2,703 5,378 621 29,399		Total	996	591	2,703	5,378	621	29,399	

The proposed land revenue works out to 18.5 per cent. below the half net assets with an all-round incidence per cultivated acre of Rs. 2-!1-9. It is 3.9 per cent. below rates. The increase on the present land revenue is Rs. 1,290, or 4.8 per cent., which can, I think, be very easily borne, A small decrease of Rs. 11., or 1.9 per cent. is proposed in the Tirni of the whole circle.

These villages are very similar to those of Shahr Khas I Circle in Haveli; on the whole they are a little inferior to them, and also have not got the advantage possessed by the latter in being near a market in Poonch city. The rates of Shahr Khas I sanctioned at Settlement were Hotar I Rs. 5-12, Hotar II and Haili Rs. 4-12, Miani Rs. 2 and Rakkar Re. 1-4. From reading the Assessment Report of the Mozuffarabad Tahsil, I should say that this circle also resembles the Nawal Lachrat Khanri Circle of Mozuffarabad, except that

the proportion of the irrigated area of Mendhar Nawal I to the total cultivation is considerably less while the manured area is better. In Nawal Lachrat Khanri the rates assumed were Hotar Rs. 4-2, Lapara or Haili Rs. 2-10, Mani or Miani Re. 1-2, and Taki, which is somewhat better than Rakkar, 12 annas.

49. This is a small circle containing only three villages; its cultivated area and the classes it falls into for the purpose of revenue rates are shown below. The present land revenue is Rs. 3,591, or 8 per cent. above the half net assets.

Class of Land. Revenue rates		Hotar I. Rs. a. p. 4 0 0	Rs. a. p.		Miani. Rs. a. p. 1 8 0	, i	Revenue at rates.	Proposed revenue.
The area placed for \(\begin{aligned} M. \\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		Acres. 28	Acres.	Acres. 56 208	Acres. 124 490	Acres. 7	Rs. 698	Rs.
classes. M. Total	P. I	28	14	373	240 	222	796 3,083	3,250

The proposed land revenue works out to 2.3 per cent, below the half net assets with an all-round incidence per acre of cultivation of Rs. 2.2.7. It is 5.4 per cent. above rates. The decrease on the present land revenue is Rs. 341, or 9.5 per cent. The Tirni remains practically unchanged.

50. The cultivated area of this circle and the classes it falls into for the purposes of revenue rates are shown below. The present land revenue is Rs. 19,556, or 2.4 per cent. below the half net assets.

Class of Land.	Hotar 1.	Hotar II.	Haili .	Miani.	Rakkar.	rates	venue.
Revenue rates	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.			•	Revenue at	Proposed revenu
	Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs.
The area placed for M. I. I the purpose of rates M. N. II in the following M. P. I. classes.	373 48 453	69 2 690 2	170 1,773 367	423 8,516 568	1 260 67	3,899 198 14,517 1,706	19,680
Total	874	763	2,310	4,507	328	20,320	

The proposed land revenue works out to 1.8 per cent, below the half net assets with an all-round incidence per cultivated acre of Rs. 2-3-10. It is 3.1 per cent, below rates. The increase on the present land revenue is Rs. 124, or only 6 per cent. The Tirni proposed for the circle also remains practically unaltered. The present relative assessment of these villages is very unequal.

Except as regards the water supply, which is generally insufficient for the irrigated area of this circle, the conditions appear to resemble those of the Dachna Circle of the Uri Tahsil. The rates sanctioned at Settlement there were Hotar Rs. 4-12, Haili Rs. 2-12, Miani Re. 1-8, and Taki Re. 1.

51. The cultivated area of this circle and the classes it falls into for the purposes of revenue rates are shown below. The present land revenue is Rs. 3,444, or 141 per cent. below the half net assets.

Class of La	ıd.		Hotar I.	Hotar II.	Haili.	Miani.	Rakkar.	s st	0 8 e č
Revenue rates	•••		Rs. a. p. 2 12 0	Rs. a. p. 2 0 0			Rs. a. p. 0 8 0	Revenue rates.	Proporevenue.
The area placed	ſΜ. N .	ı.	Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Rs. 68	Rs.
for the purpose of rates in the	M. N.	11.	7					28	20
following classes.	М. Р.	II.	19	253	680	939	190	3,48 2	3,450
To	tal	•••	26	253	639	952	190	3,578]

The proposed land revenue works out to 14 per cent. below the half net assets with an all-round incidence per cultivated acre of Re. 1-10-10. It is 3.6 per cent. below rates. The increase on the present land revenue is Rs. 6, or .2 per cent. There is a decrease of Rs. 107, or 6.2 per cent. in the Tirni.

52. In the following statement the effect of my proposals is shown for each circle. As it is not known what the future Mutarfa will amount to, it has been excluded in both columns 11 and 14. It is too small an item to affect the assessment and will probably differ little from the existing Mutarfa. The tax too does not concern the general community. With this exception the land revenue, grazing taxes, and all other cesses and Rakumat as collected in Sambat 1959 have been included in column 11, while consequently column 14 includes the total revenue, excepting Mutarfa, which it is proposed to take from the people in future.

यस्यपंत्र ज्ञापन

17		Регселіаде оf рторове гатев,	Rs,	+4.5	+25.3	+31.8	ф. Е	+5.4	-3.I	3.6	+
16		Регсептаве от ргоров стедае от deстедве от гечепце.	Rs.	9.4-	- 26:9	-44.9	9+	-6.5	+5.6	Î	9.80
15		venue, Tinii, and Patwar. Proposed increase or de-			-4,290	-954	+1,952	-258	+664	98-	-3,520
41		Total of proposed land Venue, Tinni, and Pa	B.8,	12,423	11,673	1,173	34,635	268'8	25,845	5,162	94,803
13	OSED NUE.	Proposed Patwar Cess.	Ba	303	213	833	880	102	615	101	2,253
12	PROPOSED REVENUE.	bas invir besoquat land revenue.	Rs.	12,120	11,468	1,140	33,755	3,790	25,230	5,055	92,550
11		Total of present grant tracent traces, land revenue miscolleneous Gesses	Кв.	13,021	15,963	2,127	32,683	4,150	25,181	5,198	98,323
T0		Percentage of pro- posed increase or decrease,	В.	8.5	1.23-	-33.3	+4:3	9.6—	9.+	-	3:1
6	YUE.	то рекотопі ранодот дестеваю,	Rs.	906-	-1,995	520	+1,290	341	+124	9+	2,342
8	LAND REVENUE.	Proposed land re-	Rs.	9,710	6,800	0,00	28,150	3,250	19,680	3,450	72,080
t-	La	Land revenue of Sambat 1959.	Rs.	10,616	8,795	1,560	26,860	3,591	19,556	3,444	74,422
9		Land revenue at	Rs.	9,295	5,426	789	29,399	3,083	20,320	3,578	71,890
ů		Percuntage of pro- posed increase or decrease.	Rs,	\$7 +	34.4	85.4	1.3	3.5	7 .	-6.2	-13.4
-+	TAXES.	Proposed increase or decrease.	R8.	+	-2,443	1427	1111	-19	-25	-107	-3,167
m	GRAZING	luriT besodor4	Rå	2,410	4,660	001	5,605	075	5,550	1,605	20,470
63		Total grazing taxes , 959,	Ra.	2,405	7,103	567	5,716	559	5,575	1,712	23,637
		•		į	÷	:	:	ŧ	:	:	:
		•		:	:	:	Ē	:	:	:	1
				ŧ	:	:	:	:	:	ŧ	hail
				÷	E	i	;	:	:	Ē	Total Taheil
		Circle.		:	i.	ŧ	:	÷	÷	:	Ĕ
		Ö		:	:	i	ŧ	÷	÷	:	
				•	2	:		ponj	÷	11	
				Ē	į	:	awal I	II 4	Pahari I	-	
				Scoran I	и "	111 "	Mendhar Nawal I	£	,, Ps	3	

I do not think it necessary to compare the proposed assessment with the revenue sanctioned for Sambat 1960. It has been shown in Section 17 how this was only a temporary arrangement, taken without a full knowledge of the circumstances of many villages, in order to tide over the few months which would elapse before the Settlement revenue could be announced. If such a comparison is, however, made, the Dhokiana collected in Sambat 1960, namely Rs. 4,689, should be added to the revenue sanctioned for that year.

The above figures show a substantial decrease of 13.4 per cent. in the grazing taxes, a small decrease of 3.1 per cent, in the land revenue, and a net decrease of 3.6 per cent. in the total revenue of the Tabsil. I do not, however, think any more can be taken. Not much importance need be attached to the great increase in the revenue between Sambat 1916 and Sambat 1938. During that period the greater part of the present cultivated area was brought under the plough, and the country experienced for the first time a settled Government. The later revenue history deserves more attention. A reference to Section 13 will show that between Sambat 1938 and Sambat 1954 the total revenue of the Tabsil was increased by 50 per cent. This fell on the Thaka villages which comprised three-quarters of the total number, and in which it may safely be assumed that the contractors generally contrived to extract a full revenue. The greater proportion of the increase was imposed in Sambat 1953, four years after the abolition of the contracts.

Between Sambat 1954 and Sambat 1959 the revenue rose from Rs. 90,157 to Rs. 99,835. There are no records to show how much of the recent increases were due to new cultivation, but I doubt if much land has been broken up within the last decade. In any case, the above circumstances alone would go far to preclude the imposition of a further large increase just now, even if the land and general conditions which prevail justified such action which, in my opinion, is far from being the case. It must also be remembered that there is httle likelihood, or indeed possibility, of any great extension of cultivation.

The existence and the amount of the arrears outstanding in Sambat 1960, more especially of those which accrued prior to Sambat 1955, when it was not known that the present Settlement was coming, must not be lost sight of when considering the future assessment.

The rates I proposed are admittedly not low when compared to those of similar tracts, but in assessing the Tahsil the past revenue which has been paid is a factor almost if not quite as important as the revenue which is taken in the surrounding districts. The great majority of the villages have never known what it is to be secured from a rise in their revenue for twenty years. This knowledge which the Settlement will bring will not be the least of its boons. The general development of the country, especially as regards its communications, coupled with the improvement in its administration which may confidently be expected to take place during the term of Settlement, cannot fail to affect favourably the revenue paying capacities of the people. Here I should also add that since Section 4 dealing with the trade of the Tahsil was written, the export tax on grain has been removed and the Customs tariff considerably modified. This is a step in the right direction and should prove of benefit to the people.

The financial results of the Settlement will be really a redistribution of the present revenue over the Tahsil and a Bachh of the new Jama of every village on an impartial basis. I have no doubt that a great outery will be raised against whatever revenue may be sanctioned. This will be engineered chiefly by Lambardars and other individuals who, having escaped with a nominal revenue in the past, find that they have been treated at Settlement on the same footing as regards their land revenue as ordinary Assamis.

53. Four registers, marked respectively A, B, C and D, are submitted with this report. The contents of each are shown in Appendix C. They give briefly the following details by circles for every village:—

Register A.—The past revenue history and the detail of the existing revenue.

Register B.—The present grazing taxes, the proposed Tirni, and the method by which the latter has been determined.

Register C.—The classification of the cultivated area, the rates applied to it, the resultant land revenue, and the land revenue it is proposed to take in future.

Register D.—The net results of the Settlement as regards land revenue, Tirni, and other cesses.

Two maps of the Tahsil, Appendices J and H, which explain themselves, also accompany this report.

CHAPTER IX.-MISCELLANEOUS.

- division of responsibility results, to which in some degree the large arrears outstanding in Sambat 1960 were due. Except where a reduction in the number is unavoidable the Settlement Department has been careful to interfere as little as possible in the existing arrangements. Lambardars coming under reduction at Settlement have been granted exemption from Tirni for life, and in cases where the amount of Nazrana or other circumstances justifies a further concession the exemption has been continued to their sons. At present the Lambardari cases of 46 out of the 75 villages of the Tahsil have been decided; the remainder will be disposed of within a few weeks. They do not present the same difficulties as in Sadhnuti, where it is not uncommon to find forty or fifty Lambardars in a village paying Rs. 1,500 revenue.
- other than the Panjotra of Lambardars be paid, as is the case at present, out of the land revenue; this arrangement has been sanctioned for the Haveli Tahsil. The prevailing rate of Panjotra is 4½ per cent. The only cess—excluding Tirni and Mutarfa which are really taxes, and Chankidari which is a village cess—levied by the State over and above the land revenue will be Patwar. Jagirdars are entitled under the Settlement Rules to the actual land revenue assigned to them and nothing more.

The following establishment, allowing about 2,500 fields to each Patwari, will be sufficient for the revenue work of the Tahsíl:—

1			2		·	14.41	14 41413	4	5
No.			Deta	il.			Grade pay.	Monthly pay.	Annual expenditure.
							Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
3	Patwaris	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	12	36	432
5	,,	•••	•••	•••	•••		10	50	600
2	,,		•••	•••	•••		8	16	192
1	Girdawa	r Kar	ungo	•••	•••	•••	25+5 horse allowance	30	360
1	Office		,,	•••	•••	•••	25	25	300
	One-four		pa y a n	d T. A.	. of	Sadar	121	$12\frac{1}{9}$	150
	Kanung Continge	ncies	at Rs.	10 per	Patv	vari		•••	100

The total annual expenditure from the above is Rs. 2,134. A Patwar cess of 3, per cent., i.e., half anna in the rupee of land revenue will yield Rs. 2,253. This will leave a margin of Rs. 119 for unforeseen expenditure.

The present custom is to calculate the Panjotra on the total revenue. Lambardars receive so many concessions, such as exemption from Tirni and Begar, marriage fees, and Panjotra, that I think it will be enough if it is calculated for the future on the actual land revenue as has been done in the Haveli Tahsil.

Tahsil establishment.

56. The Tahsil establishment consists of-

							$\mathbf{Rs.}$
One Tahsildar on	***	***		***		***	65
One Naib Tahaildar on	•••	***	•••	***	•••	•••	17
One Wasil Baki Navis on			•••	• • •	•••		15
One Siah Navis on	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••		10
One Moharrir, Judicial, on	•••	•••	•••	•••		***	12
One Treasurer on			4				10

and the usual staff of Chaprasis. The pay of the Naib Tahsildar should be raised to Rs. 30 rising to Rs. 50 The pay of the Tahsildar might be Rs. 60 to Rs. 80 in future.

57. I propose that one-third of the future revenue be taken in Rabi and two-thirds in Kharif. The date of the Rabi instalment should be 15th Har, while the Kharif revenue should be taken in two equal instalments on 15th Maghar and 15th Magh. For the Panj Serai villages earlier dates must be fixed for the Kharif payments, as the people leave their lands as winter approaches and cross the border into Rajaori. For this reason their Kharif instalments might be taken on 1st Katak and 1st Maghar.

The above arrangements will be more convenient to the people than the existing ones. The annual revenue of many villages is at present equally divided between the two harvests. This comes hard on the people as the Rabi cropping is not extensive. The early dates it is collected on often drives Zemindars to borrow from the Banias.

Term and cost of Settle. the State and the Jagirdars will presumably be the same as have been sanctioned for Haveli. There the Settlement has been made for twenty years. The orders as regards the payment by Jagirdars of their share of the Settlement expenses will be found in paragraph 15 of the Review by the Resident in Kashmir of the Haveli Assessment Report.

Poonen:

Pated 6th April 1904.

R. H. CHENEVIX TRENCH, LIEUT., J. A.,

Sellement Officer, Poonch.

यस्ययंत्र अधने

APPENDICES.

संख्यांच स्थल

APPENDIX A.

SHOWING THE TREES FOUND IN THE MENDHAR TABSIL.

Latin No	ame.			English 1	Name.			Vernacular Name.
etala utilis		•••		Silver Bireh	•••		•••	Bhojputra.
bies Webbiana	•••	•••	•••	Silver Fir	•••	•••	•••	Tūng.
icea morinda	•••	•••	•••	Spruce Fir	•••	•••	•••	Rair.
Caxus baccata	***	•••	•••	Yew	•••	•••	•••	Barmi.
Pinus excelsa	24.0	•••	•••	Blue Pino	ą. ···	•••		Biar.
" longifolia	•••	•••		Long-leafed Pine	<i></i>	•••	•••	Chir.
Quercus incana	•••	•••	•••	Oak	•••	•••		Rin.
,, dilatata	•••	•••	•••	,	•••	•••	•••	Barachhar.
" ilex	•••	•••	•••		•••	•••	•••	Brain.
eltis Australis	•••	•••	•••	Control of the				Krik.
ledrela serrata	•••	•••	•••	विद्यापीय जागाने।	•			Drawa.
Pistacia intergerrima	•••	•••	•••	••••	•			Kanga
Ilmus wallichiana	***	•••		Large-leafed Elm	•••	•••	•••	Mannu.
Acer pictum	•••	•••		Maple	•••	•••		Tirkhana.
Populus ciliata	•••	•••	•••	Poplar	•••	•••		Safaida.
Ficus clavata	***	•••	•••	Fig	•••	•••		Phagwars.
Aluus nitida	•••	•••	•••	Alder	•••	•••	•••	Sarol.
yrus pashia	•••	•••	•••	Mediar	•••	•••		Batang.
iuglans regia 🔐	•••		•••	Walnut	•••	•••	•••	Akhrot.
Aesculus indica	•••	•••		Horse chestnut	•••	•••	•••	Bankhori.
Fraxinus floribunda	•••	•••	•••	Ash	•••	•••	•••	Sum.
Salix tetrasperma		•••	•••	Willow	•••	•••		Beesa.
Banus sompevirrons	•••	•••	•••	Вох	***	•••	• • •	Chikri.
Prunus Persica	•••	•••	•••	Peach	•••	•••	•••	Aru.
Diospyros lotus	•••	•••	•••	Ebony tree	***			Amlök.
Platanus Orientalis		•••	•••	Plane	•••	•••	•••	Chenar.
Prunus armenioca		•••		Apricot	•••	•••		Ari.

APPENDIX B.

SHOWING THE CLASSIFICATION OF VILLAGES.

SOORAN	1-	SOORAN III-	MENDHAR NAWAL II-
1.	Malhan.	1. Sailan	1. Sehra.
2.	Phagla.	2. Mahra.	2. Jahi.
٤.	Thug ia.		
3.	Lathung.	3. Bahran gala,	3. Kannah.
4.	Kallar-Katal.	4. Chandimar,	Mendhar Pahari I-
			1. Gahni.
5.	Pamrote.	5. Dogrian.	2. Dabraj.
6,	Gandi.	6. Poshiana.	3. Kasbalari.
7.	Draba.	MENDHAR NAWAL I-	4
		1. Mandhol,	4. Thera.
8.	Suran Kot.		5. T opa.
9.	Samhot.	2. Batol.	
ú).	Camuo.	3. Balnoi,	6. Chungan.
1 0.	Potha.	J. Danot	7 17.1.1.
		4. Sagbra	7. Kalaban.
31.	Sanai,		8. Dharana.
12.	Dhandak.	5. Ochard.	
12.		6. Mankot.	9. Gohlad.
13.	Lasana.	o. manson.	10. Dhar-Galhoon.
SOORAN	11—	7. Chajla.	To. Dhar-Gainoon.
1	Hari.	सर्वार्धिय गाँवन	11. Sarhuti.
		S. Maidan.	
2.	Dodi.		12. Galutha.
3.	Marhot.	9. Jagal.	13. Gursahai.
ð.	Marnot.	10 Diad Diam	14. Parat.
4.	Mohra-Bachhai,	10. Bhati-Dhar,	14. Farau.
		11. Narol.	15. Nakhar-Majhiari.
5.	Gounthal.		Mendhar Pahari II-
6.	Dandi-Dhara,	12. Banola.	l. Dara.
v.	Durant Daniel	13. Chitral.	
7.	Sangla.	13. Onivai.	2. Sailani,
		14. Salwah.	0. 7.0
8.	Dhara-Mohra.		3. Pathana-tir.
9.	Sangliani.	15. Bhera.	4. Jaranwali-gali,
01	Swing war and	16. Kotan,	
10.	Jararanwali.	10. Ituvani	5. Kallar-Mohra.
		17. Ari.	6. Nar-Khas.
11.	Mohra		7. Bhata-Dhurian.
19	Rafliaz.	18. Harni,	8. Sanghiot.

12. Bafliaz.

8. Sanghiot.

APPENDIX C.

REGISTER A.

	_						
	.096	el treames tol belyobs ennevell					
		Total Revenue of Sambat 1959.					
		Офрет Мівселано Освясв.					
	ırfa.	Тех оп Агіленв.					
VENUE,	Muto	Мін Кечепце,					
ıt 1959, Be		Броківпа,					
L OF SAMBA	razing Tase	Netwi-Chopan.					
Detai	0	Shakshumari.					
	evenue.	'!त्रप्रकृष्					
	Land R	Abi,					
,	•	7361 indms2 to sunsvent later					
F SAMBAT EVENUE.		Соввов.					
DETAIL 0 1957, R		гвид Ветепис.					
		Total Revenue of Sambat 1938,					
		3161 tadmas to enneyest late'T					
		Total Revenue of Sambat 1906,					
	.eloui!) inomanoseA						
, i	Jabiad	Formerly Theks, Jagir, or Lam					
		Name of Village.					
	DETAIL OF SAMBAT 1959, REVENUE.	Detail of Sanbat 1957, Revenue. Land Revenue. Orazing Tokes. Mutarfa.					

25	}	of column 24 of same.	Proposed increase or decrease							
12	5		Total of columns 22 and 28,							
23		Revence pro- posed for tex future,	,iariT							
2.2	;	Rever Posed Fo	ьвид Ветепис.							
68	3	gnizert) bae	Total of old Land Revenue							
20		gosts the re-	Allowing I anna for sheep and sufficient rates per buffalo so de s							
61	}		Тгоровед Тітлі Аввеватель.							
18			Total of columns 14, 15, 16.							
17		IN ACRES G. RAKHE EXCLUDED.								
16			, հոռքի							
115	3	UNCULTIVATED ABRA AVAILABLE FOR GRAZIN AND SHIKARGARIS ABE	.nexidD							
	-	UNCU AVAILA AND SE	K#b.							
13		oats, the Tirri oats, 9, 9, 10,	og bas qeede rot suns I pributout iloo ai elemias no eetst teledO ts							
12	1		Chalat rates of Village.							
H II		the resultant	and quest for sheep and solvented for sheep and a longung distribution on second solvented for cowe and buffaloes (on							
10		CFSABLE LLAGE SE ON UT NOT IDARS.	Sheep and Goats,							
6		NUMBER OF ABBFESABLE AVIMALS IN FILLAGE INCLUDING THOSE ON IRRABI NATES BUT NOT THOSE OF MAPIDARS.	Cows,							
- ac		NCABE AVIMA INCLUD IRRAR THOSE	Випа јоез.							
7			Potel Grezing Texes.							
9	G TAXES.		. Проківпв.							
, co		Exibting grazing taxes	Zeri-Chopsan if not included in							
4		EXIBTI	firni.							
, 			Lambardari, Theka, or Jagir.							
C3			Name of Village,							
-	1		Serial No. of Village.							

APPENDIX C-concludeu.

oj.				В ЕМ т ика.		2.5	
Benars.		18		Difference of proposed Land Revenue above o	above or	21	
				Proposed Land Revenue.		20	
Percentage of proposed increase or decre Total Revenue.	ករំ ១១៛	17		Whether lands are above or below average c class.	To eggs of	19	
Proposed increase or decrease in total Re-	enge.	16		Торај Котопие за тяјез.		18	
Total of proposed Land Revenue, Tirn Palwar Cess.	pur	15		Ваківат.		17	
				e incill	TES ON	16	
Palwar Coss.	REVENUE,	17		AT BATES	14	15	
Proposed Tirni and Land Bevenue.	PROPOSED	13		Hotar II.	REVENU	77	
Present (Sambet 1959) Ciraring Taxea, Revenue and Miscollaneous Cesses (excl Muterla).	Sand gaibi	12	15) E	.I ardoll		13	* 5 5
Percentago of proposed increase or decrease.		=		Twint cultivated area.		12	C.
Proposed increase or decrease.		10	REGISTER D	.тел ка		11	REGISTER C.
	ENUE.		REC	A LinniM		10	
Land Rovenuo at rates,	Land Rever	6	व नप	Holli.	AREA	6	
Proposed Land Revenue.	H	œ		Hotar II.	ULTIVATE	30 30	
Land revenue of Sambat 1959.		-		Hotar I.		4	
Ротсепіядо об ргорозод іпотевке от достевае.		9		Olasses into which such areas or Vands fall,	, lint st	9	
Proposed increase or decrease.	TANES.	23		The different areas or Vands within the villes bearing rates separate to those of the circle in which the village has been placed.		7.0	
IntiT hosoqor	GRAZING	4		Assessment Circle.		4	
Grazing Taxes of Sambat 1959.		8		Whether formerly Theks, Jagir, or Lambarda.	irsbredma	ဗ	
Salliv to emen	•	63		Agailiy to smal		64	i
Δssessmont Circle.		1		Serial No.		1	

APPENDIX D. Statement No. I.—Showing half net assets Share deduced for each sail in each Circle of the Tahsil.

16		Percentage of the parets states	255 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	25 25 24.1 24.6 21.6		24.3 23.9 24.5 21.8	: 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	25. 24.28. 25.28. 25.28.	29 CM
15	'II 's	Total of columns sand 14.	200 500 4.00 500 505 505	50 50 448.2 448.2 448.2	50 8 50 8 50 8	486 447 200 400 400 400 400 400 400 400 400 400	5 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	50 50 48.4 46.4 47.6	50 50 44,74 54,75 54,05
7.	QUARTER	Ует авветя враге.	## E E E E	. 4 H 61 80 4 60	ф ::::::::	9 9 9 4 9 11 4 11 4	7.8	:: 2.7.4 2.20	5.6 10.3
13	RD AND ONE-QUARTER BATAL.	Percentage paying trd and tth.	4	14.3 4.3 40	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	7:9 10:3 12:4 6:1 46:1	.: 53 12.5 12.5 12.5	 9.1 21.5 14.3	16.7 15.7 15.8 30.8
12	ONE-THIRD	Total area paying to and tth.	CN	 460		3 10 1 18	.;; ⊕©	:: 20 1	i. i ≎∞4
11	BATAI.	Net assets share.	46°8 50 48°6 48°1 83°3	50 50 41 47.8 30	50 44.4 50	46°8 44°8 48°8 25°6 25°6	50 38.2 43.9 50	50 50 457.4 4282	50 50 41.6 42.1 34.6
01	ONE-HALP BA	Percentago paying }	93.7 100 97.2 96.3 66.7	100 100 128 128 7:53	.:. 100 53.6	88.77 87.45 93.65 51.8	769 765 878 878	100 100 90-3 7-8-5 85-7	100 100 83.8 84.3 69.2
6	0	Tobal area paying §.	461 85 F. 21	111 233 673 8	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	35 35 113 819 20	: & EL & & &	01 100 22 0	20 30 43 43 9
8	BATAI.	Net arsets abere.		(8)	9.4	27.1	1111	1111	
7	Š	Porcentage paying	# 50 88 11 80 88	9.8	8.8	\$	1:::::		:::::
9	1 =	Total area paying							
10	, bia	ts ladod to ogadrasvoq q si faor doldw no qoto to noisiviò yd		1; © were;	4 10 W	4.0 4.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0	: H 4 20 H	H H H 80 8	2.5 8.5 4.6 6.8 6.8
,	4 Ju	otal area on which re is poid by division qoro	1		7 28	38 33 120 148 341	 7.1. 6.49	13 13 93 7	
0	a ni	fotal onltivated area. neres.	516 1,012 1,539 101	180 221 221 340 1,048	154 102 402 203	2,703 5,2703 5,278 6,278	25 373 854 854 222	874 763 763 2,310 4,507 398	253 629 629 952 952 190
			1::::						
		Ğ.							
	N	Class of Land.							
		CLA	1::::	1 1 1 1 1	: : : : :	: : : : :			1 1111
			Hotar I Haili Misni	Hotar I Hotar II Haili Miani	Hotor I Heili Heili Migni	Hotar I Hatali Miani Rakinar	Hotar I Haili Miani Rakhar	Hotar I Haili Mieni	Hotar 1 Haili Misui Rakhar
-	1	Assessment Circle.	I MAHOOR	SOORAN II.	Воокай ПІ,	Menderal L.	MENDHAR MAWAL II.	MENDHAR I.	andon a . Li inanat.

APPENDIX E. Statement No. II.—Crop Experiments.

1	:		Kharif (Sambat 1959-Sambat 1950).				Rabi (Sambat 1960),													
Circle.	Class of Land.		Rice,	Maire.	Mash.	Мипд	Mothi.	Kaegni.	11	Cotton.	Hemp.	Chillies.	Vegetables.	Wheat.	Barley.	Sarshaf.	Massur.	Tobacco.	Poppies.	Tegetables.
	(Area experimented on (in acres).	11.29																	
	Hotar I ⊰	Result per acre	457 520									•••			•••					.,,
	" н {	Area experimented on (in acres). Result per acre	1·2 275		•••															
- 15	"	Yield assumed	320																	
Rooms	Haili	Area experimented on (in acres), Result per acre		15 [,] 25 682	.											4.63 153				
		Yield assumed Area experimented on		680 8°00	 •48			 ••• •••	•••							150				
	Miani	(in acres). Result per acre		321	73							,							,	
		Yield assumed Area experimented or	3:39	320	80							<u></u>								
	Hotar I	(in acres). Result per acre	6-10	***																
,	; (. !	Yield assumed Area experimented on (in acres).	480 -23						1.2											
,] ,, II {	Result per acre Yield assumed	457 280				3													
SOORAN II.	Haili	Area experimented on (in acres). Result per acre		9·51 7·48		78										102	1			
800		Yield assumed Area experimented on		720 5·18												100				
	Miani	(in acres). Result per acre		297	100	77	To the second	11.	1											,,,
		Yield assumed	1	3.00		1.5	13	177												
	Rakbar	(in acros). Result per acre Yield assumed		253 200	1															
		Area experimented ou		1.20									-		•••			 		
¢ 111.	Haili {	Result per acre Yield assumed		606	1) 													"
SOORAN III.	Miani	Area experimented on (in acres). Result per acre		1:57 266	İ			286												
,		Yield assumed		220		 		120						3.00					<u></u>	<u> </u>
	Hotze 1	Area experimented on (in acres). Result per acre	12·04 528	, 										285	1					
ı.	(Yield assumed Area experimented on	524 4:34											300						
AWAE	" II {	(in acres). Result por acre	325	}	}						83			176						
MENDHAR NAWAL	<u> </u>	Tield assumed Area experimented on	320	18:30					- -					3138	2.74	2.81				
MENI	flail,	(in acres). Result per acre		67 :										459 400						
	(Yield assumed Area experimented on		6.00 6.30	3.05			•••		4'42	.36			2.77	.6:	3.52	27			
	Miani	(in acres). Result per acre		262	91	-,.	•	٠		83 100		•…		188 200		129 130				

wisi

APPENDIX E-concluded.

				KHAR	ır (S	AMB4	т 19	59 S	SAMB.	AT 18	960).				RA	BI (S	AMBA	r 190	50).	
Circle.	Class of Eand.		Rice.	Мяіхе.	Mash.	Mung.	Mothi.	Kangui.	Til.	Cotton.	Hemp.	Chilling,	Vegetables.	Wheat.	Barley.	Sarshof.	Massur.	Tobacco.	Poppies.	Vegetables.
	Hotar I {	Area experimented on (in acres). Besult per acre	1.61											·86						
	Į.	Yield assumed	440											300						
	" 11{	Area experimented on (in acres). Result per acro					•••		. 		•••									
Η.	į	Yield assumed	300	•••								{								
MENDHAR NAWAL-II.	∐а і {	Area experimented on (in acres). Result per acre		•446 676										1·12 491						
DHAF	t	Yield assumed		560										360	300	180				
MEN	Miani	Area experimented or (in acres). Result per acre		216	1:39 80				33	1.80	ĺ				•••					
	1	Yield assumed	}	240	70				CL	80										
	Rakhar	Area experimented or (in acres). Result per acre		411	100		1													
		Yield assumed		180		1.1.5		器	 											
	Hotar I {	Area experimented of (in acres). Result per acre				219	V							·20	1					
		Yield assumed	. 390		-,1		1		16					24	√					
H H	" II {	Area experimented of (in acros). Result per acro	000	1	1															
PAHARI I,		Yield assumed		1		4.4	Hā	15	1											
ная		Area experimented o	1	7:09	1					1				152		:0:	,			-48
Mexo	Haili			55	6				1	1				302	2 28'	237	'\			
řet.		Yield assumed .	.	52							1			300	280	180	4			
	Miani	Area experimented of (in acres). Result per acre	n	2·0 26										120 150		23				
		Yield assumed .		30	o	1		}			1			120	oʻ	110	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	···
MBKDHAR P	Haili	Area experimented c (in acres). Result per acre	on	1.9							-			209	1.4					
ME M		Viold annual		56	1			·	İ					200	0 20	o	1			

APPENDIX F. (STATEMENT No. V.)—PRODUCE ESTIMATE.

APPENDIX F. Statement No. V-Produce Estimate.

82	-3841	ncidence per sore of cult s,	i to etaH eta be	T-6-8 .2A	Re. 1-14-2	Ra. 4.5-8	Re, 1.12.4	Ве. 0-15-6
22		cultivated area.		919	917	210,1	1,639	TOT
98		of State share.	4anom A	838, I	587	901'4	827,2	86
22		reentage of State share.		848	82	2.92	8.9Z	4.28
58		both harvests.	to fatoT	867'4	ZVI'S	585'41	₹84°01	998
23		· ide	H fatoT		::::::	249 1,349 67 1,282	4 40.3	:::::
22		Vegetables,	Re. 20 and Rs. 16.	::::::	::::::	: : : : :	:::::	1111
21		Poppy.		::::::	1 1 1 1 1 1	11:::::		:::::
ଛ		Tobacco.	13	::::::	::::::	::::::	:::::	:::::
61	, i	Massur and Karák,	22	::::::	::::::	1:::::		
18	RABI,	Sarabat.	24	::::::	::::::	249 32,370 1,349	14 80 1,120 47	! : : :
11		Barley.	50	::::::	::::::	::::::	: : : : : :	:::::
16		Wheat.	27	LANGE) Heisa	:::::	::::::	:::::
15		.lizad	Y (atoT	546 7,887 7,493	3,307 3,307 165 3,142	1,003 17,054 852 16,202	1,428 11,304 565 10,739	374 374 19
14		УеgећаЪјов.	Rs. 20 and Rs. 16.			48	1 16	::::;
13		Chillies,	19		-14-11-11-11	2004 ::	* ! ! ! ! !	11111
27		Hemp,	- 13	64-454-	현4년 취4년		* : : : : [
=		Cotton.	27	: : : : : : :			111111	
07	}	.tim	13	:::::::	:::::	11111	1::::::	!!!!!
6	RIF.	Kangni and ghanar.	36	11111	:::::	: : : : :	: : : : : :	
80	KHARIF.	Mothi and other pulses.	32	: : : : :	::::::	1 :: 1 1	11111	
r-		Mung.	16	11111	::::::	11111	111111	:::::
9		Mesh.	02	::::::	1 1 1 1 1	: : : : :	32 80 2,560 128	12000
		Maize.	40		::::::	998 080 678,640 16,966 	1,395 320 446,400 -11,160	67 220 14,740 368
4		. Вісе	89	546 520 283,920 7,887	372 320 119,040 3,307	11111	11111	:::::
60		.basl	то вавГО	Hoter I.	Hotar II.	Haili Khush· ki.	Misni Khush· ki.	у куру
63			Price in seers per rupee or per acre.	Yield per acre Gross produce Value Deduct 50/c for menials Balance divisible	Area Tield per acre Gross produce Value Deduct 5°/o for menials Balance divisible	Area Yield per acre Gross produce Value Deduct 5º/o for menials Balance divisible	Yield per acre Gross produce Yalue Deduct 50/ofor menials Balance divisible	Yield per acre Gross produce Value Deduct 50,0 for menials
	1		Cracus.	**************************************	4. 4. 13	Sooran I		

1.2.5 ,8H	Re. 1-13-9	Ra. 4-0-7	E-6-1 .9-3	Re. 0.10-10	···	***	2-7-E ,eH
ost	337	4 76	870°E	002		•	7 91
503	60¥	228,8	1,662	139	•••	•••	163
52	98	1.53	54.6	9-13		•••	52
P52,2	869,1	12,859	414'9	829	 ,		821,2
<u> </u>	:::::	22 239 12 227	56 180 171	:::::	: : : : :	11111	11111
11111	::::::	:::::	: : : : ;	:::::	:::::	11111	:::::
1:::::	:::::	11111		:::::	111111	11111	1::1::
<u> </u>	11111	::::::	11111	11111	11111	11:11:	111111
11111	1 : 1 : :	:::::	:::::	: : : : :	1:1:::	::::::	::::::
1:::::	1:11:	45 100 4,500 187	240 240 10	. : : : ;	::::::	::::::	::::::
:::::		1:::::	11111	11111	:::::		:::::
	:::::::	200 1,400 52	46 100 4,600 170		Eà IIII	11111	::::::
2,254 2,254	218 1,724 1,638	914 16,452 820 15,632	950 6.890 344 6,546	 		11111	149 2,235 2,123 2,123
	!!!!!!!	8 % :: ::	::::::	lagil Jagar	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	: : : : : :	11.
1:::::	222044 : :	2004 : :	::::::	gjju jil	1:::::		11111
11::::	111111	1;::::	:::::	1-10:5:5: 1-			11111
:::::	11111	I I I I I - i	11111	ংশ কংশকা বি	:::.::		11:11:
	:::::	:::::	1 1 1 1 1	:::::	: : : : :	11111	. :
::::::	::::::	:::::	:::::	:::::	[:::::	::::::	::::::
		1:::::		11111	::-:::	:::::	
!!!!!!		111111	111111	11111	1:1:11	11111	11111
	:::::	:::::	5,280 2,280 1,264	60 420 21 21	: : : : : i	11111	::::::
	11::::	910 720 655,200 16,380	882 300 264,600 6,615	128 200 25, 600 	11111	11111	137 600 82,200 2,055
178 480 85,440 2,373	280 280 60,480 1,680		200 200 400 11	11111		:::::::	:::::
Носыт І.	Hotar II.	Haili Khuah- ki.	-dand N insiM in	Какћаг.	Hotar I.	Hotar II.	Haili Khushki.
Yield per acre	Area Yield per acre Gross produce Value Deduct 5% of or menials Balance divisible	Area	Area Yield per acre Gross produce Value Deduct 6°/c for menials Balance divisible	Area Xield per acre Gross produce Value Leduct 50/o for menials Balance divisible	Area Yield per acre Gross produce Value beduct & of or menials Balance divisible	Area Yield per acre Gross produce Deduct 50/ofor menials Balance divisible	Area Yield per acre Gross produce Palare 5% for menials Balance divisible

SOORAN III.

SOORAN II.

82	-jevijli	Hate of incidence per acre of cultivated area.		Be, 1.0.5	I- 6-0 .eA	He. 4.2-0	4-6-2 .2A	Be, 6-1-9
22		cultivated area.	Present	201	203	986	169	80 4 ′8
26		t of State share.	nuomA	21 5	F 9	011,4	918,1	FIS '91
25		ege of State abare.	Бегсепі	7:97	25	S4.3	1.77	6.62
24		Total of both harvests.		1,623	732	816,81	697'9	.960'69
23		,ida,	Total E	: : : : :	::::::	3,462 173 3,289	77 743 37 706	2,202 27,439 1,372 26,067
22		Vegetables.	Rs. 20 and Rs. 16,	::::::	11111	2 F F F F F	: : : : :	1 16
231	,	Poppy.	2	::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	: : : : : :		2002 : :	11111
26		Tobacco.	13	:::::	11111	8000 600 000 1. 4.00		240 1,200 1,200
19	11.	Massor and karák.	22		::::::	1 1 1 1 1	:::::	1,120
18	RABI.	.lsdars2	42	::::::	1 2 1 1 1	180 160 2,080 87	120 120 120 	778 240 186,720 7,780
17		Вагіоу.	00	111771		288 286 560 11	240 240 5	175 340 59,500 1,190
16		<i>W</i> իеռն,	27	111,33		200 88 80 8	74 260 19,240 713	1.236 400 494,400 15.311
53		.itred	Y lato'f	315 .:. 1,708 1,628	2 5 5	993	55.003 5.750	2 :8:- 45,8:3 2,265 43,028
71		Vegetables,	Rs. 20 and Rs. 16.	1::::				**************************************
13		,esillido,	01	: ស្ថាផ្ទ			1 1111	222 066 066 066 066
12		H emp.	12	111141	5. 4	1:::	1::::::	14000
H		Cotton,	13	111.99		11111	: : : : : :	2,680 2,680 240
10		Til.	13	- 0° 0° € : :	1/11:1	1:::::		800 400 1.:
ō.	BIF.	Kangni and ghanar.	ဘ္က	10 120 1,260 33	1:: - :	:::::	::::::	::::::
00	KHARIF.	Mothi and other pulses,	88	:: : :	198 ::	: : : : :	1 2 1 1 1	1 : : : : :
1		Hung.	16	; ; ; ; ; ;	. : : : : :		1	111111
9		Mach,	ន	::::::	11111	1:::::	1,280 1,280 1,280 	1000
29	-	.9Zislā	07	804 220 66,580 1,672	7.6 140 10,640 286	480 480 12 	8,400 210	2,640 680 680 44,931
-41		Rice.	36	1 1 1 1 1	1::::::	992 520 515,840 14,329	532 320 170,240 4,729	
63		Ignd.	To senio	Miani Khush- ii.	Rakkar.	Hotar I.	Hotar II.	Haili Khush-
ca .			Price in seers per rupee or per acre.	Arca Yield per acre Gress produce Value Deduct 5°/, for menials Balance divisities		Area Yield per acra Gross produce Deduct 5°, for menials Balance divisible	Area Yield per acre Gross produce Deduct 5 ⁰ / ₀ /6 ⁰ or menials Estance divisible	lenis
			Сівсью.		Зоодии ПГ.		MAWAL J.	A CAMPAGE AND A STATE OF THE ST

H8, 2,34D	7-81-0 .9H	0-0-t .zs	2-1-2 :×31	7-8-3 18H	1-6-1 ,-31	I-8-0 .esi	7-8. 2 .8M
846,0	129	83	43	£4 £	₱ <u>₽</u> 98	222	₹ 48
15,062	826	Z(I	99	6+6,1	1,123	3 8	612,2
9.5%	8.13	2.2	22	83	7-7	22	52
182,04	774,2	61-7	188	941/8	089'ŧ	248	948'8
1,523 2,572 496 4473	110 110 148 488	111	101	296. 3.775 3,586	92 531 504		98 93 93
	:::::	: : : : : :	11111:	117111	117111	111111	1:::::
1001		1 1 1 1 1		11111	: : : : ·	:::::	::::::
11 180 1.980 1.52 	11111	360 260 20 20	11111	. : : : : :	1:::::		
100 400 400 1	11111		: : : : : :		1:::::	::::::	::::::
398 130 21.740 	160		- ;;!!!!!	13 180 2,846 97	120 120 120 	1:111	1:::::
170 200 34,000 0	120 840 17 			83,9:10 7.6:	5 160 ₁ 800, 16	: : : : : :	111111
989 900 187.800	126 720 720 	300- 3,000- 111- 	260 260 10	270 360 97,200 8,600	86 160 13,780 	. 2 80 E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	2,640 2,640 3,640 38,
4,838 2,032 3,032 3,032	438 2,557 128 2,429	34.5.1.25.0.25.0.25.0.25.0.25.0.25.0.25.0.	 22.5 U	5.147 257 4.890	751 4.396 4,176	35. 35. 35. 35. 35. 35. 35. 35. 35. 35.	854 9.245 462 8,783
:::::	:::::::	: : : : : :			::::::		: : : : : :
	1 1 1 1 1 1				ශ්රාවලින් ශ්රාවලින්	<u> </u>	i i i i i i i
0 80 0 22 0 2 20	1909 : : 000	: : : : : :			10.00 24	18 66 1,080 1,080	S 120 960 80
64 579 70 100 4.480-57.900	80 80 5400 533	: : : : : :		188 41 A	ອ້ .	440 404 3 1,0	——————————————————————————————————————
4.480	17 50 850 65	11:11:	11111	11111	120	्या वा	
160 640 18 18	100 100 		: : : ! ! !		120 120 84 23	1 : 1 : 1 :	
1000 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	N 2 2 # : :	: : : : : :				11111	::::::
18 80 80 1,440 	::::::	1:::::		~8 <u>8</u> # ::	1 5 6 4		::::::
0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8	25: 60 1,567: 			~ S S T	64 70 70 232 235 	29, 1,450 	111111
3.962 360 426,320 14	312 240 74,850 1,872	:::::::	:::::	366 560 204,960 5,124	585 240 140,400 3,510	42 180 7,560 	111111
212 240 240 280 : :		12,32(1) 12,32(1) 34:	8.100 8.225	::::::	:::::	::::::	846 390 329,940
TY THE THE TEN	Какћаг.	Hotar I.	Hotar II.	Harli Khush- ki.	Misni Khushki.	Вакhат.	Hotar I.
Hend Naming	:: :: in in in in in in in in in in in in in	:: ::: ::: slrine	emia's	9113	 enials	 nenials	 nenials
Area Groes produce Value Deduct 5°, for menial*	Area Gross produce Value Deduct 5°, for menials Balance divisible	Area Cross produce Value Deduct 5% for menials Balance divisible	Area Yield per acre Groes produce Value Deduct 5°, for menials Balance divisible	Area Yield per acre Gross produce Value Deduct 5°, for menials Balance divisible	Area Yield per acre Gross produce Value Deduct 5°, for menials Balance divisible	Area Yield per acre Gross produce Deduct 5% for menials Balance divisible	Area Yield per acre Gross produce Deduct 5°, for menials Balance divisible
	помам			.II JAWAN HA	Мири		Мимоная Глямят 1

88	Jevilli	o lo etre per sere of co	To etas Is be	.81-10-6.	Ra. 4.1.1,	. ке. 1-8-8.	Re. 0-10-10.	Ra. 2.1.10.
27		enltivated area.	Present	294	016,2	409 ' †	828	97
56		of State share.	nnomA	1,265	068'6	476 '9	555	23
25	1	ege of State share.	Ъетсеви	čZ	7.17	7.87	23.8	98
ดี		both harvests.	ю [віоТ	190'9	108,88	59'949	+86	612
53		.ids	и (врот	2 20 20	1,2∪7 10,770 539 10,237	451 1,931 97 1,834	ກ ດ ດ ::: :	11111
23		m Vegetables.	Rs. 20 snd Rs. 16	:::::	: : : : : :	::::::	::::::	: : : : : :
21		Poppy.	10	11111	1::::	- 555 - : :	::::::	1:::::
8		Торяссо.	13	11111	200 200 200 15	160 320 225 	::: :::	11111
19	BI.	Massur and karak,	22	:::::	: : : : :	8 9 1 : :		: : : : : :
18	RABI.	Sarsbat.	24	11111	575 180 103,500 4,312	147 116,176 15,776	::::::	::::::
11		Barley.	20	11111	102 28,260 28,560 571	61 120 7,320 146 	: 1 : : :	: : : : : :
91		Wheat,	27	200 400 004 150	529 300 158,700 5,878	236 120 28,320 1,049	25.5 240 8 9	1:::::
15		Jirafi,	Lotul K	736 5,312 2,865 5,046	2,315 30,067 1,503 28,564	4,10t 29,592 1,480 28,112	203 .: 974 49	26 231 12 219
14		Vegetables.	Bs. 20 and Be. 16.			11111	::::::	::::::
13		Chillies.	ģ		180	65 64 · · ·	: 1 : 1 1 1	11111
21		Hemp,	27	C-557	9,000	23 12 70 70 60 710 720 710 720 710 720 710 720 710 720	' <u> </u>	111::::
=		*u0110()	12	252 2002 253 253	900 900 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	2.7.	, 5	::::::
2		'trr	13		111111	1,0% 83.		:::::
-6	KHABIF.	Кврдог сод драват,	36	: : : : :	180	21,686,4	m 35 55 30 : ;	11111
	KH	Mothi nad other see.	38	11111		8 8 8 8 E		11111
-		Mung.	15	1:::::	1:::::	1:::::	**************************************	11111
9		.dank.	20	2 2 x	2 2 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	11,52°C : :	~ 5 1 2	11111
22	-	Maixe.	- 9	::::::	2,245 520 11,193,400 29,535	15 3,622 200 300 3,000'1,086,600 83 27,165	175 200 35 006 879	111::::
-	-	Rice.	9.9	731 260 190,060 5,279	::::::	3,000 3,000 8,83	:::::	320 320 8,320
, — es	1	land.]0 884[)		наій Кіпай- І	-dsadN ineitt	ाम्य नेक्स	Новаг Г.
63			Price in seers per unper or per acee.	Area Yieli per acre Gross produce Yalue Deduct 5% for menials Bulance divisible	Area Yield per arte Gross produce Value Deduct 5% for menials	Yield per acre Gross produce Value Deduct 5 % for menials Balance divisible	Area Yield per acre Gross produce Value Deduct 5% for menials Balance divisible	Area Yield per acre Gross produce Value Deduct 5% for menials Balance divisible
-			(Srele,		Тиант.	І ялнамя И		Темия П.

			χV
Re. 1-4-10.	Re, 3-15-4,	Re. 1.1.4	Re. 0.5-7
897	689	396	061
330	2,529	080,1	99
25	9.62	9-82	F.23
616,1	414'01	{ <u>696,</u> }	595
	339 2,327 116 2,211	186 1771	11111
:::::	11111	1:::::	## ! ! ! ! !
::::::	::::::	1 : : : : :	3 1 1 1 1 1
111111	::::::	!!! !!!	
	: : : : :	11111	: : : : :
<u>: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : </u>	110 160 17,600 733	11 80 888 75 	: : : : :
::::::	30 2000 6,000 120	100 100 600 121 	111111
:::::	199 200 39,800 1,474	37 100 3,700 137	; ; ; ; ; ;
1,386 1,386 1,319	639 8,954 448 9,606	819 4,406 220 4,186	85 311 116 295
::::::	64	35	; ; ; ; ; ;
::::::	11111		11111
	::::::		::::::
::::::	::::::	102 60 6,120 510	14 40 560 57 47
<u>:</u> : : : :	: : : : :	; ; ; ; ; ;	11111
::::::	11111	100 300 8	80 4000 111
::::::	:::::	. : 568 w	11111
::::::	: : : : : :	1:::::	::::::
::::::	: : : : :	25 70 1,750 87	200 300 11 11
4000 1004 1000 1000	635 560 355,600 8.890	682 220 150,040 3,751	59 160 9,440 236
248 200 49,600	: : : : : :	160 160 180 180 180	; : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
Hotar II.	Haili Khushki.	Aisai Khushki,	, кикрвы
Yield per acre Gross produce Value 5% for menials Balance divisible	Area Gross produce Deduct 5% for monials Balance divisible	Area Yield per acre Gross produce	Area
ALBONS M	ANON HE		

APPENDIX G.

GLOSSARY OF LOCAL AND VERNACULAR TERMS.

Serrnais ... Inflated skins used for fording rivers.

Anardana ... Wild pomegranate seed.

Thekadar ... A revenue farmer or contractor.

Panjotra ... The lambardurs' percentage on the revenue.

Shakshumari ... A tax on buffaloes and cows. Zar-i-Chopan ... A tax on sheep and goats.

Khewat ... A holding slip.
Purdesi ... A foreigner.
Lohar ... A blacksmith.
Tarkhan ... A carpenter.
Kasbi ... A weaver.

Mochi ... A leather-worker.

Ghumar ... A potter.

Nadaf ... A cotton-cleaner. Shalbaf ... A wool-weaver.

Bafinda ... A weaver.

Mirasi ... A village musiciau or drummer.

Kamin ... A village monial or artizan.
Rakumat ... Miscellaneous taxes or cesses.

Nazrana ... A present or gift.

Talbana ... Process fees.

Dhok ... A summer grazing-ground.

Abwab ... Village cesses.

Gunjaish ... Margin of profit or room for increase.

Bhaiachara ... A form of land-tenure.
Parao ... A halting stage.

Merkobans ... Merchants and pony-carriers.

Assamiwara ... A demand statement.

Dewani Daftar The Revenue Office.

Tambol ... A wedding present in cash.

Chowkidari ... A cess raised to pay the village chowkidar.

Jama ... The land revenue demand of a village.

Lois ... A woollen blanket.

Bachh ... The internal distribution of the village-revenue.

Irshad ... A written order or certificate.
Bahi ... A vernacular account book.
Sahukar ... A money-lender or merchant.

Batai ... A rent paid in kind.

Chuhra ... A sweeper of the lowest caste.
Gadda ... A man load of any cut crop.



REGISTER SHOWING THE PAST AND PRESENT REVENUE PAID BY EVERY VILLAGE IN THE MENDHAR TAHSIL BY CIRCLES.

यक्षपद अधने

Notes.—1.—In certain villages such as Marhot on page xix, in column 14, two items have been shown under Dhokiána. which has been subsequently collected on an enumeration of the animals on Dhoks. These figures being the actual Dhokiána have

2.—In column 13 where zar-i-Chopan has been shown in antique the tax has been taken over and above the village 3.—Figures shown in brackets have been excluded in totalling the columns.
4.—The letters D. D. against any figures, such as in village Jagal on page xxi, denote the revenue demand as difference cannot be explained.

Malhan	1	2			8	4		5	6	7	8
Malhan						lbat 1906.		sbat 1918.	mbat 1938.	DETAIL OF 195	Sambat 7.
Malhan	Number of vinage.	Name of vil	lage.	Theka, Jag	ir er otherwise.	Total revenue of San		Total revenue of San	Total revenue of Sa	Land revenue.	Other cesses.
Malhan		•									SOORA
Phagla	1	Malhan	***	Lambardari			170	152	418	893	1.1
Stathung				Theka, Sambat	1938-49		440	323	681	614	31
4 Kallar Katal Ditto 1019.40 260 158 276 453 5 Pamrote Ditto 19 61 243 170 346 462 6 Gundi Ditto 1917-49 1,791 1,217 2,456 2,830 7 Draba Ditto 1928-45 518 463 956 1,110 8 Buranhot Ditto 1919-49 400 289 507 606 9 Sambot Ditto 1917-49 563 833 1,112 10 Patha Ditto 1927-58 363 833 1,112 10 Patha Ditto 1927-58 365 365 833 1,112 11 Sanai Lambardari 530 365 931 677 12 Dhanduk Theka, Sambat 19 -40 185 Mds. 13 9,028 10,318 2 13 Lasma Lambardari 1,017		1		Ditto	19 -49		286	168	210	367	2
6 Parrote Ditto 19 - 61 243 170 346 462 6 Gundi Ditto 1917-49 1.791 Mds, 42 2,456 2,530 7 Draba Ditto 1928-46 518 405 956 1,110 8 Baranhot Ditto 1919-49 400 286 507 606 9 Sambot Ditto 1917-49 505 833 1,112 10 Patha Ditto 1927-53 171 387 616 11 Sanai Lambardari 530 335 931 677 12 Dhuduk Theka, Sambat 19 -49 185 106 217 307 13 Lasana Lambardari 493 355 990 711 14 Whole Circle Ditto 1,017 Mds, 13 4,381 9,028 10,318 2 15 Hari Lambardari 1,017 Mds, 13 4,381				1	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	# Q.	269	158	276	453	20
6 Gundi Ditto 1917-49 1,791 1,217 2,456 2,830 77 Draba Ditto 1928-45 518 405 956 1,110 88 Buranhot Ditto 1919-49 400 258 507 806 Mds. 9 Sambot Ditto 1917-49 505 833 1,112 Md. 17 857 616 Mds. 9 Sambot Ditto 1927-53 171 857 616 Mds. 171 857 859 711 859 859 711 859 859 711 859 859 859 859 859 859 859 859 859 859		ł			(2) (V2) (V2)	MATERIAL PROPERTY.	243	170	846	462	:
7 Draba Ditto 1928-45 518				Ditto	25100000		1,791		2,456	2,830	4
8 Suranhot Ditto 1910-49 400 286 507 606 9 Samhot Ditto 1917-49 505 833 1,112 10 Patha Ditto 1927-58 171 387 616 11 Sanai Lambardari 530 365 931 677 12 Dhunduk Theka, Sambat 19 -40 185 106 13 Lasana Lambardari 493 355 890 711 Whole Circle Lambardari 1,917 685 1,565 1,048 1 14 Bari Lambardari 1,917 685 1,565 1,048 1 15 Dodi Ditto 298 187 411 282 16 Marhot Theka, Sambat 1928-50 1,066 675 Mds. 24 17 Mohra Bachai Ditto 1933-49 291 235 557 986 16 Mds. 11 678 864 16 Dandi Dhara Ditto 1920-50 687 444 678 864 17 678 864 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18		D L.			*HUTELLES	25 7	518	405	956	1,110	1:
Sambot Ditto 1917-99 Sambot Mds. 41 387 616				Ditto	3 77 17 1	4	400		507	606	10
Patha				Ditto					833	1,112	
Sanai				Ditto	A STATE OF	1 61	İ				
Dhunduk				Lambandoni	lika layer	E.F. 2		Mds. 17			23
Lasana								Md, I			10
Mode Circle Mode		Lagana						Mds. 12			4:
Whole Circle	LO	Lasana	•••	1341110414411							
Hari Lambardari 1,017 Mds. 23 Mds. 4 1,565 1,048 1		Whole Cir	relo		•••••		5,325	Mds. 131 4,381	9,028	10,318	2,2
1 Hari Lambardari 1,017 685 Mds, 4 Mds, 4 187 Mds, 24 187 Mds, 24 187 Mds, 24 187 Mds, 24 187 Mds, 24 187 Mds, 24 187 Mds, 24 187 Mds, 24 187 Mds, 24 187 Mds, 24 187 Mds, 24 187 Mds, 24 187 Mds, 4 187 Mds, 4 187 Mds, 4 187 Mds, 4 187 Mds, 4 187 Mds, 4 187 Mds, 12 187 Mds, 12 187 Mds, 12 187 Mds, 12 187 Mds, 12 187 Mds, 12 187 Mds, 12 187 Mds, 12 187 Mds, 12 187 Mds, 12 187 Mds, 12 187 Mds, 12 187 Mds, 24 187 Mds, 24 187 Mds, 24 187 Mds, 24 187 Mds, 24 187 Mds, 24 187 Mds, 24 187 Mds, 24 187 Mds, 25 1									*		BOOR
Dodi		IJ.,i		Lambardari			1,017		1,565	1,048	1,00
3 Marhot Theka, Sambat 1928-50 1,066 Mds. 24 1,875 1,560 1 4 Mohra Bachai Ditto 1933-49 291 235 557 986 5 Gounthal Ditto 1920-50 657 444 678 864 6 Dandi Dhara Ditto 1920-50 689 433 768 740 7 Sangla Ditto 1921-49 791 546 1,071 1,227 8 Dhara Mohra Lambardari 477 293 663 634 9 Sangliani Ditto 162 137 302 206 10 Tararanwali 69 72 194 235 11 Mohra .				Ditte			·				29
4 Mohra Bachai Bitto 1933-49 294 235 557 986 5 Gounthal Ditto 1920-50 657 444 678 864 Mds. 11 Mds. 12 Mds. 11 Mds. 11 Mds. 11 768 740 A Sangla Ditto 1921-49 791 546 1,071 1,227 8 Dhara Mohra Lambardari 477 293 663 634 9 Sangliani Ditto 162 137 302 206 Mds. 2 Ditto 89 72 194 235 10 Tararanwali Theka, Sambat 1921-57 126 129 396 386 Mds. 12				m) 1 G 1-4			1.066		1,875	1,560	1,0
Mohra Pachal Mohra			70.11							, 3	
6 Dandi Dhara Ditto 1920-50 689 Mds. 11 433 Mds. 21 540 Mds. 21 540 Mds. 21 1,071 Mds. 15 540 Mds. 15 540 Mds. 15 540 Mds. 15 540 Mds. 15 Mds. 15 540 Mds. 15 Mds. 15 540 Mds. 15 540 Mds. 15 540 Mds. 15 Mds. 7 10 Mds. 7 10 Mds. 7 10 Mds. 7 10 Mds. 7 10 Mds. 7 10 Mds. 7 10 Mds. 7 10 Mds.				nius				Mds. 12	678	864	2
7 Sangla Ditto 1921-49 791 Mds. 21 546 1,071 1,227 8 Dhara Mohra Lambardari 477 293 663 634 9 Sangliani Ditto 162 137 302 206 10 Tararanwali Ditto 2 69 72 194 235 11 Mohra Theka, Sambat 1921-57 126 129 396 386				n.			ł	Mds. 11			ă;
8 Dhara Mohra Lambardari		•		2011			}	Mds. 21			5;
9 Sangliani Ditto 162		}						Mds. 15			26
Sanghan Mds. 2 194 235 10 Tararanwali Mohra Mohra Mds. 2 194 235 11 Mohra Mohra Mds. 12 129 396 386 Mds. 12 129 12				Dist		7	ļ	Mds. 7			2:
11 Mohra Theka, Sambat 1921-57 126 129 396 386 Mds. 12	_			75544-			,	Mds. 2			1:
Mds. 12				7 0 1							4
12 Badiaz Lambardari				1				Mds. 12		l l	
	12	Baffiaz	•••	Lambardari			9 4-3	207		4.01	2:
Whole Circle 6,109 Mds. 13.5 9,070 8,569 5		Whole Ci	rcle				6,109		9,070	8,560	5,30

The figures in ordinary type show the old Dhokiána as included in the Pattas up to Sambat 1958. The antique figures show the Dhokiána been included in the total for each Circle, and not the Dhokiána as shown in brackets.

jams. Elsewhere, that is in those villages where it has been included in the village Patta, it has been shown in ordinary type.

shown by the accounts of the Diwani Daftar. In a few villages this demand differs from the jama as collected on the village

	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DET	ALL OF SAME	ыт 1959.				9.	1960.
	at 1957	Land Rev	enu s .	G	cazing Taxes		Mutar	fa.	SEES.	bat 195	Sambat
	Total revenue of Sambat 1957.	Abi.	Khushki,	Shakshumari.	Zar-i-Chopan,	Dhokiana.	Mill revenue.	Tax on artisans.	Other miscellaneous oteses.	Total revenue of Sambat 1959.	Revenue adopted for Sambat 1960.
CIR	CLE.										
	510	150	253	114	7			6		530	510
	931	69	582	315			8	6		980	932
	393	175	167	39	14		3		•••	398	394
	055	86	392	199	13		5	,	***	695	650
	482	298	166	20			·		•••	484	482
	3,230	1,355	1,531	309	41	149 (33)	15	50	•••	3,450	2,627
	1,304	735	432	128	4	81	11	13	•••	1,403	1,304
	713	253	879	79	31		3	8	•••	753 (D.D.1,238)	714
	1,178	677	461	46	5		1	7	***	1,197	1,192
	658	220	403	26	2		7	10	***	668	662
	936	71	651	259	8	प्रवस्त्र	3	10	***	1,002	948
	470	75	286	98	4	•••	4	4		471	472
	1,140	135	614	414		··	7	10		1,180	1,100
	12,600	4,200	6,317	2,046	129	230	67	123	•••	13,211	11,999
ı cı	IRCLE.		-								
	2,050	89 [980	844	62	(323) 775 (25)	8	9		2,767	1,74
	578	***	283	204	. 10	184	2		•••	773	578
	2.600	152	1,445	606		184 (458) 864	10	19	••.	3,096	2,14
	1,318	194	849	. 290		•••	2	2	3	1,340	1,10
	1,155	325	539	284		142	3	4	5	1,302	1,139
	1,270	124	626	470		149	3	3	4	1,379	1,21
	1,760	367	890	310		142 (60) 149 (163) 178 (92)	9	41	11	1,806	1,61
	805	87	576	180	15	(92) 134		5	***	997	812
	.140	=	207	182	10	43		2	6	450	39
	365		235	109	3	(28) 66				413	337
	863		412	355	13	(28) 66 (125) 283	.1,	2	14	1,083	739
	637	202	213	160	35	53	6	17	22	708	637
	13,931	1,540	7,255	4,084	148	2,871	17	104	C5	16,114	12,410

1		2				3			4	5	6	7	8
-							- - 1		1906.	1916.	. 1938.	DETAIL OF 19	Sambat 57.
Number of village.	Nan	ne of v	rillege.		Theka, Jag	gir or oth	erwise.		Total revenue of Sambat 1906.	Total revenue of Sambat 1916.	Total revenue of Sambat 1938,	Land revenue.	Other cesses.
	1								,	Md. 1 ?			SOORA
1	Sailan		***	•••	Lambardari	***	•••		87	75	161	109	59
2	Mahra	•••	•••		Theka, Samba	t 19 -54		•••	611	487	191	313	17
3	Bahramg	ula	•••		Ditto	19 -50			Included in Mahra.	Included in Mahra,	193	187	***
4	Chandima	ur	•••		Ditto	19 -54	•••	•••	Do.	Do.	176	288	2
5	Dogarian		•••		Ditto	19 -50	•••	•••	Do.	Do.	178	274	
G	Poshiana	•••	•••		Ditto	19 -50	•••		Do.	Do.	284	2 90	14
	V	Vhole (Circle						698	Md. 1 562	1,181	1,461	26
							- 5		Y-1	<u> </u>		MENDHAR	NAWA
1	Mandhol				Theka and Jag	ir É			1,615	Mds, 87	1,271	2,905	399
2	Batol	***			Theka, Sambat	1			1,652	Mds, 106 982	1,615	3,266	28
3	Balnoi	•••	•••		Ditto	1916.50			1,221	Mds. 44 534	907	1,250	69
4	Saghra	•••	•••	•••	Ditto	1924-50		JMT,	634	Mds. 41 426	1,053	1,204	26
5	Ochard	•••	•••	***	Ditto	1920.49	1111		1,537	Mds. 74 873	1,518	1,611	69
6	Mankot	***	***	***	Ditto	1920-49	A.J. M.		1,653	Mds. 76	1,515	1,872	66
١	Mankot	•••	***	•••	Divio	1020-40					,,,,		
							ম্বা	F	rui-i				
7	Chajla				Ditto	1912-49			1,436	Mds. 72 1,000	1,848	2,557	58
8	Maidan	•••	***	•••	Ditto	1912-49			2,244	Mds. 44 445	818	1,376	16
1	(+	•••	***	•••	Lambardari	1312-10				Mds. 8 105	213	201	5
9	Jagal	•••	•••	•••	Theka, Sambat		***		4,990	Mds. 30 263	841	1,046	25
10	Bhati Dha		•••	•••					Included in	Mds. 18 200	58 6	875	21
11	Narol	•••	•••	***	Lambardari	••	•••	•••	Bhati Dhar.	Mds. 63			
12	Banola	•••	•••		Theka, Sambat	1916-49	•••		Do,	446 Mds. 21	953	1,162	27
13	Chitral	•••	•••		Ditto	1926-49		•••	Do,	184 Mds. 115	436	418	14
14	Salwah	•••	•••		Ditto	1915-49		***	1,034	708 Mds. 10	1,473	1,596	42
15	Bhera		•••		Ditto	1912-49				155	669	1,018	20
16	Kotan	•••		•••	Ditto	1935-49	Now Ja	gir	Included in	Mds. 18 272	418	525	7
17 j	Ari	•••	•••		Ditto	1::17-49			Dhargathon. 2,060	Mds. 77 1,000 Mdg 25	2,161	3,170	64
18	Herni		•••		Ditto	1::38-49	***		434	Mds. 25 244	509	526	7
									Su) = 1/1	Mds. 929	18,804	26,078	C,11
	W	hole (Circle		.				20,510	9,767	10,004	MENDHA	
]				l				1040	Mds, 22 588	1,178	1,033	18
1		•••	•••	•••	Lambardari	***	•••	•••	1,049	Mds. 25	İ		
2	Tahi	•••	•••	•••	Theka, Samba			•••	1,123	644 Mds. 29	1,356	1,975	37
3	Kannah	•••	•••	•••	Ditto	19 -48		•••	Included in Balnoi.	215	334	426	9
	, v	Vhole (Circle						2,172	Mds. 76 1,447	2,868	3,434	63

Δ —continued.

94	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
			Det	AIL OF SAM	ват 1959.				o,	1960.
1981	Land Re	venue.	G	drasing Taxes	s.	Mutar	fa.	BB9S.	at 195	ambat
ie of Sam ^k a			.;.	ů,		ei l	98.n.s.	Other miscellaneous cesses.	Total revenue of Sambat 1959.	Revenue adopted for Sambat 1960.
Total revenue of Sambat 1987.	Abj.	Khushki.	Sbakshumari.	Zar-i-Chopan,	Dhokians.	Mill revenue.	Tax on artisans.	Other misce	Total reven	Revenue ad
TRCLE.										
161		158	79					•••	237	16
490		313	25		150	2	·		490	34
187		246		,	(25)	•••		•••	(D.D, 190) 246	18
313		288			207			***	495	25
274		265			14		}		279	18
304		290			(14) 92			***	382	25
1,729	,	1,560	104		463	2		•••	2,129	1,40
RGLE.		·		150			<u>-</u>		·	
3,300	1,256	1,680	291	234		7	55	46	3,339	3,33
3,548	1,293	1,945	241	7		6	35	111	3,527	3,51
1,947	27	1,287	652	8		7	28		2,009	1,94
1,467	367	863	226	9		10	37	•••	1,512	1,46
2,310	367	1,243	665	29		16	45	***	2,365	2,31
2,533	238	1,645	654	18	्राष्ट्रिक व नगरे	6	65	•••	2,626 (Also 230 not in- cluded in Bach).	2,53
3,140	853	2,070	629	28		27	37	•••	3,644	3,14
1,543	546	869	127	4		15	21	6	1,588	1,55
258	22	165	41	12			15	•••	(D, D,) 278 255 (D, D,	28
1,304	25	1,025	219				31	9	1,319). 1,309	1,30
586	37	397	172	4			13	•	623	58
1,436	110	1,071	280	12				•••	1,473	1,43
อิฮีอิ	122	299	126	4		20	4	•••	575 (D.D. 2,750)	56
2,021	144	1,498	345	5		5	31	46	2,074	2,02
1,224	114	910	192	23			12		1,251	1,22
601	150	395	67	3		11	3		629 (D.D. 3,041)	60
3,814	692	2,586	530	18		4	63		3,893	3,81
600	221	328	71			4	1	•••	625	60
32,197	584	20,276	5,528	188		138	496	107	33,317	32,24
CIRCLE.					_				-	
1,218	178	894	137	4		17	32	•••	1,262	1,218
2,353	17	2,039	322	9		10	35	•••	2,462	2,36
517		433	83	4		8	1		520	51
	225	3,366	542	17		35	68		4,253	4,10

								1	
1	2		3		4	5	6	7	8
*	Mama ak silla sa		Michael Tarina and		Total revenue of Sambat 1906,	Totul revenue of Sambat 1916.	Total revenue of Sambat 1938.	DETAIL 01	
Number of village.	Name of village.		Theka, Jagir or othe	rwise.	ue of	no of	ue of 8	де.	ø
r of					even	even	eren	revenue	Cesse
ampe					otal r	otal z	otal r	Land r	Other cesses
. <u>Z.</u>				W POT 12 PT 1 - 1 PT 1	4	<u> </u>	Ĕ		
	la		lm 1 d 1 1 201 ra		1 100 1	Mds. 53	1,452	MENDHA 1,389	R PAHAR 709
1	Gahni	•••	Theka, Sambat 1924-50		1,037	Mds, 18 267	406	500	703
2	Dabraj		Ditto 1924-50	*** ***	400	Mds, 41 810	1,640		621
3	Kasbalari	•••	Lambardari		1,831 Included in	Mds. 17	262	1,076	
4	Thera	•••	Ditto	•••	Kasbalari,	124 Mds. 29		179	90
5	Тора	•••	Ditto		Do. Included in	204 Mds. 48	500	370	137
6	Chungan	•••	Theka, Sambat 1926-19	,	Bhati Dhar.	G38 Mds. 44	1,115	1,014	786
7	Kalahan	•••	Ditto 1918-49		Do,	346 Mds. 20	891	873	300
8	Dharana	•••	gaged,	Now mort-		277	1,080	1,269	277
9	Gohlad	***	Jagir Sambat 1908-49		3,519	798 Mde, 89	1,142	2,363	498
10	Dhar Galhoon	•••	Theka, Sambat 1932-49		2,588	1,208	2,200	2,426	584
11	Sarhuti	•••	Ditto 191519			ided in Salwal Mds. 40	! .	105	180
12	Galutha	•••	Ditto 1917-49		1,636	760 Mds. 48	1,885	3,178	588
1.3	Gursahai		Ditto 1926-49	4/14	1,380	665 M đ e, 8	1,952	2,428	547
14	Parat		Ditto 1944-49	de Late	416	237 Mds. 16	350	326	144
15	Nakhar Majhiari	•••	Ditto 1927-49		86 1	320	999	1,133	115
	Whole Circle			arrite	11,671	Mds. 471 7,554	15,874	19,025	5,649
			<u> </u>	0304784				MENDHA	R PAHAR
1	Dara		Lambardari		598	Mds. 41 435	1,283	989	651
2	Salani		Theka, Sambat 1912.49		Included in Kasbalari.	Mds. 13 325	446	476	379
3	Pathana Tir		Ditto 1918-49		Included in Bhati Dhar.	Mds. 40 240	4)5	431	72
4	Jaranwaligali		Ditto 1944-49		158	60	164	102	133
5	Kallar Mohra	***	Ditto 1927-49		141	Mds. 9 152	407	380	257
6	Nar Khas		Lambardari		113	Mds, 5	212	185	27
7	ra 25 !		Do		69	Mds. 12 69	206	205	107
8	Sanghiot	•••	Do		203	Mds. 9 126	303	517	135
·		•••				Mds. 129			
	Whole Circle	•••		.,	1,282	1,481	3,436	3,285	1,761
			CIRCLE.			Md4, 131			TOTA
			Sooran i		5,325	4,381	9,028	10,318	2,282
			Do. II		6,109	Mds. 135 4,297	9,070	8,569	5,362
			Do. 111		698	Md, 1 562 Md= 020	1,181	1,461	268
			Mendhar Nawal I	•••	20,510	Mds 929 9,767	18,804	26,078	6,119
			Do. II	•••	2,172	Mds. 76	2,868	3,434	654
			Mendhar Pahori I		11,671	Mds. 471 7.554	15,874	19,025	5,649
)		Do, II		1,282	Mds. 129 1,481	3,436	3,285	1,761
			Total Tabsil	***	17,767	Mds. 1,872 29,489	60,261	72,170	22,095
	1				1	1		<u> </u>	·

9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
				DETAIL O	F SAMBAT 1	959.			ď	1960.
at 1957	Land R	evenue.	G	razin g Tax	es.	Mul	arfa.	esses.	bat 195	Sambat
Total revenue of Sambat 1957	Abi.	Khushki,	Sbakshumari,	Zar-i-Chopan,	Dhokiana.	Mill revenue.	Tax on artisans.	Other miscellaneous cesses.	Total revenue of Sambat 1959.	Revenue adopted for Sambat 1960.
DRCLE.									(D. D. 2,140)	
2,098	118	1,302	619	25			53	18	2,135 (D.D. 587)	2,127
573	59	446	7.5	•••		4	14		598	57
1,697	106	989	603	11		10	15	•••	1,734	1,69
269	40	148	100	6			3		297	269
507	90	311	137	12		ā	14	***	569	508
1,800	154	880	777	15		4	18	***	1,848	1,80
1,173	180	709	251	12	,	5	10		1,197	1,17
1,516	287	1,008	256	5	•••	13	1.1		1,580	1,54
2,861	518	1,902	434	25		18	24	***	2,921	2,86
3,010	30	2,466	586	13		_{3.} 16	7		3,118	3,04
681	38	463	172			²	8		681	68
3,768	954	2,300	590	23		17	21	32	3,937	3,77
2,975	453	2,053	515	The state of		8	4.1		3,073	2,97
470	60	281	144				5		490	47
1.248	857	854	139			2	5		1,357	1,24
21,674	3,444	16,112	5,428	147		102	252	50	25,535	24,72
CIRCLE.								·		
1,640	71	965	626]	11	l		25	1	1,698	1,64
855		488	349	5			37		879	85
503	41	404	74	4			1	3	527	50
235		90	151				•••		241	23
637	95	315	263	.,,			5		678	63
212	79	152	24		41	1	2		262	21
312	10	223	49	16	12		1	36	337	31
652	117	404	87	9	18		G	3	654	65
5,046	493	3,041	1,633 .	45	34	1	77	42	5,276	5,05
TAHSIL.			······································			- Company of the Comp				
12,600	4,299	6,317	2,046	129	230	67	123	,	13,211	11,99
13,931	1,540	7,255	4,084	148	2,871	47	104	65	16,114	10,44
1,729		1,560	104	•••	463	2			2,129	1,40
32,107	6,584	20,276	5,528	188		138	496	107	33 ,31 7	32,24
4,088	225	3,366	542	17		35	68		4,253	4,10
24,674	3,414	16,112	5,428	147		102	252	50	25,535	24,74
5,046	403	3,041	1,633	45	34	1	77	42	5,276	5,05
94,260	16,495	57,927	19,365	674	3,598	392	1,120	264	99,835	91,98





REGISTER SHOWING THE TIRNI AND GRAZING TAXES OF THE MENDHAR TAHSIL BY CIRCLES.

Register B .- Register showing the Tirni and Grazing

Note.—1. In certain villages such as Marhot below two items have been shown in column 6 under Dhokiana. The ordinary subsequently collected on an enumeration of the animals on the Dhoks. The antique figures being the actual Dhokiana have been 2. In column 5 where Zar-i-Chopan has been shown in antique the tax has been taken over and the above the 3. Figures shown in brackets have been excluded in totalling the columns.

i	2		3	Į	-1	5	в	7	8	9	10	
_					EXISTING	GRAZING	TAXES.		ANIMAI	OF ASSESS S IN THE THIS INCL	ABLE VIL- UDES	Zarii
	Name of villag	e.	Whether Lamb Theka up to Sam	ardari or abat 1949.	Tirní.	Zar-i.Choyan if no included in the Patts.	Dhokians,	Total.	IKRAF NO	OF MEN OF	Sheep and goats.	Allowing I anna for sheep and goate, where column 4 includes Zari-Chopan, the resultant rates for
					<u> </u>	<u> </u>						SOOB
_			Lambardari	ļ	121	l		121	54	27	224	Rs. s
1	Malhau		Theka, Sambat	1938-49	1	•••		315	122	68	654	1 12
2	Phagla	• • •	Ditto	-49	315 53	***	***	53	13	13	246	0 14 2 0 1 0
3	Lathung	•••			212	•••	***	212	75	22	485	2 2
4	Kallar Kutal	•••	Ditto Ditto	1919-49	212	53)		20	9	6	58	1 6 0 11
5	Pamrot	***	Ditto	·51	350		149	499	109	134	390	1 14
6	Gundi	•••		1928-45			(33)	213	71	73	94	1 2 0 9
7	Daraba	***	Ditto		132 *110			110	52	38	602	1 8
3	Sorankot	7.1	Ditto	1919-49	51		***	51	22			1 2
9 ∣	Samhot	,	Ditto	1917-49	1				1	26	199	1 1 0
2	Potha		Ditto	1927-53	28		<u>,</u>	28	19	18	21	0 8
1	Sanai		Læmbardari		267	Z side		267	120	67	590	2 12
3	Dhundak	***	Theka Sambat	•49	102	1 417		102	20	31	97	1 6 2 4 1 2
3	Lasana	•••	Lambardari	·· ••	414			414	126	95	280	1 2
-	Total	of Sc	ooran I Circle		2,175		230	2,405	812	618	3,940	1 11 0 13
			······································					شور ورود مباهد خاکد	Paradalan and St.	**************************************		8008
1	fari		Lambardari		91.6		(325) 775	1,681	3(3)	80	598	2 8
2	Dodi		Ditto		3: 1		(25) 1 E4	488	116	32	92	2 4
3	Marhot	***	Thoka, Sambat		606	!	(458) 264	1,470	193	45	340	2 12
	Mohra Baehai		Ditto	1933-49	290			29 0	110	14	70	2 8
5	Gounthal		Ditto	1920-50	251		142	426	71	53	ຄອ	2 14
5	Daudi Dhara		Ditto	1920-50	47 e		149	619	167	60	205	2 (
7	Sangla		Ditto	1921-49	316		(168) 178	488	81	118	343	2 2
5	Dhara Mohra	••	Lambardari		195	***	(92) 134	329	56	78	190	1 8
9	Sanghtiani		Ditto		14.2		107	235	70	1°)	172	2 0
ָ ֖֖֖֓֞֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓	Tararanwali		Ditto		112	1	(28) 66	175	49	36	95	1.10
	Volta		Theka, Sambat		365	1	(125)	ย่อ์ไ	135	58	223	2 2
			Lambardari	114	195		53	248	94	1-10	797	0 14
1	Dallina		,				0.01	- 3.	74	1.40	1011	0 7
	Patting		1	1								·

Taxes of the Mendhar Tahsil by Circles.

figures show the old Dhokiana as included in the Pattas up to Sambat 1958. The antique figures show the Dhokiana which has been included in the total for each circle, and not the Dhokiana in ordinary figures shown in brackets, village Jama. Elsewhere it has been included in the village Patta and shown with the Shakshumari under Tirni in column 4.

12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	sheep and these rates animals in	ACRES EX	IVATED AF	SHIKAR-	1.16.	t includ.	alo and beep and as saud 9.	venue, Tirni, okiana does but includes	PROPOSED BEVEN			column 23 percentage
Chelat rates of village.	Including I ampa for sheep goats, the Tirni at these calculated on the anims columns 8, 9 and 10.	Kap.	Chirand.	Jungle.	Total of columns 14, 15 and 16.	Proposed Tirni assessment ing Zar-i-Chopan,	Resultant rates per buffalo and cow (taking lanna per sheep and goats) cramimals in columns 6 and 9.	Total of old land revenue, Zar-i-Chopan and Dhokiana not include Mutarfa, but in miscellaneous cesses.	Land revenue.	Tirni.	Total of columns 21 and 22.	Inorease or decrease of column 23 over column 20, and percentage of same.
Rs. a. p 3 0 0	i.						Rs. a. p). C				
$egin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	217	129	460		589	150	1 0	1 524 8	350	150	500	-
3 0 0		273	943	•	1,216	360	$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 12 \end{array}$	4 966 8	560	360	920	
1 8 (44	108	,,,	152	50	2 1	4 395 6	350	50	400	-
2 8 0		381	325	•••	706	-210	1 12	9 690 0	420	210	630	_
1 4 0 2 x 0		58	256		314	25	2 0	0 484 4	380	25	405	
2 0 0	1	498	1,319	269	2,088	380	1 5	2 3,385 6 1,000	2,430	380	2,810	
1 0 0 2 0 0 1 0 0		323	119	2,977	3,419	150	1 8	9 1,380	1,150	150	1,300	+
2 2 0	1	275 162	250	454 175	927	200 60	1 6	$ \begin{array}{c cccc} 4 & 742 \\ 0 & 1.189 \end{array} $	660	200	860	
2 0 0	1	196	232	356	587 784	35	1 3	0 1,189 6 651	1,170 620	60 35	1,230	
3 0 0		400	1,964	1	2,364	300	CEPH :	9 989	640	300	655 940	-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		106	187	""	293	50 F	2 1	4 8 463	280	80	360	_
2 8 0	1	441	1,534		1,995	410	2 4	2 1 1,163	700	410	1,110	
			,		1		-	, ,			-,	
2 9 8 1 4 10	3,168	3,286	7,915	4,231	15,432	2,410	1 14 1 0 15	0 5 13,021	9,710	2,410	12,120	
IROLE.									_			
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1,177	1,595		2,772	1,050	2 15 1 7	2 2,750	850	1,050	1,900	-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	336	317	395		712	330	$\begin{array}{ccc}2&7\\1&3\\3&0\end{array}$	2 7 771	240	330	570	-
1 5 0 2 8 0	587	839	2,053	1,158	4,650	670	1 8	2 1 3,067	1,120	670	1,790	-1
1 4 0; 3 0 0	297	362	518		880	260	1 1	6 1,336 8:	680	260	940	
3 0 0	299	228	522		750	260	1 4 1		680	260	940	
1 × 0 2 12 0		198	597	224	1,019	500	$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 6 \end{array}$	9 1,373	400	500	900	-:
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4 06	328	1,359	385	2,072	360	1 3	4 1,756	970	360	1,330	-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	324	391	430	1,163	1,984	250		3 992	560	250	810	1
1 1 0 2 5 0	241	95	303		395	200	1 0 1 13	5 448 8	200	200	400]
$\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 4 & 0 \\ 2 & 13 & 0 \end{array}$	173	182	233	331	746	130	$\begin{array}{ccc} 0.14 & 16 \\ 2 & 5 \end{array}$	r[413 k-	220	130	350	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	475	184	5,624		5,808	400	1 2 10	u 1,077. 8	340	4 00	740	+
· 0 13 6	327	295	351	3,282	3,911	250	0 9 9	685	540	250	790	1
2 11 0							2 6 6	61			-	4,


L	2		3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
					EXISTING	GRAZING graded graded	TAXES.		ANIMAL LAGE. THOSE IKRAR	OF ASSESSES IN THE L'HIS INCL. OF MEN I RATES B THOSE OF	VIL- UDES ON UT	includes Zari-
	Name of village	Э,	Whether Lambard Theka up to Sa 1949.			pan if not included atta.				AFIDARS.		lanna for olumn 4 the resu
Defire No.					Tiroi.	Zar.i.Chopan in the Patta.	Dhok iana.	Total,	Buffaloes,	Cows.	Sheep and goats.	Allowing where c
	ı					í			ı	1		800RA Rs. a. 1 0
1	Sailan		Lambardari		79			79	70	20	51	0 8
2	Mahra	***	Theka, Sambat	54	*23		150	175	23	(7.0)		
3	Bahramgalla Chandimar		Ditto Ditto	50 54	•••	•••	(25) 207	 207	(21)	(50) (67)	(139) (257)	•••
5	Dogarian	***	Ditto	50	•••	•••	14	14	(2)	(40)	(153)	
6	Poshana		Ditto	50			(14) 92	92	(10)	(102	(860)	
			an IIl Circle		104		463	567	93	25		0 15 0 7
					£18		£3,			M1	AHDZ	R NAW.
11	Mandhol		Theka and Jagir	1	291	4	I	295	67	131	652	2 4 1 2 1 6
2	Battal			919-49	241	1. 17	7	248	102	153	450	0 11
3	Balnoi		·	.916-50	652	8		CGO	206	1.88	517	2 4 1 2 2 4
4	Saghra		Ditto 1	924-50	226	9		235	68	67	184	1 2
5	Ochahd		Ditto 1	920-49	665	29		694	132	74	276	4 0 2 0 2 0
8	Mankot		Ditto 1	920-49	654	18	i	672	226	219	820	1 0
7	Chajla	•••	Ditto 1	1912-49	629	28		657	218	134	740	1 2 2 8
8	Maidan		Ditto	1912-49	127	4	•••	131	32	36	70	1 4
9	Jagal		Lambardari		41	12		53	25	17	38	0 10 2 0
10	Bhatidhar	•••	Theka, Sambat 1	917-49	219	•••		219	70	66	193	2 10
11	Narol	•••	Lambardari	•••	172	4	•••	176	51	30	175	2 0
2	Banola	•••	Theka, Sambat I		280	12		292	97	94	405	1 0
13	Chitral			1926-49	126	4		130 350	17	28	88 570	2 1 2 8
14	Salwah	•••		1915- 4 9 1912-49	345 192	5		215	102 83	79 52	572 237	1 4 1 12
15 16	Bhera Kotan	•••		1935-49	67	23 3		215 70	26	34	237 66	0 14 1 8 0 12
17	4	•••	now Jagir.	1935-49	530	18		548	163	110	255	2 6
18	Harni			1938-49	71	10		71	19.	22	37	2 4 1 2
			lhar Nawał I Circle		5,528	188		5,716	1,706	1,534	5,773	2 3
	10001 03.1	n en	THE TAWAIT OF CIT	···	0,020	100	<u>" </u>	v,,,,,	1,,00			R NAW
			(!ambanlan!		137	4		141	33	Gh.	283	2 0
1	Sehra		Lambardari			-	1		. (- 1		2 10
1 2	l m . i ·				322	g	1	331	65	121	416	1 5
			Theka, Sambat Ditto		322 83	9 4		331. 87	65 30	121 47	416 187	1 5 1 10 0 13

12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
-	r sheep and these rates animals in	ACRES EX	CLUDING S	SHIKAR-	nd 16.	assessment includ- n.	buffalo and r sheep and in columns	revenue, Tirui, Dhokiana does a, but includes es.	PROPOSEI REVE		22.	of column 28 percentage of
Chelat rates of village.	Including I amoa for grats, the Tirnf at the calculated on the a columns 8, 9 and 10.	Кар.	Chirand.	Jungle.	Total of columns 14, 15 and 16.	Proposed Tirni assessuing Zar-i-Chopan.	Resultant rates per buffalo and cow (taking I anna per sheep and guats) on animals in columns 8 and 9.	Total of old land revenue, Tirui, Zar-i-Chopan and Dhokiana does not include Mutarfa, but includes miscellaneous cesses.	Land revenue.	Timi.	Total of columns 21 and 22	Increase or decrease of column over column 20, and percentage same.
CIRCLE. Rs. a. p.							Rs. a. p.					4
1 0	0 0 163	88	139		227	100	Rs. a. p. 1 3 4 0 9 8	237	120	100	220	3
	54	37	86	3,072	3,195	•••	•••	488	300		300	:
• • • •		184	323	812	1,319		***	246	200		200	-
		96	1,768		1,864		***	495 279	240		240	-
***		12	492	218	722		***	382	120		120 60	
	0		1,583	47	1,660		1 3 4	I				
	217	467	4,371	4,149	8,987	100	1 3 4		1,040	100	1,140	
RCLE.												
1 6 6	0 0 405	219	1,417)	}	1,636,	310	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6 3 3,277	3,200	310	3,510	+
1 8 0	0 564	467	2,359	.,.	2,826	380	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3.486	2,650	380	3,030	- +
1 8 (0 9 32	690	663	1,979	3,832	670	1 1	1,974	1,600	670	2,270	
1 8	0 316	399	985	64	1,448	230	1 1 1 2 8	1,465	1,400	230	1,630	+
1 11	0 0 588 0	767	805	98	1,670	440	1 4	2,304	1,460	440	1,900	_
1 11	0 1,184	582	1,644	1,153	3,379	750	7 0 8	2,555	2,400	750	3,150	- -
1 8	901	928	1,454	1,310	3,692	670	2 3 (1 1 6 1 14 8	3,580	3,200	670	3,870	+
1 4	0 129 0	97	59		156	100	0 15 4	1,552	1,420	100	1,520	
1 4 9	86	77	128	{	205	60	0 13 10	240	300	60	360	-}-
1 8	321	288	534		822	220	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1,278	950	220	1,170	-
1 12	0 242	181	553		734	160	1 2 1	610	490	160	650	-
1 8	0 457	285	493		778	320	1 0 5 2 8 10	1,473	1,200	320	1,520	-
2 0	0 130 0	66	26	219	311	85	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	551	400	85	485	
1 5	0 407	710	295	885	1,899	350	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2,038	1,580	350	1,930	
1 8	0 342	398	273		671	230	$\begin{array}{cccc}0&15&9\\1&14&6\end{array}$		950	230	1,180	+
$egin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 117	90	204		294	90)	0 15 3 2 2 0	1	800	90	890	· ·
	0 506 0	783	841		1,624	480	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-,000	3,600	480	4,080	
1 0	4			57	356	60	2 2 0		550	 -	610	
	7,689	7,135	12,933	5,765	25,833	5,605	2 2 0 1 1 0		28,150	5,605	33,755	+1, +
TROLE.						- ·						
1 5	0 194	129	11	1,439	1,579	140	$\begin{array}{cccc}1&13&2\\0&14&7\end{array}$		1,100	140	1,240	4
1 4	υ 3 4 0	1,087	3,049		4,136	300	2 3 0	1 1	1,650	300	1,950	-1
2 ()	0 119	161	982		1,143	100	1 10 0 0 13 0		500	100	600	- -
2 6 i 1 3	-	·	···	1,439	6,858	540	1 15 6 0 15 9	4,150	3,250	540	3,790	3
1 3	əj 653	1,377	4,042	1,439	0,858	940	U 15 8	4,150	3,250	54U	3,790	-

`	The second secon					}	<u>i</u>				nec	
1	2		3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
			Whether Lamb	ardari or	Existing		TAXES.		ANIMAL AGE. T THOSE IKRAR NOT	OF ABSES S IN THE CHIS INCL OF MEN I BATES I THOSE O. APIDARS.	VIL- UDES ON BOT	anna for sheep and goats, clum 4 includes Zari-i-i-a resultant rates for and cows (on column 4).
Serial No.	Name of village	.	Theka up to S 1949.	ambat	Tirai.	Zar-i-Chopen if not included in the Patte.	Dhokiana.	Total.	Buffaloes.	Сотв.	Sheep and goats.	Allowing 1 where con Chopan, the buffaloes
										М	ENDHA	R PAHARI Rs. a. p
1 1	Gahni	•••	Theka, Samba t	1924-50	619	25		644	207	145	578	
2	Dabraj		Ditto	1924-50	75			75	29	55	128	
3	Kasbalari	,,,	Lambardari		603	11		614	149	57	137	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
4	Thera		Ditto		100	6		106	37	20	137	1 1 0 1 6 0
5	Тора		Ditto		137	12		149	70	54	194	
6	Chhungan		Theka, Sambat	1926-49	777	15		792	180	78	369	
7	Kalaban		Ditto	1918-49	281	12		293	120	54	260	
8	Dharana		Ditto	1942.49	256	5		261	85	49	235	1 3 0 1 4 0
9	Gohlad		now mortgaged Jagir, Sambat	1909-49	459		3	459	257	160	498	0 10 0 1 12 0
10	Dhar Galhoon		Theka, Sambat	1932-49	586	13		599	251	173	414	0 14 C 2 0 C
11	Sarhoti		Ditto	1915-49	172			172	57	30	505	
12	Galhuta		Ditto	1917-49	590	23		613	210	130	432	1 1 (
13	Gursahai		Ditto	1923-49	515			515	227	87	251	1 6
14	Parat		· Ditto	1944-49	144			144	84	33	72	
15	Nakka-Manjbari		Ditto	1927-49	139			139	86	48	287	0 9 0
					5,453	1 122		5,575	2,049	1,173	4,497	2 0 6
	Total of M	lend	har Pahari I Circ	le	5,4551	124		0,070	2,049(R PAHAR
							i	200	204	101	703	2 2 0
1	Dara	••	Lambardari		626	11	- "	637	194	191 33	128	3 2 (
2	Sailani	•••	Theka, Sambat		349	5		354	94		51	2 2 (
3	Pathanatir	•••	Ditto	1918-49	74 85	4	"	78 85	28 28	14 12 5		6 4 (
4	Jaranwali Gali		1	1944-49	66			68	8	5 23	ر 178	2 0 (
5	Kailar Mohra	•••	Ditto	1927-49	263			263	112	(0 4 (
6	Nar-Khas		Lambardari		24	•••	4	28	34	28		Į.
7	Bhata-Dhurian		ł		65		12	77	54	34	117	0 7
8	Sanghiot	•••	Ditto		106		1.8	124	118	42	158	0 12 0
	Total of	Mene	lhar Pahari II Cir	clə	- oiro			1 50	2 = 0	000	1 505	1 14 0
					1,658	20	34	1,712	670	382		4E WHOL
		C	RCLES.			}		ارم	امين		Į.	1 11
Soora	n I	••			2,175	'	230	, , ,	812		,	1 2 2 1
Do	. II	••		··· ···			2,871		1,475		}	0 15
Do			4 ert (MB		1		463		93		1	2 3
Mend	har Nawal I	•		• • • •			1	5,716	1,706			
Do	. do. II				1	1	1	559	128		ì	2 0
Do	. Pahari I	•			1		1	5,575				1 14
Do	. do. II				1,658	20	34	1,712	670	382	1,52	·
			Total Tahsil		19,692	347	3,59\$	23,637	6,933	4,729	19,900	2 0 1

12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	sheep and these rates animals in	UNCULTI ACRES EXC		HIRAR-	116.	t includ-	buffalo and per sheep and in columns 8,	ana dincha	ROPOSED F			column 23 percentage
Chelat rates of village,	fucluding 1 anna for sl goats, the Tirni at the calculated on the an column 8, 9 and 10.	á	Chirand.	Jungle,	Total of columns 14, 15 and	Proposed Tirni assessment ing Zar-i-Chopan.	es per Laura nimals	otal of old land revence, Zar-i-Chopan and Dhokiana not include Mthafa, but inc miscellaneous ces-es.	Land revenue.	ni,	Total of columns 21 and 22.	enso or decrease of er column 20, and sane.
I CIRCLE.	<u> </u>	Kap.	Chi	Jun	Tot	묏급	M 90 90 H	Total Zar- not mise	187	Tiroi,	- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1-	Iner
Rs. a. p. 3 0 0		1	,		,		Rs. p. 2 6 10	i i	_1		أوري	-132
1 8 0 3 0 0 1 8 0		1,002	2,663		3,667	650	1 0 5	1	1,300	650	1,950 660	$^{6:3}_{+80}$
1 8 0 3 8 0 1 12 6		248	387	971	635	110	0 14 5 2 6 16 1 3 5		900	110 440	1,340	369 21.6
2 4 6		758 75	1,163		2,892 315	440 110	$egin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		280	110	390	4-96 32.6
2 8 (281	111	 59	451	180	1 11 8 0 13 10		480	180	660	$^{+110}_{20.0}$
$egin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	680	466	1,384		1,850	650	2 13 10 1 6 11	1,826	900	650	1,550	276 15·1
3 8 0 1 12 0	1	384	327	1,750	2,461	320	$egin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1,182	790	320	1,110	-72
3 0 0 1 8 0		214	431	494	1,139	250	1 1 2	1,556	1,480	250	1,730	+174 11'2
1 8 6 0 12 6 2 4 6	537	622	359	393	1,374	520	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2,879	2,800	520	3,320	+441 15-3 +325
2 4 6 1 2 6 2 10 6		686	1,289	286	2,261	640	0 14 7 2 0 10		2,780	64(3,420	10·5 -43
1 5 6	221	336	456	l	781	180	1 0 5	673	450	180	630	6 4 +41
1 0 6	594	1,095	881	846	2,822	590	2 0 10 1 0 5 2 0 10	3,899	3,350	590	3,940	-301 -301
1 0 (557	1,169	253	1,924	3,346	570	1 10	5 3,(21)	2,150	570	2,720	10 0 +25
1 0 0	206	112	101		213	170	0 13 :	2 485 21	3:10	170	510	5·2 50
1 () (238	410	624	286	1,320	150	and the same	1,350	1.1:0	170	1,300	3.7
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		7,860	10,671	7,009	25,540	5,550	2 0 0	01 0} - 25 181	19,680	5,550	25,230	$^{+49}_{+0.2}$
H CRCLE.												
	0- 01 804	232	555	2,706	4,193	660	2 2	0 0 1,678	1,130	66(1,790	+117
1 11	i, ir, 381	203	402		095	280		0 6 842	350	280	630	212 25 2 101
1 12	0 126	189	490		679	85	1 2	6) 5. 526 8	240	85	425	19·2
1.4	0 27	100	45	204	3.19	30	1 6 1	0 0 241	70	3 0	100	58·5 +7
1 4 (대 야. 32 0	315	310		825	250	0 15	6 673 0	430	25(680	1.0 +81
0.12	84	149	106	1,045	1,30€	60	1 2	0 259 8	280	G'	340	31·3 +54
0.10	U 114	248	380		1,228	Đị.	0 9	4 3 3 6	:00	90	390	16·1 - [-52
	218	322	402	2,298	3,022	150	0 8	1 643	550	15:	7 00	8")
$\begin{array}{c c}2&+1\\1&2\end{array}$	(·) 5 2.074	2,548	3,290	6. <i>2</i> 53	12,091	1,695		C 0 5,198	4,450	1,605	5 035	-143 -0.8
TARSIL.	81						, 1141	10,			,	9']
1 4 1	0 3,16	3,286	7,915	4,231	15,432	2,41	0 15 2 6	5 13,021	1	2,410	1	6:9 4,5:.3
1 5	6) 5,178 0)	1 !	13,980	6,528			1 3 1 3	3 15,963 4	1	4,860	1	28-2 557
$\frac{1}{2} \frac{0}{15}$	0 21	1	4,371	1	1 '	}	2 2	2,127		100 5 80s	1	- 46°0 +1,072
1 7 2 6 1	8 7,68 9		12,933		i	1	L 75	32,680 6		5,605 540	(3:3 - 360 87
2 7	5, 653 2,	1 1	4,042		l .	1	2 0	9 4,150		5,550	1	+49
1 3 2 4 1	6,74	1 1	10,671	j	1	1	1 12	0 25,187 0 5,198	}	1,603	1	143
$\frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{10}$	5 2,07	2,548	3,290	6,253 	12,091		2 1				·	-5,579
1 5	1 25,72	3 27,272	57,202	35,369	119,843	20,47	ol i o	2 7 98,323	72,080	20,47	92,550	5.7





LAND CLASSIFICATION AND THE CALCULATION OF THE LAND REVENUE AT RATES FOR EVERY VILLAGE OF THE MENDHAR TAHSIL, SHOWN BY CIRCLES.

मन्त्रपंत्र मधन

Register C.—Land classification and the ealculation of the Land

1	2	3	4	5		6	7	8	9	10	11
					ļ		Cui	LTIVATE	D AREA	IN ACR	ES.
Serial No.	Name of village.	Whether formerly Theka, Jagir or Lambardari.	Assessment Circle,	The different areas vands within the villa which should bear radifferent to those of class within which to villages are placed	ages the tho	Classes in which the areas or vands should be placed.	Hotar I.	Hotar II.	Haili.	Misni.	Rakkar.
1	Malhan	Lambardari	Sooran I	Whole village				35	42	18	7
2	Phagla	Theka, Sambat	Do	Do	•••		27	3	68	103	18
3	Lathung	1938-49. Theka, Sambat	Do	Do	•••		43	2	20	23	
4	Kallar-Kattal	1949. Theka Sambat	Do,	Do,	•••		3 6	4	44	63	6
5	Pamrot	1919-49, Theka, Sambat	Do	Do			2 2	43	21	33	
6	Gundi	1951. Theka, Sambat 1917-49.	Do	Bai Vand		Sooran		22	50	83	4
				Islamabad	•••) 1I.	127	(10			
				Remainder of village Whole village		Sooran I	127	63 			
7	Daraba	mi-l- Caroline	Do	7.	•••		87			395	
-		Theka, Sambat 1928-45.		-27V11%	•••						
8	Surankot	Theka, Sambat 1919-49.	Do	Do	***	•••	34	41	83	181	23
9	Sambot	Theka, Sumbat 1917-49.	Do	Do		٠	52	115	118	194	3
10	Potha	Theku, Sambat 1927-53.	Do	Do	·		50	11	74	84	3
11	Sanai	Lambardari	Do	D o	•••	•••	12	14	111	99	1
12	Dhundak	Theka, Sambat 1949.	Do,	Do	•••	•••	11		25	64	12
13	Lasana	Lambardari	Do	Do			15		108	144	1
			Sooran I Circle	Sooran I Do. 11		•••	516	394 22	96 2 50	1,456 83	97 4
				Do. III Mendhar Nawal I	•••						
				Do. II Mendhar Pahari I	•••	 					
Ì				Do, II		***					•••
				Total of Circle			516	416	1,012	1.539	101
1	Hari	Lambardari	Sooran II	Whole village			23	12	127	121	7
2	Dodi	Do	Do	Do				4	50	33	5
3	Marhot	Theka, Sambat 1928-50.	Do	Do	•••		36	14	132	119	1
4	Mohra Bachai	Theka, Sambat 1933-49.	Do	Do		***	33	10	68	66	5
5	Gounthal	Theka, Sambat 1920-50.	Do	Do,	١			7-1	56	169	4
6	Dandi Dara	Theka, Sambat 1920-50.	Do	Do		•••	3	17	45	46	16
7	Sangla	Theka, Sambat 1921-49.	Do	Do			22	66	99	131	27
8	Dhara Mohra	Lambardari	Do	Do		•••	8	18	88	112	33
9	Sangliani	Do	Do	Do		••		•••	53	62	6
10	Tararanwali	Do	Do	Do	***		""		46	88	23
11	Mohra	Theka, Sambat 1921-57.	Do	Do	***				77	36	4
12	Balliaz	Lambardari	Do	Do				6	106	130	69
		į	Sooran II	Sooran I Do. II	:::		180	221	947	 1,048	 200
				Do. III Mendhar Nawal I		•••		:::		•••	
				Do. do. II Do. Pahari I							
				Do. do. II				•••			
				Total of Circle			180	221	947	1,048	200

Revenue at rates for every village of the Mendhar Tohsil, shown by Circles.

12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
acres.		REVENC	JE AT RATES	3 08			the			
Total cultivated area in s	Hotar I,	Hotar II.	Halli,	Miavi,	Rakker.	Total revenue at rates,	Lands above or below average of their class.	Proposed revenue.	Difference of pro- posed revenue above or below rates.	Remarks.
102		105-0-0	157-8-0	22-8-0	416-6-0	289-6-0	Below	350	+30-10-0=20 8 p.c.	
219	121.8.0	9.0.0	255-0-0	128-12-0	11-4-0	525-8-0	Average	560	+34-8-0=6-6 ,,	
88	193-8-0	6.0.0	75-0-0	28-12-0	•••	303-4-0	Below	350	+46-12-0=15.4 ,,	
153	162-0- 0	12-0-0	165-0-0	78-12-0	3-12-0	421-8-0	Do	420	—1- % -0=0·3 ,,	
119	99.0-0	129-0-0	78-12-0	41-4-0	••	348-0-0	Do	380	+32-0-0=9.2 ,,	
159		49-8- 0	168-12-0	83-0-0	2-0-0	303-4-0	Average,			
660	571-8-0	189-0-0	658-12-0	390-0-0	5-10-0	1,714-14-0	Above.	2,430	+411-14-0=20-4 p.c.	
8)1)						2,018-2-0]		
4.43	391-8-0	144-0-0	390-0-0	235-0-0	10-0-0	1,170-8-0	Average	1,150	-20-8-0==1.7 p.c.	Abi lands very
312	153-0-0	123-0.0	311-4-0	163-12-0	14.6-0	765-6- 0	33 ···	660	-105-6-0=13.7 ,,	lands inferior. Khushki lands to be kept below
483	234-0-0	345-0-0	442-8-0	242-8-0	1.14.0	1,265-14-0	Below	1,170	_95.14.0 <u>_7</u> .6 "	rates. Khushki lands in
220	225-0-0	33-0-0	277-8-0	105 0-0	0-10-0	641-2-0	Above	620	-21.2.0=3.3 ,,	ferior, abi good.
237	51-0-0	42.0.0	416.4.0	128-12-0	0-10-0	636-10-0	Well balow	640	+3.6.0=0.5 ,,	
112	49-8-0		93-12-0	80-0-0	7-8-0	230-12-0	Average	280	+49.4-0=21.2 ,	
278	67-8-0	45-0-0	386-4-0	180-0-0	0-10-0	679-6.0	Below	700	+-20·10·0=2·9 ,,	
3,425 159					2	8,992-2-0 303-4-0				
:::		•••			•••			9,710	+414-10-0=4.5 p.c.	
	:.	***							•	
	***	<u></u> - .								
3,581					·	9,295-6-0		<u></u>		
290	74-12-0	27-0-0	428-10-0	121-0-0	S-8-0	654-14-0	Average	850	+195-2-0=291 p.c.	
92		9-0-0	168-12-0	33-0-0	2-8-0	213-4-0	Đo,	240	+26-12-0=12.7 "	
302	117-0-0	31-8-0	445-8-0	119-0-0	0.8-0	713-8-6	75 ve	1,120	+406-8-0==56:9 ,	
182	107-4-0	22-8-0	229-8-0	66-0-0	2-8-0	427-12-0	Do	680	+252-4-0=58.9 ,,	Rice lands good for its class.
243		166-8-0	189-0-0	109-0-0	2- 0-0			680	+2:3 8.0=45.7 ,,	TOT TOO CITABLE,
127	9-12-0	38-4-0	151-14-0	46 0-0	8.0-0		Average		+146-2-0=57.5 ,	
34 5	71-8-0	148-8-0	334-2-0	131.0.0	13-8-0			()	+271-6-0=38.8 ,	
259	26-0-4	40-8-0	297-0-0	112.0-0	16-8-0		Average		+68.0.0=13.8 "	
121 152	•••	•••	178-14-0 155-4-0	62-0-0 83-0-0	3.0-0 11.8-0		Well below		-43-14-0=18 "	
117			259-14-0	36-0-0	2.0.0	249-12-0 297-14-0			29-12-0.=12 ,,	
366	178-12-0	13-8-0	357-12-0	130-0-0	34-8-0	714-8-0	Above		+42-2-0=141 ,, -174-8-0=24-4 ,,	
2,596						5,426-6-0	}			,,
								6,800	+1,373-10-025:3	
:::		:::						,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	р. с.	
					<u></u>		j			
2,596						5, 42 5.c.0				

1	2	3	4	5	б	7	8	9	10	11
						Cor	TIVATED	AREA	IN ACR	es.
Serial No.	Name of village.	Whether former- ly Theka, Jagir or Lambardari.	Assessment Circlo.	The different areas or vands within the villages which should bear rates different to those of the class within which the villages are placed.	Classes in which the areas or vands should be placed.	Hotar I.	Hotar II.	Haili.	Miani.	Bakkar,
1	Sailun	Lambardari	Sooran III	Whole villago				28	31	16
2	Mahra	Theks, Sambat	Do	Do				33	106	94
3	Babramgala	1954. Theka, Sambat	Do	Do				28	91	35
4	Chandimar	1950. Theka, Sambat	Do	Do				31	123	5
5	Dogarian	1954. Theka, Sambat	Do,	Do				22	38	9
6	Poshana	1950. Theka, Sambat 1950.	Do,	Do				12	13	,
		1555.	Sooran III	Sooran I Do. II Do. III Mendhar Nawal I Do. II Mendhar Pabari I Do. II Total of Circle		::		154	402	203
						148	53	189	507	
1	Mandhol	Theka and jagir	Mendhar Nawal	Whole village ,		140	55	130	307	0.
2	Batot	Theka, Sambat 1919-49.	Do	Abi lands Khushki of Dharamssl Do. Dunga	M, N, I	95	76	35	94	1
			1	Remaioder of village	M. N. II		3	187	369	2
				Territoria estrati.		95	79	222	463	
8	Balnoi	Theka, Sambat 1916-50.	Do	Baloi Vand) 	10		69	158	1
				Bhata Chetar Vand)				_	
				Dakhan Mohra Vand	M. N. P.I.	16	33	159	27 5	2
				Whole village		26	34	228	433	4
4	Saghra	Theka, Sambat	Do	p.		56	20	114	294	1
5	Ochad	1924-50. Theka, Sambat			1					
		1920-49.		Timra Vand	Lux	4 0	8	72	130	3
				Remainder of village	M. P. I	,		66	128	8
				Whole village		40		138	258	12
6	Mankot	Theka, Sambat 1920-49.	Do	Ohat Word	1		27	223	245	7
				Tain do)					
				Remainder of village	M. N. I	75	8	117	265	
				Whole village	•••	75	35	340	510	7
7	Chajla		Do	Simkin Vand	М, Р. І			20	24	8
		1912-49.		Remainder of village	M. N. I	133	51	251	463	16
		1	1				51	271	487	19

12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
cres.		REVENT	DE AT RATE	8 UN			the			
Total cultivated area in acres.	Hotar I.	Hotar II.	Haili.	Miani.	Rakkar.	Total revenue at rates.	Lands shove or below arerage of their clans.	Proposed revenue.	Difference of pro- posed revenue above or below rates.	Намав ка.
75			77-0-0	23-4-0	5-0-0	105.4.0	Above	12 0	+14-12-0=14-3 p.e.	
233			90-12-0	79-8-0	29-6-0	199-10.0	Do	306	+100-6-0=50 "	
151			77-0-0	68-4-0	10-0-0	155-4-0	Do. ,	200	+44.12.0=29 ,,	
205			85-4-0	92 4-0	15-15-0	193-7-0	Do	240	+46-9 0==23.8 ,,	
69	•••		60-8-0	28-8-0	2-13-0	91-13-0	Below	120	+28.3-0==30'4 ,,	
26	·		33.0.0	9-12-0	0-5-0	43-1-0	Below	60	+16-15-0=39.5 ,,	
.,.						1-1)			
 759		•••	•••	:::		 788-7-0				
:::	•••			:::	•••			1,040	+251.9.0=31'8 p.c.	
:::		•••				CONTRACT.	1			
759	····				. 4	788-7-0				
962	777.0.0	212.0.0	850-8-0	1,077-6-0	65-0-0	2,981-14-0		8,200	+218-2-0=7'3 p. c.	Rice lands very
303	498-12-0	304-0-0	157-8-0	199-12-0	3-0-0	1,163-0-0				good, above ave rage of class Khushki lands to be kept low.
581		9-0-0	677-14-0	553-8-0	13-12-0	1,254-2-0	Above at rates.	2,650	+232-14-0==9.6	
884						2,417-2-0	F			
256	52-8-0	4-0-0	310-8-0	335-12-0	18-0-0	720-12-0	At rates,	1,600	213.10-0==11'7	Abi lands are no
5 06	56-0-0	82-8-0	596-4-0	343-12-0	14-6-0	1,092-14-0)		,	very good.
762						1,813-10-0				
502	294-0-0	80-0-0	513-0-0	624-12-0	18-0-0	1,529-12-0		1,400	-129-12-0=8-5 "	Khushki land
285	210-0-0	32-0-0	324-0-0	276-4-0	35-0-0	877-4-0	At rates) 1,460	+122-2-0-9-1 ,,	very good.
279			247-8-0	160-0-0	58-2-0	4 60 ₊10 -0	Above)	, ,,	
564						1,837-14-0				
569		67-8-0	836-4-0	306-4-0	46-4-0	1,256-4-0	li	2.400	-376-10-0=13·5 "	
470	393-12-0	32-0-0	526-8-0	563-2-0	5-0-0	1 620-6-0	1 1	,400	,	}
1,039						2,776-10-0				
74			75-0-0	30.0.0			,			
1,0 5 9	698-4-0	1	1,129-8-0	983-14-0	161-0 -0	ł	At rates	3,200	-100-6-0=3 ⁻ 0 "	
1,133						3,300-6-0				
1		1		4	le!					1

1	2		3	4		5		6	7	8	9	10	11
									Cul	TIVATE:	D AREA	IN ACE	es,
Serial No.	Name of village.		Whether formerly Theka, ingir or Lumbardari,	Assess Cire		The different meas vands within the villa which should hear radifferent to those of the class within which the village are placed.	ges tes f	Classes in which the areas or vands should be placed.	Hotar I.	Hotar II,	Baili.	Misni.	Rakkar.
8	Maidan		Theka, Sambat	Mendhar	Nawal	Whole village			100	16	98	226	
9	Jagal		1912-49. Lambardari	I, Do.		Dheri		M. P. I		.,.	15	12	
						Remainder of village	,	M. N. I	11	5	31	75	
						Whole village	•••	· · · · ·	11	5	46	87	
10	Bhati Dhar	•	Theka, Sambat 1917-49,	Do.	,	Maidan		м. п. і	2	3	54	124	
			1011-40,			Remainder of village	***	M. P. I	4	2	57	88	
						Whole village		•	6	5	111	212	
11	Marol	•••	Lambardari	Do.	••	Silla Vand Narol do Balhoon do	•••	M. N. I	1	11	46	77.	
						Remainder of village	••	у М. Р. I			23	16	
						Whole village		*4. 1. 1		11	69	98	
12	Banola		Theka, Sambat	Do.		Abi lands		M. N. 1I	18	22			
			1916-49,			Remainder of village	•••	M. N. I	7		147	206	
						Whole village	•••		18	22	147	206	
13	Chitral		Theka, Sambat 1926-49.	Dρ,	•••	Certain fields specified Superintendent, Abi lands		M. P. I			21	29	•••
						Remainder of village		м. N. П м. N. I	1.5	'	18	42	
				ı		Whole village			14	10	39	71	
14	Salwah	•••	Theka, Sambat 1915-49,	Ρρ.	•••	These fields specified Superintendent, Remainder of village	bу 	M. N. I M. P. I		.,,	100 89		1
						Abi lands		M. N. II	5	42	- 1		
						Whole village	•••	4	5		188		
15	Bhera		Theka, Sambat	Do,	•	Dhara Vand	•••	M. P. I			33	-	
			1912-49,			Remainder of village	,.	M. N. I	31	1	72	144	
						Whole village			31	1	105	184	1
16	Kotan		Theka, Sambat	Do.		Whole village			42	60	61	181	
17	Ari		1935-49. Theka, Sambat	Do.	,(Kandi Vand		M. P. I	7		43	117	
			1917-49.			Remainder of village		M. N. I	154	110	254	619	1
						Whole village		***	161	110	297	786	2.
18	Harni		Theka, Sambat	Do.	···	Abi lands		M. N. 11	34	29			•••
			1938-49			Remainder of village		M. N. 1			40	69	
						Whole village	···		34	29	40	69	
1				Mendhar I.	Nawal	Sooran I Do. II	·	•••		:::			
						Do. 111 Mendhar Nawal I			 898	423	1,768	3,846	35
				H		Do. II Mendhar Pahari I Co. II	***		71 27	106 62	187 748	369 1,163	2 24
						Total of Circle	•••		996	591			62

12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
tores.		REVEN	UE AT BAT	ES ON		-	the			
Total cultivated area in acres.	Hotar I.	Hotar II.	Haili,	Marri,	Kakker.	Total revenue at rates.	Lands above or below average of their class,	Proposed revenue.	Difference of pro- posed ravenua above or below rates,	REMARES.
442	525-0-0	64-0-0	441-0-0	480-4-0	2-0-0	1,512-4-0	Below	1,420	-92-4-0=6'1 p. c.	
2:			56-4-0	15-0.0	1.4.0	72-8-0	Above	} ,,,,	-149-2-0=33·2 ,,	
122	57-12-0	20-0-0	139-8-0	159-6-0		376-10-0	Average	5 300	113-2-0-35 2 ,,	
151						449-2-0			Ì	
183	10-8-0	12-0-0	243-0-0	263-8-0	•••	529-0-0	Average	950	+77-0-0=8'8 "	
153	14.0.0	5-0-0	213-12-0	110-0-0	1-4-0	344-0-0)			
336		<u> </u>	101			8, 3-0-0				
138	5-4-0	44-0-0	207-0-0	163-10-0	3-0-0	422-14-0		} 4 90	-42.4.0=7.9 ,,	
4 4			86-4-0	20-0-0	3.2.0		Average)		
182						138.0.0				
\$0 050	72.0-0	66-0-0	601-8-0	437-12-0	6-0-0	1,105-4-0	Below	1,200	-43.4.0=3·5 ,,	
859 3 99	<u></u> }		001-0-0	- 437-12-0	0-(0-0	1,243-4-0	ľ			
50			78-12-0	36-4-0		115-0-0				
24	56.0 -0	3 0-0-0	 81-0 - 0			86-0-0 170-4-0	Average	400	+28-12-0=7-8 "	
134				- 	77	371-4-0				
275			450-0-0	365-8-0	3-0-0	818-8-0)			
288			330-0-0	236-4-0	6-14-0	573-2-0	Average	1,580	+42-6-0=2.7 ,,	
47	20-0-0	126.0-0				146-0-0				
610						1,537-10-0				
83			123-12-0	50.0.0	6-4-0		Average	950	-30-12-0==3·2 ,,	
252 335	162-12-0	4-0-0	324-0-0	306-0-0	4-0-0	980.12.0	D010₩			
352	220-8-0	240-0-0	274-8-0	384-10-0	8.0.0	<u> </u>	Below	800	_327-10-0_=29 ,	
170	24-8-0		161-4-0	146-4-0	J-14-0	£33-14-0	Average	2000	-459.12-0=11·3 ,,	
1,156	808-8-0	44 0- 0 -υ	1,143-0-0	1,315-6-0	19-0-0	3,725-14-0	Above	5.,000		
1,326						4,059-12-0				
63	136-0-0	87-0-0					Average :.	550	-3·10.0=0·6 ,,	
113	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		180.0.0	146-10-0	4-0-0	330-10-0		,		
176						553-10-0				
					 		į		. 215 - 2 - 1 - 1	
7,289 755						22.889-4-0 1,847-4-0	1	28,150	-1,248-6-0-4-2 p. c.	
2,245						4,661-14-0	J			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								

1	2		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
							Cur	TIVATR.	D AREA	IN ACI	Es,
Serial No.	Name of village.		Whether formerly Theka, Jagir or Lambardari.	Assersment Circle.	The different areas or vands within the villages which should bear rates different to those of the class within which the villagas are placed.	Classes in which the areas or vands should be placed.	Rotar I.	Hoter II.	Haili,	Miani.	Rakkar.
1	Sehra	•••	Lambardari	Mendhar Nawal	Schra-Khas Fatchpuc	} M. N. II			77	140	24
					Arikot Nakkar Nator Dharnchi	} M. N. I	28	7	56	124	7
					Total village		28	7	133	264	I.S.
2	Tahi		Theka, Sambat 1914-48.	Do	Maidan area	M. N. II		3	98	274	106
			1914-40,		Remainder of village Pahari area. Total village	M. P. I		9	59 157	188 412	74 180
8	Kaunah		Theka, Sambat	Do	Area north of river	M, N. 11		3	23	76	1
ļ			-48.	5	Area south of river	M. P. I		5	50	102	10
		į			Whole village			8	83	178	11
		_		(Sooran I	•••					
					Do. 11				• · •	•••	
					Do. 111	•					
Ì				Mendhar Na. (Meudhar Nawal I		28	7	56	124	7
					Do. 11		•••	6	208	490	181
				ij	Mendhar Pahari I	•••		14	109	240	84
İ				Ų	Do. 1I					054	
		-			Total of Circle				873	854	
1	Gahni		Theka, Sambat 1924-50.	Mendhar Pahari I.	Dharati Vand Lohr do	} M. P. 11		2	89	138	83
}				500	Remainder of village	M. P. I		23	128	184	38
į					Whole village	•		25	217	317	 69
2	Dobraj .		Theka Sambat 1924-50.	Do	Chorbani Vand Bhagal do	} M. N. I	8	1	14	14	
					Remainder of village	M. P. I	5	14	54	140	9
				,	Whole village	•	18	15	68	154	9
3	Kasbalari		Lambardari	Do	Balari Vand Pela do	} M. P. I	22	8	47	157	7
					Remainder of village	M. P. II			56	143	10
1					Whole village	,,,,	22	3	108	- 80u	17
4	Thera		Do	Do	Abi lands	M. N. I	18				
					Remainder of village	M. P. I			57	56	1
					Whole village	•••	18	5	57	56	1
6	Тора		Do	Do	Abi lands	M. N. I	22	5	***	٠	
					Remainder of village	M. P. I			74	136	10
					Whole village		22	5	74	136	10

12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
CTOB.		Revenu	E AT RATES	on			the			
Total cultivated area in acres.	Botar I,	Hotar II.	Haili.	Miani.	Rakkar,	Total reverue at rates.	Lands sbove or below the average of their class.	Proposed revenue.	Difference of proposed revenue above or below rates.	Remarks.
241			27 9-2-0	210-0-0	15-0-0	504-2-0	At rates			
222	147-0-0	28-0-0	252-0-0	263- 8-0	7-0-0	697-8-0	At rates Do. Above Below	1,100	—101·10·0==8·4 p.	c.
463			•••			1,201-10-0				
481		9-0-0	355-4-0	411-0-0	66.4.0	841-8-0	Above)	1.050	1 246 0 0-0-6	
280		22-8-0	221.4-0	172-8-0	46-4-0	462-8-0	Below }	1,650	+346-0-0=2·5 ,	,
761	•••		,			1,304-0.0				
113		9-0-0	119-10-0	114-0-0	0-10-0	243-4-0	Above ?	500	-77-0-0=13·3	Abi lande are
167		12-8-0	187-8-0	127-8-0	6.4.0	333-12-0	At rates 5	300	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	very poor.
280	,,,	.,.	•••		296 95	577-0-0	Í			
		•••	•••			CONTRACT)			
					4.1					1
222	•••					697-8-0	·	3,250	+167-6-0=5-4	»
835					1.7	1,588-14-0				
447					.50	796-4-0				
			144				j			
1,504						3,082-10-0				_
257		4-0-0	2 67.0.0	133-0-0	16-8-0	420-8-0	At rates	1,300	+89-8-07-4	
371	,	37.8-0	480-0-0	230-0-0	22.8-0	790-0-0)			
628						1,210-€-0				
37	42-0-0	4 -0-0	63-0-0	29-12-0		138-12-0	At rates	550	-24-6-0=4·1 ,	,
222	17-8-0	35-0-0	202-8-0	175-0-0	5-10-0					
259			<u></u>			574-6-0				
236	77-0-0	7-8-0	176-4-0	196-4-0	4-6-0	4 61-6-0	At rates	900	+122-10-0=15.7	,
209			168-0-0	143-0-0	5-0-0	316-0-0)			
445						777-6-0				
20	94-8-0	8-0-0		•••			Average	280	-106.14.0=2.8	,,
114			213-12-0	70-0-0	0.10.0		Above)		"
134						386-14-0				
201	115-8-0	20-0-0					Average	} 480	-100-4-0=18·5	
220			277-8-0	170-0-0	6-4-0)		
247]				•••	589-4-0	J			}

1	2	8	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
						Cui	LTIVATE	D ARBA	IN ACI	RBO,
Serial No.	Name of village.	Whether former- ly Theka, Jagir or Lambardari.	Assessment Circle.	The different areas or vands within the villages which should bear rates different to those of the class within which the villages are placed.	Classes in which the areas or vands should be placed.	Hotar I.	Hotse II.	Haili,	Miani.	Rakkar.
6	Chungan	Theka, Sambat 1926-49.	Mendhar Pahari l.	Certain fields specified by the Superintendent. Remainder of village	м Р. I м, Р, II	27 	11 	7 6 90	46 60	4
				Whole village		27	11	166	106	4
7	Kalaban	Theka, Sambat 1918-49,	Do	The fields specified by Superintendent. Remainder of village	M. P. I M. P. II			31 78	34 117	
				Abi lands	M. N. II	48	2			•••
				Whole village		48	2	109	151	8
8	Dharana	Theka, Sambat 1942-49,	Do	Dharmaal Vaud Channi do	} M. N. I	81	8	37	90	
				Remainder of village	M. P. I	14	10	125	346	
1				Whole village	•	95	13	162	436	
9	Gohlad	Now mortgaged jagir, Sambat 1909-49.	Do	Passarion Vand Chohi do Heuz do Pathin do) } M. N. I 	5 1	29	38	69	•••
				Remainder of village	M. P. I	140	54	345	53]]
				Whole village	,,,	191	83	383	600	1
10	Dhar Galhoon	Theka, Sambat 1932-49.	Do	Whole village		71	259	329	656	126
11	Sarhuti	Theka, Sambat 1915-49.	Do	Field specified by the Superintendent. Remainder of village	M. N. I M. P. I	11	1	15 44	32 45	14
				Whole village		11	2	59	77	15
12	Galluta	Theka, Sambat 1917-49.	Do	Land specified by Settle- ment Officer by broken line.	M. N. I M. P. I	193 16		66 151	218 564	
1				Remainder of village Whole village	M. I. 1	209	140	217	782	12
13	Gursabai	Theka, Sambat 1926-49,	Po	Phamra-ner Vand	 { M. P. II			54	115	16
	1			Remainder of village	M. P. I	109	63	145	296	14
				Whole village		109	63	199	411	30
14	Parat	Theka, Sambat	Ďo	Do		13	10	55	92	14
15	Nakka Maji- hari.	1944.49. Theka, Sambat 1927.49.	Do	Do		25	130	112	233	12
	ĺ		Mendhar Pahari I.	Sooran I						
1				Do. 11				•••		
1				Do. III Mendhar Nawal I		373	 69	170	423	1
				Dc. do. II	•••	48	-1]
ļ				Do. Pahari I	***	453	690	1,778	3,516	260
				Do. do. II	•••		2	367	568	67
				Total of Cirole		874	763	2,810	4,507	328


C-continued.

12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
cres.		REVEN	UE AT RATE	s on			the			
Tetal cultivated area in acres.	Hotar I.	Hoter IP.	Haili,	Miani.	Rakkar.	Total revenue at rates,	Lands above or below average of their class	Freposed revenue.	Difference of pro- posed revenue above or below rates.	Remares.
164	94.8-0	27-8-0	285-0-0	57 -8-0	2-8-0	467-0-0	Above)		1 1 2 2 2 1 2 2 2	
150			270-0-0	60-0-0		33 0-0-0	Average	900	-j-1 3-0-0=12.9 p.c.	
314						797-0-0				
65			116-4-0	42-8-0		158-12-0)		1	
203			234-0-0	117-0-0	4-0-o	355-0-0	Average	790	+78.4.0=11.0 ,	
50	192-0-0	6-0-0	•••		•••	198-0-0)			
818						711-12-0				
211	425-4-0	12.0.0	166-8-0	191 4-0		795-0-0	Avorage .)	g 20.5	00040 404	
495	49.0.0	25-0-0	468-12-0	432-8-0		975-4-0	Abovo 5	1,480	290-4•0 16·4 ,,	
706						1,770-4-0				
187	267-12-0	116-0-0	171-0-0	146-10∙∪		701-6-0	Average	2.800	-484.8.0 =14.7	
1,071	490-0-0	185-0-0		663-12-0	0-10-0	1 . S. lo h		2,000		
1,258			1 202 10 0		M, 7,	3,284-8-0	Dolom	0.500	248-8-0==8'2 ,,	
1,491	248-8-0	4-0-0	1,233-12-0 67-8-0	820-0-0 68-0-0	78-12-0			2,780		
4 5 115	38-8-0	2.8.(165-0-0		8-12-0		> Average	450	+38-8-0=9-12 ,,	
164						411-8-0				
505	1,013-4-0	112-0-0		463.4-0		1,885-5-0		3,350	-150-4-0-=4'3 ,,	
855	56- 0-0	280-0-0	566-4-0	705-0-0	7-8-0	1,614-12-0)	ì		
1,360						3,500-4-0				<u> </u>
185			162-0-0	115-0-0	8-0-0	ຸ 285⊹0-ບ	Below	2,150	+403-8-0=23.1 ,,	
627	381-8-0	157-8-0	543-12-0	370-0 -0	8-12-0	1,461-8-0)			
812						1,746-8-4				
184	45.8.0	25.0-0	206.4-0	115-0-6	8-12-0	[Below		-60-8-0=15.0 ,,	
E 12	87-8-0	325-0-0	420-0-0	291-4-0	7-8-0	1,131-4-0		1,130	-1-4-0:=0.1	Majihari Vand above rates. Nakka Vand at
	•••			***			}			rates.
			‹							
	•••		•••	•••		2 600 9 (10.00/	-640.6-0=31 p. c.	
1,036		•••		•••	.••	3,899-2-0 198-0-0	li	19,680	- 0 a0 (0 - 0 : - 0 1 p, c,	
6 60v	*11*	•••	•••	•••	•••	14,516.12.0				
6,692 1,004				•••		1,706-8-0	11			
8,782	•••				•••	20,320-6-0)			

1	2	3	4	5		6	7	8	9	10	11
							Cur	TIVATEI	AREA	IN ACE	es.
Serial No.	Name of village.	Whotherformerly Theka, Jagir or Lambardari.	Assessment Circle,	The different areas of vands within the villa which should bear radifferent to those of class within which t villages are placed	nges tes the	Classes in which the areas or valids should be placed.	Hotar I.	Hotar II.	Baıli,	Miani,	Rakkar.
1	Dara	Lambardari	Mendhar Pahari	Deliri		M. N. I		•••	S	13	
			11.	Remainder of village		M. P. II	1	35	209	315	85
				Total village		•••]	35	218	328	85
£	Sailani	Theka, Sambat 1919-49.	Do,	Whole village		•••			55	68	41
3	Pathauatir	Theka. Sambat 1918-49.	υο,	Abi lands		M, N.II	7	•••			•••
				Remainder of village		M, P, 11	•••		41		<u> </u>
4	Jovanwali Gali	Theka, Sambat	Do	Whole villago Do.	•••	•••	7	•••	41	<u>-</u>	
5	Kallar Mobra	1944-49.	Do	Do.			10	45	76		
6	Nar-Klias	1927-49, Lambardari	Do	Do.			6		45		11
7	Bhata-Dhurian	Do,	Do,	Do,				31	82	114	
8	Sanghiot	Do, ,	Do	Do.		***	2	67	109	166	17
			Mendhar Pahari	Sooran I						-	
			II.	Do. II		,,,			***	244	
				Do. 111							
				Mendhar Nawal 1					9		
				Do. 11		•••	7		***		,,,
		į		Mendhar Pahari I			19	253	630	939	190
				विद्यापूर्व नियमि		•••					
				Total of Circle			26	253	639	952	190
			Classon into	Sooran 1			516	394	962	1,456	97
			which the cul- tivated area of	Do. 11			180		997		204
		1	the Tahsil has been divided	Do, III					154		203
			for the purpose of calculating	Mendhar Nawal I		.,,	1,299	499	2,003	4,406	362
			the revenue of	Do. II			126	114	395	859	153
1			and circle ac- cording to rates.	Mendhari Pahari I			480	766	2,630	4,919	589
			awves.	Do. II		<i></i>	19	253	997	1,507	257
				Total Tahsil			2,620	2,271	8,138	14,680	1,865
			Аввовишені	Sooran I			516	416	1,012	1,539	101
	1		Circles.	Sooran II			180	221	947	1,048	200
İ			1	Do. 111					154	402	203
}	Í	1		Mendhar Nawal I			996	591	2,703	5,378	621
	1	(ļ	Do. II			28	27	373	854	222
+				Mendhar Pahari I			874	763	2,310		328
				Do. II			26	253	639	952	190
				Total Tahsil			2,620	2,271	8,138	14,680	1,865
	1		1				1		,		

12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	23
tores.	***	Revent	E AT RATES	ON			thе			
Total cultivated area in acres.	Hotar I.	Hotar II.	Haili,	Miani.	Rakkar.	Total revenue at rates.	Lands above or below average of their class.	Proposed revenue.	Difference of pro- posod revenue above or below rates.	Rewarks,
22			40-8-0	27-10-0		68-2-0	Below)	- 100		
645	2-12-0	70-0-0	627-0-0	315-0-0	42-8-0	1,057-4-0	,	1,130	+4-10-0='4 p.c.	
667						1,125-6-0				
168			177-0-0	68-0-0	20-8-0	265-8-0	Below	850	+84.8-0=31.7 ,,	
7	28-0-0					28-0-0	Average	840	+81.8.0=31·4 "	
154			123-0-0	102-0-0	5-8-0 	230-8-0				
161 30		2-0-0	97.0.0			258-8-0 49-0-0	Average	70	+21-0-0=42.8 ,,	
217	27-8-0	90.0.0	27-0-0	83-0-0	1-8-0		1	430		
207	16-8-0	148-0-0	135.0-0	71.0.0	5-8-0		Above	280	-96-0-0=25·5 ,,	
249		62-0-0	246-0-0	114-0-0	11-0-0				-133-0-0=307 ,,	
361	5.8.0	134-0-0	327-0-0	166-0-0	8-8-0	641-0-0	Average	ı	-91-0-0=14-2 "	
]			
				111						
	144			•••						
22						68-2-0		3,450	-128-6-0=3·6 p. c.	
7				•••		28-0-0	1			
2,031			•••	•••	नवाम	3,482-4-0				
				• • • •						
2,660						3,578-6-0			•••	
3,425	2,322	1,182	3,607	1,820	61	8,992				
2,755	585	547	3,365	1,131	102	5,730				
759			424	302	63	789			•••	
8,569		1,996	9,014	9,363		f .	1		101	
1,647		842	1,432	1,288	}	l .	1		,	
9,384	l 1	1,915	9,862	6,149					•••	
3,035	52	510	2,991	1,507	128	5,188				
29,574	11,963	6,492	30,695	21,560	1,180	71,890				
3,584				•••		9,295		9,710	+4.5	
2,596	į į			74*		5.42 6	*	6,800	+25.3	
7 59				1		789		1,040	+31.8	
10,289				***		2 9,399	••	28,150	 4 ·2	
1,504	1			,,,	•••	3, 083		3,2 50	+5.4	
8,782		•••		•••		20,320		19,680	-3.1	
2,060				***		3,578		3,450	- 3.6	
	·1							ı		

														п	Some 14	pay their	furure r	Ξ										
+32.2	-13.6	0.7	+14.1	+18.3	+13.3	6.4	+3.4	2.2-	+ 7-4	- 0.7	9.7+	+9.1	6.82	-17.1	-57.72	+3.0 P	+34.7 in	+18.7	+10.6	2.0	9.5-	6.92-	6.55-	0.9+	- 6.5	+5.6	2.0-	9.8—
+125	-248	74-	+220	+528	+412	68-	+146	-234	+36	-15	+664	+152	102-	06-	- 139	+30	06+	+63	69+	-36	598	-4,291	955	+1,952	-258	+664	-36	-3,521
675.0.0	1,578-2-0	1,134-11-0	1,776-4-0	3,407-8-0	3,506-14-0	644-1-0	4,044-11-0	2,787-3-0	520-10-0	1,335.5-0	25,845.0-0	1,825-5-0	640.15-0	435-10-0	102.3.0	693-7-0	348.12.0	399.6.0	717.3-0	5,162-13-0	12,423-7.0	11,672-8-0	1,172-8-0	34,634-11-0	3,891-9-0	25,845-0-0	5,162.13.0	94,803-8-0
15.0.0	28-2-0	24-11-9	46-4-0	87-8-0	86-14-0 3	14-1-0	104.11-0	67-3-0	10.10-0	35-5-0	615-0-0	35-5.0	10.15.0	10-10-0	2.3-0	13-7-0	8.12.0	0-9-6	17-3-0	167-13-0	303-7-0	212-8-0	32-8-0	879 11-0 3	101-9-0	615-0-0	107-13-0	2,252-8-0
999	1,550	1,110	1,730	3,320	3,420	630	3,940	2,720	510	1,300	25,230	1,790	630	425	100	089	340	390	700	5,055	12,120	11,460	1,140	83,755	3,790	25,230	5,055	92,550
260	1,826	1,182	1,556	2,879	3,095	673	3,899	3,021	485	1,350	25,181	1,673	842	526	241	673	259	336	648	5 198	13 021	15,963	2,127	32,683	4,150	25,181	5,198	98,323
+19.4	-13.0	-11:1	+14:3	+15.7	+11.4	-10.5	+3.0	-14.2	6.0	2.9-	9.0+	+8.1	-28.3	-23.6	-22.5	+4.9	+21.2	-1-34-5	+2.6	+0.5	10 80 	1.22-	-33.3	+4.8	-9.2	+0.6	+0.5	-3:1
+79.0-0	-134.0.0	0.0-66-	+185-0.0	+380-0-0	+284.0.0	-21-0-0	0-0-96-	-356-0-0	-1-0-0	-81.0.0	+124-0-0	+94-0-0	-138-0-0	-105-0-0	-20-0-0	+20-0-0	+49.0.0	+77-0-0	+23-0-0	0-0-9 +	0-0-906-	-1,995-0-0	-220-0-0	+1,290.0-0	-341-0-0	+124-0-0	0-0-9+	-2342.0-0
589-4-0	0-0-262	711-12-0	1,770-4-0	3,284-8-0	3,028-8-0	411-8-0	3,500.4-0	1,746-8-0	400-8-0	1,131-4-0	20,320-6-0	1,125-6-0	265.8-0	258-8-0	49.0.0	430-0-0	376-0-0	433 0-0	641-0-0	3,578-6-0	9,295-6-0	5,426.6-0	0-2-884	29,398-6-0	3,082-10-0	20,320-6-0	3,578-60	71,889.15-0
480	006	430	1,480	2,800	2,780	450	3,350	2,150	340	1,130	19,680	1,130	350	340	02	430	280	300	550	3,450	9,710	008'9	1,040	28,150	3,250	19,680	3,450	72,080
401	1,034	688	1,295	2,420	2,496	501	3,254	2,506	341	1.211	19,556	1,036	488	445	90	410	231	223	129	3,444	10,616	8,795	1,560	26,860	3,591	19,556	3,444	74,422
+20.8	-17.9	7-6+	2.4-	+13.3	8.9+	+4.6	-3.7	+10.4	+18.1	+ 22.3	- 0.4	+3.6	6.02-	0.6+	1.08-	6.4-	+114:3	+16.9	0.12+	8.5	+0.5	4.48	7.28 -	6.1-	-3.4	* -0	6.5	-13.4
+31	-142	+37	-11	+51	+41	*	-23	+55	+56	+31	% 	+23	-74	+4	-121	-13	+32	+13	+26	_101	+2	-2,443	-467	-111.	-19	-25	-107	3,167
180	650	320	250	520	640	180	290	929	170	170	5,550	099	280	85	80	250	09	06	150	1,605	2,410	4,660	100	5,605	540	5,550	1,605	20,470
149 }	792	864	261	459	599	172	613	515	144	139	5,575	637	354	28	121	263	83	7.2	124	1,712	2,405	7,103	567	6,716	559	5,575	1,712	23,637
:	:	:	:	i	:		:	į	:		dhar	:	:	:	:	:			:	Mendhar II.	:	:		:	п	i I	II	ısil
;			:		hoon	•	:	:	:	[ajbiari	l of Mendhar Pahari I.	;	;	Tir	ligali	Cohra	02	hurisn	:	% ·년	:	.:. II	III	r Nawai	=	r Pahar	=	Total of Tabsil
and a	Chhongag	Kalaban	Dharana	Goblad	Dhar-Galhoon	Sarboti	Galbuta	Gursahei	Parat	Nakka-Majbiari	Total Pa	Dara	Sailani	Pathana-Tir	Jaranwaligali	Kallar-Mohra	Nar-Khas	Bhata-Dhurisn	Banghiot	Total of Pahari	Scoran I	•		Mendhar Nawal I		Mendhar Pahari I		Tota
į	Š	Š	á	غ ا		D.	Ŋ	Do.	Do.	Do.		Pa.		Do.	ъ.	Ŋ.	Ď,	Do.	Ď.									



GENERAL RESULTS OF THE REVENUE SETTLEMENT OF SAMBAT 1960-61 FOR EVERY VILLAGE IN THE MENDHAR TAHSIL, SHOWN BY CIRCLES.

सन्त्रम्य अपन

Register D.—General results of the Revenue Settlement of Sambat 1960-61 for every village in the Mendhar Tahsil, shown by Circles.

Norg.—The letters B. D. against any figure such as in village Jagal on page xlix denote the revenue demand as shown by the accounts of the Diwani Daftar. In a few villages this demand differs from the Jama as collected on the village Assamiwaras. The difference cannot be explained.

18		Bruare.																	
17		Percentage of proposed inc or decrease in total Rev of village.	2.2	-30	+4:1	9.9	-13.8	-14.7	3.5	+18.7	9.9+	+3.2	6.4-	-20.3	-2.7	9.5-	-29.9	-25.2	*.0*
16	Proposed increase or deorsase, in total revenue of village.			83	+16	-47	19-	-499	- 44	+139	+78	+53	-29	46-1	-31	598	- 823	-194	-1,242
15		Total of proposed 'lirni, ' Revenue, and Patwar co	510.35-0	937-8-0	410-15-0	643-2.0	416-14-0	2,885-15-0	1,835-15-0	0-01-088	1,266-9-0	674-6-0	0-0-096	368-12-0	1,131-14-0	12,423-7.0	1,926.9-0	577.8.0	1,825.0.0
\$1	REVENUE.	Patwar coss,	10.15.0	17-8-0	10-15-0	13.2.0	11.14.0	75-15-0	35.15-0 1	20-10-0	36.9.0	19-6.0	20-0-0	8.12.0	21-14-0	303-7-0	0-6-93	7-8-0	35.0-0
13	PROPOSED B			920	400	630	05	0			1,230	855	940	980	1,110	12,120	1,900	570	1,790
12	bresent graving taxes, land streems enconfigured to revenue succession for the streems (excluding Muturis).		524	996	395	069	484	3,385	1,380	742 D. D.	(1,230) 1,189	651	686	463	1,163	13,021	2,750	1771	290'8 .
п		besuqurq to egataerref. esaeroeb vo esaeroni	-0.7	-140	+-2:3	-4.1	-18.1	-15.8	-1.4	+4.4	+5.8	2.0-	-11-4	4.23—	6.5	-8-5	-20.5	-15.2	-29.9
10		ro essenoui besoqorq esseroep	-53-0-0	-91-0-0	+8-0-0	-58-0-0	-84-0.0	-456-0-0	-17-0-0	0-0-92+	+32-0-0	-3.0.0	-82-0-0	-81-0-0	49-0-0	0-0-906-	-219-0-0	-43-0-0	0.0.774-
6	BRYENDE	Land Revenue at rates.	289.6-0	525.8-0	303-4-0	421-8-0	348-0.0	2,018-2-0	1,170-8-0	765-6-0	0.41-982,1	641-2-0	636-10-0	230-12-0	0-9-649	9,295-6-0	654-14-0	213.4-0	713-8-0
×	LAND	Ргоровед Land Кетевия.	350	999	350	420	380	2,430	1,150	099	1,170	620	640	280	700	9,710	850	240	1,120
L-		Present Land Revenue (Sambat 1959).	603	199	378	478	464	2,886	1,167	. 289	1,138	229	722	198	749	10,616	1,069	283	1,597
9		Percentege of proposed incomesse.	0.52+	+14.3	15.7	6.0-	+25	-23.8	9.62-	+81.8	9.21+	+25	+12.4	21.2	-1.0	7.0+	67.5	7.28-	-54.4
10	TAXES.	то өваетэмі һөводомЧ фактовы	+29	+45	ro 1	1 62	+	-119	- 63	06+	6+	+2	+33	-23	7	+5	-631	-158	-800
4	GRAZING	Proposed Tirni.	150	360	20	210	25	380	150	200	8	63	300	8	410	2,410.	1,050	330	029
69		Present grazing taxes (Ball 1969).	121	315	23	212	8	498	213	110	51	887	267	. 102	414	2,405	1,681	488	1,476
-		<u> </u>	:	:	:	:	÷	:	:	÷	:	:	:	:	;		:	:	_
		Villege.	:	:	:	attal	:	:	፥	; +2	:	፥	:	:	:	So	<u></u> :	:	
		νil	Malhan	Phagla	Lathung	Kallar Kattal	Pamrot	Gundi	Daraba	Saraukot	Sambot	Potha	Sauai	Dhundak	Laeana	Total o	Hari	Dodi	
			:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	;	:	:	:	:	-
-		Cirole,	Sooran I	Do.	Do.	Do.	Ŋo.	Do.	Ď.	Do.	Å	Ğ,	Ď,	Ď.	Ď,	å	Š.	Å,	

	,				<u> </u>				1 -				-				1								·		
- 28.1	-25.8	-33.6	-22.6	9.91 -	7 .6—	-13.6	-30.3	+17:8	-26.9	5.5	- 36.7	- 16.3	1.00 -	- 55.6	83.8	6.75 -	+10.5	-10.7	+175	+14.3	-15.5	+26.2	+10.9	+08	+53.7	1.9—	0.6+
-375	-334	-461	968	-165	- 42	- 56	-326	+122	-4,291	-13	-179	-40	-248	155	-320	-955	+ 333	- 373	+346	+208	-358	+670	+390	+12	+129	-78	+55
961-4.0	961-4.0	912-8-0	1,360 5.0	827-8-0	406-4.0	356.14-0	750-10-0	806-14-0	11,672-8-0	223.12.0	309.6-0	206.4.0	247.8.0	123-12-0	61-14-0	1,172-8-0	9,610-0.0	3,112.13-0	2,320-0.0	1,673-12.0	1,945.10.0	3,225.0.0	3,970-0-0	1,564-6-0	369-6-0	1,199.11.0	665-5-0
21.4-0	21-4-0	12.8-0	30.5.0	17.8-0	6-4-0	6.14.0	10.10.0	16.14.0	212-8-0	3.12.0	0-9-6	6-4-0	7.8-0	3.12.0	1-14-0	32.8.0	100.0.0	82.13.0	20.0.0	43-12-0	45.10-0	75.0.0	100-0-0	44-6-0	0-9-6	29.11-0	15.5-0
046	056	006	1,330	810	400	320	740	190	11,460	220	300	200	5+0-	120	99	1,140	3,510	3,030	2,270	1,630	1,900	3,150	3,870	1,520	360	1,170	650
1,336	1,295	1,373	1,756	892	448	413	1,077	685	15,963	237		246	495	279	382	2,127	3,277	3,486	1,974	1,465	2,304	2,555	3,580	1 552	240 240	1,278	610
-34.8	- 21.3	7.97	-22.8	-15.5	13.4	F.9 -	-175	+30.1	-22.7	-24.1	-4.5	-18.7	-16.7	1.49-	- 79.3	33.3	0.6+	-18.2	+21.8	+13.8	-9.3	+27.5	49.5	†.0+	F.09+	- 6.00 -	+12.9
-363-0.0	-184-0-0	-350-0-0	-287-0-0	-103-0-0	0.0-7-	-15-0.0	-72-0-0	+125.0.0	-1,995-0-0	0-0-98-	-13.0-0	-46-0-0	0-0-84-	-145-0-0	- 230.0.0	-520.0.0	+264-0.0	-588.0.0	+286-0-0	+170.0.0	-150.0.0	+517-0-0	+277-0-0	+2.0-0	+113.0-0	-100.0-0	+26-0-0
427-12.0	466-8-0	253-14-0	698-10-0	492-0-0	243-14-0	249.12-0	297-14-0	714-8-0	5,426-6-0	105.4-0	199-10-0	155.4-0	193.7.0	91.13.0	43-1:0	788-7-6	2,981.14.0	2,417.2.0	1,813.10.0	1,529-12.0	1,337-14.0	2,776-10-0	3,306-6-9	1,512-4-0	449-2-0	873.0-0	532.4.0
680	089	400	970	260	002	220	940	540	008'9	120	300	200	240	120	8:11	0,040	3,200	2,650	1,600	1,400	1,460	2,400	3,260	1430	300	950	490
1,043	864	750	1,257	663	202	235	412	415	8,795	158	313	246	288	999	782	1,560	2,936	3,238	1,314	1,230	1,610	1,883	2,923	1,415	167	1,050	434
-10.3	-39.0	-19.3	7.97	-24.0	-14.9	-27.0	9.88	8.0+	-34.4	+56.6	-130	;	-100	-100	-100	-82.4	+5.1	+53.3	+1.2	-2.1	9.96-	+11.6	1.2.0	- 23-7	+13.2	+.+	1.6-
-30	99-	-119	-128	62-	-35	-48	-251	+;	-2,443	+21	-175	:	- 207	-14	- 92	-467	+15	+133	+10	- 5	-254	+78	+13	-31	+	7	-16
260	260	200	360	250	200	130	400	250	4,660	100	:	:	:	:	:	100	310	380	029	230	440	750	670	100	.8	220	160
290	426	619	488	329	235	178	651	248	7,103	64	175	:	202	41	92	282	202	248	600	285	769	672	657	131	53	219	176
п	hal	Dandi Dhara	:	Dhara Molive	iani iani	uwali	· :	:	Total of Sooran II	:	:	ıgala	mar.	::		Total of Sooran III	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	ar	:
Bachai	Gounthal	Dandi	Sangla		Sanghliani	Tararanwali	Mobra	Radiuz	Total o	Sailan	Mahra	Bahramgala	Chandimar	Dogarian	Розьвля	Total of	Mandhol	Batol	Ralnoi	Saghra	Ochbad	Mankot	Chhaj ia	Maidan	[8 88]	Bhatidhar	Narol
Do	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:		111	:	:	:	:	:		# _	:	;	:	:	:	:	:	i	:	
_	Ď.	Do,	Do.	Ďô.	Do.	Do,	ų,	Do.		Sooran III	Do,	Do.	Do,	Ď.	Do.		Mendhar Nawal I	Do.	ϰ	Ď.	Ď.	Do.	Do,	Do.	Do.	Ď0,	Do.

18		KBHARTE																
17		Per cent. of proposed into or decrease in total Re of village.	+5.7	8.6 -	6.5-	12.3	+ 48.8	9.6+	+1:1	0.9 +	+ 5.0	2.41	+ 18.5	- 6.2	4.4	+ 16.7	- 20.0	4.38.4
91		obb to wasazoni basoqorq lliv to ermorasi latof ni	+ 84	- 54	59	88	+3:0	+366	+4	+ 1,952	+ 61	-415	9 6 +	803 -	- 91	+ 97	-341	+105
15		Total of proposed Tirni. Revenue and Patwar o	1,557-8-0	497-8-0	1,979-6-0	1,269 11.0	915-0-0	4,192-8-0	627.3-0	34,634-11-0	1,274.6.0	2,001.9.0	615-10-0	3,891-9-0	1,990.10.0	0-8-229	1,368-2-0	398-12-0
14	REVENUE.	Рагмат севя.	37-8-0	12.8-0	49-6.0	29.11.0	25.0-0	112-8-0	17-3-0	879-11-0 3	34-6.0	51.9.0	15.10.0	101-9-0	40. 10.0	17.8.0	28-2-0	8.12-0
13	PROPOSED F	page "lirei and Proposed "lirei and Hevenue.	1,520	485	1,930	1,180	069	4,080	610	33,755	1.240	1,950	009	3,790	1,950	099	1,340	000
12	neous rfs),	Present grazing taxes, Rovenue, and miscolla cosess (excluding Mutai	1,473	551	(2,750) 2,038	1.239	615	.(3,041) 3,826	620	32,683	1,213	2,417	220	4,150	H. 85, 4	680	1,709	294
п		Percentage of purposed increase.	+ 1.6	0.9-	3.8	-7.5	+ 46.8	8.6. +	+ 0.5	***	9.2 +	6.02 +	+ 15.5	9	1.8	6.8+	-17.8	6.87+
10	lè.	то февей і потева от дея от д	+19-0-0	- 21-0-0	62-0-0	-74.0.0	+355-0.0	+322-0-0	+3-0-0	+1,290.0.0	+28-0-0	-436-0-0	0-0-49+	-341.0.0	-120.0-0	+45.0.0	- 195-0.0	0.0-26+
ø	O REVENUE.	Land Revenue at rates.	1,243-4-0	371-4-0	1,537.10-0	980-12-0	1,127-10-0	4,959.12-0	553-10.0	29,398.6.0	1,201-10-0	1,304.6-0	6.0-245	3.082-10-0	1,210-8.0	574-6.0	777-6-0	386-14-0
00	LAND	Proposed Land Kevenue.	1,200	400	1,580	006	308 808	3,600	550	28,150	1,100	1,650	009	3,250	1,300	550	006	982
1-	-	eunevold Hevenug (6661 4ndinas)	1,181	421	1,642	1,334	545	3,278	679	26,840	1,072	2,086	+33	3,5≈i	1,420	\$08	1,095	188
9		Percentage of proposed increase or decrease.	9.6+	-34.6	:	+7.0	9.82+	-12.4	-15.5	-1.9	4.0-	4.6-	+14.8	-3.4	6.0+	9.97+	8.82-	+3.8
70	TAXES.	то евяетоті ревототі деотовае.	+58	-45	:	+15	07+	\$	7	-111	7	-31	+13	139	+	+35	-174	*
4	GRAZING	Proposed Wirni,	320	16	350	230	06	480	8	5,606	140	300	100	240	650	110	097	110
60		Present grazing texes (Sumbat 1959).	292	130	350	215	20	848	71	5,716	1 25	331	87	559	977	75	419	106
		ę c	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	Mendhar	! : :	:	:	Mendhar II.	:	:	:	:
63		Villego.	Балоја	Obhitral	Salwaa	Bhera	Kotan	Ari	Harni	Total of Nawall	Sebra	Tahi	Kannah	Total of Mendhar Nawal II,	Gahni	Dabrej	Kashalari	
		Oirele.	, %	:	:	:		:	:		Na.	:	:			:	:	-
1		ຮົ	Mendbar	wal I. Do.	Ď.	Do.	Do.	Å	Ď,		Mendhar	wal II. Do.	Ď		Mendher	Pahari I. Do.	Å	-